



Productivity and Profitability of Sprouting Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* Plenck) under Nutrient Management with Different Organic Sources

**Nimit Singh^{a*}, R. B. Singh^a, Ram Pyare^a, I. N. Shukla^a, Vivek Singh^b
and Abhishek Raj Ranjan^c**

^a Department of Vegetable Science, C. S. A. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, (U.P.), India.

^b C. S. K. Himachal Pradesh Agricultural University, Palampur, India.

^c A. N. D. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i111372

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/92085>

Original Research Article

Received 02 August 2022

Accepted 05 October 2022

Published 18 October 2022

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out at the present experiment entitled "Productivity and profitability of sprouting broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* Plenck) under different major nutrient management with organic sources" was conducted at Vegetable farm, Chandra Shekhar Azad University Of Agriculture And Technology, Kanpur during rabi season 2021-22. The experiment consists of twenty-four treatment combinations of six sources of organic manure and four levels of major nutrients. The experiment was laid out in factorial RBD design and replicated three times. Palam samridhi variety of broccoli was transplanted at spacing of 45x45 cm. Results of the experiment revealed that the total head yield (q/ha) was significantly higher under M₆ (FYM 20 t + Vermicompost 5 t + Poultry manure 5 t ha⁻¹) source of manure which was at par application of M₄ (Vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹) whereas in case of major nutrients it was significantly higher under application of (140:80:80 kg NPK ha⁻¹) but it was at par with (120:60:60 kg NPK ha⁻¹) and significantly superior to rest sources of organic manure. Maximum cost of cultivation, gross return as well as net return was associated with F₃ (140:80:80 kg NPK ha⁻¹) level of major nutrient but higher benefit cost ratio was noted under M₃ (Poultry

manure 5t/ha) source of manure and combination of M₆ sources of manure along with F₂ level of major nutrient fetches maximum net return of 9.71 which was followed by rest treatment combinations.

Keywords: *Sprouting broccoli; FYM; vermicompost; poultry manure; NPK recommended.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Broccoli is extremely healthy, with 130 times more vitamin A content than cauliflower and 22 times more vitamin A content than cabbage. It's also high in sulphoraphane, a substance that's linked to a lower risk of cancer. Broccoli is high in vitamins and minerals, with moisture 89.9 g, carbohydrate 5.5 g, fat 0.2 g, protein 3.3 g, vitamin-A 3500 IU, thiamine 0.05 mg, riboflavin 0.12 mg, phosphorous 79 mg, calcium 80 mg, iron mg, ascorbic acid 137 mg, and calories 37 g in each 100 g edible amount [1]. Food and health are linked in a variety of ways. Broccoli is one of these linkages, and it has several health advantages for humans. Malnutrition, which is frequently caused by a lack of micronutrients, may be prevented by including vegetables in our diet. It is one of the most nutritious Cole crops and are having cancer-fighting compounds such as phyto-chemicals, β -carotenes, Indole -3-Carbinol, and isothiocyanates help to reduce the prevalence of several forms of cancer in humans. Depending on the species, soil, climate, plant density, and growth methods, the amount of ideal nutrients provided to broccoli might vary significantly. Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* L.) is a popular vegetable which belongs to the family cruciferae [2,3]. Broccoli is derived from the Latin word *brachium* and the Italian term brocco, both of which imply "arm" or "branch." It is often divided into three groups: white, purple, and green, with the green form being the most nutritious [4]. Appropriate fertilisation may ensure lucrative and high-quality crops, as well as the use of the right amount and combination of fertilisers to boost agricultural output. Despite its relevance, broccoli nutrition management has received little attention in our country. Use a low-nitrogen fertilizer, such as a 5-10-10 formula. Thin when young plants reach 2 to 3 inches tall. Plants should be between 12 and 20 inches apart. Provide consistent soil moisture with regular watering, especially in drought conditions. The purpose of this investigation is to design yield regime for a profitable broccoli production utilising both organic and major nutrients. Most of us are aware that broccoli highly nutrients and cancer fighting. But, we still hesitate in buying it because we have only seen

in pasta and don't know how to home-cook it, the Indian way. A formerly exotic and difficult to find vegetable broccoli (Hariphoolgobhi) is now quick easily available in India. Infact, you can even grow organic broccoli at home [5].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at Vegetable Research Farm Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture And Technology, Kanpur during *Rabi* season 2021-22. The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with 3 replications. Twenty-four treatment combinations of six sources of organic manure and four levels of major nutrients. Organic sources i.e. M₁: Farm Yard Manure (20 t/ha), M₂:Vermicompost (5 t/ha), M₃: Poultry Manure (5 t/ha), M₄: Farm Yard Manure + Vermicompost (20 t/ha + 5 t/ha), M₅: Farm Yard Manure + Poultry Manure (20 t /ha + 5 t/ha), M₆:Farm Yard Manure + Vermicompost + Poultry Manure (20 t/ha + 5 t/ha + 5 t/ha) and major nutrients i.e. F₀: Control, F₁: 80 N + 40 P₂O₅ + 40 K₂O, F₂: 120 N + 60 P₂O₅ + 60 K₂O, F₃: 140 N + 80 P₂O₅ + 80 K₂O. The soil was sandy loam with organic carbon 0.34%, available N 152.0kg/ha, phosphorus 14.76 kg/ha and potassium 180.0 kg /ha at initiation of experiment. The broccoli variety Palam samridhi was used in the experiment, which is an early - maturity variety and takes around 70 days from transplanting to first harvesting. The crop was transplanted in plots size of 1.8m x 1.8m with a spacing of 45cm between rows and 45cm between plants. Organic manures were applied at the time of field preparation as per treatment. All other recommended cultural practices were followed to raise healthy crop.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Production Potential

The sources of organic manure and major nutrient doses had significant effect on marketable head yield of broccoli. Marked influence of organic sources was noted on marketable head yield of broccoli and maximum marketable head yield of broccoli i.e. 199.36 q ha⁻¹ g was obtained with M₆ (FYM 20 t

ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹ + Poultry manure 5 t ha⁻¹) which was on par with treatment M₄ (FYM 20 t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹) which recorded 195.51 q yield and these were significantly superior to other organic sources. However, minimum marketable head yield was recorded with M₁ FYM (20 t ha⁻¹) which was 178.89 q ha⁻¹. Application of different doses of major nutrient showed significant effect on the marketable yield of broccoli. Maximum marketable yield of 197.38qha⁻¹ was obtained with F₃(140+80+80 kg NPK ha⁻¹) which was at par with F₂ (120+60+60 kg NPK ha⁻¹) and significantly superior to over rest treatments. However, the lowest marketable yield of 181.54 q ha⁻¹ was recorded with F₀ (0+0+0 kg NPK ha⁻¹) nutrient level. The production of significantly higher level of marketable head yield have been achieved by the cumulative combination of average head weight size of head and head compactness. The significant effect of higher level of application of FYM 210t/ha + Vermi compost 5t/ha + Poultry manure 5t/ha + Poultry manure 5t/ha and 140 kg + 80 kg NPK/ha in achieving higher level of marketable head yield (190q/ha) which might be obtained due to higher yield attributing characters viz., average head weight, head size and head compactness. Similar observations were also recorded by Nadia et al. [6], Biswas et al. [7] and Singh et al. [8].

3.2 Economics

The maximum cost of cultivation (Rs. 90650.90 ha⁻¹) was obtained under treatment M₆ (FYM 20 t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹ + Poultry manure 5 t ha⁻¹). Minimum cost of cultivation of (Rs 52650.90 ha⁻¹) was incurred in treatment M₄ (FYM 20 t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹). Variation in values of cost of cultivation was also noted due to Major nutrients. More cost of cultivation was incurred (Rs 76924.67 ha⁻¹) under F₃ (140+80+80 kg NPK ha⁻¹) followed by F₂, F₁ and control. Combination of M₆ source of manure along with F₃ level of major nutrient fetches maximum cost of cultivation of Rs 95758 ha⁻¹ which was followed by rest treatment combinations. The maximum gross return (Rs. 598074.10 ha⁻¹) was recorded under M₆ (FYM 20 t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹ + Poultry manure 5 t ha⁻¹). Minimum gross return of cultivation of (Rs536666.7 ha⁻¹) was incurred in treatment M₄ (FYM 20 t ha⁻¹ +Vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹). Variation in values of cross return was also noted due to Major nutrients. Maximum gross return of was incurred(Rs 592148.4 ha⁻¹) under F₃ (140+80+80 kg NPK ha⁻¹) followed by F₂, F₁ and control. Combination of M₆ source of manure along with F₃ level of major nutrient fetches maximum gross return of Rs 624444.4 ha⁻¹ which was followed by rest treatment combinations. Results revealed

Table 1. Effects of organic sources and major nutrients on economics of broccoli

| Treatments | Marketable yieldQ/ha. | Cost of cultivation (Rs.) | Gross return (Rs.) | Net return (Rs.) | B:C ratio |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Organic sources | | | | | |
| M ₁ FYM (20 t ha ⁻¹) | 178.89 | 61650.90 | 547629.6 | 485978.7 | 7.90 |
| M ₂ Vermicompost (5 t ha ⁻¹) | 191.69 | 73650.90 | 575074.1 | 501423.2 | 6.82 |
| M ₃ Poultry manure(5 t ha ⁻¹) | 182.54 | 52650.90 | 536666.7 | 484015.8 | 9.22 |
| M ₄ (FYM 20 t ha ⁻¹ + Vermicompost 5 t ha ⁻¹) | 195.51 | 86650.90 | 586518.5 | 499867.6 | 5.77 |
| M ₅ (FYM 20 t ha ⁻¹ + Poultry manure 5t ha ⁻¹) | 186.19 | 65650.90 | 558555.6 | 492904.7 | 7.52 |
| M ₆ (FYM 20 t ha ⁻¹ + Vermicompost 5t ha ⁻¹ + Poultry manure 5t ha ⁻¹) | 199.36 | 90650.90 | 598074.1 | 507423.2 | 5.60 |
| SEm(±) | 3.71 | - | 11088.7 | 10585.7 | 0.136 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 10.57 | - | 31565.7 | 30134.0 | 0.386 |
| Major nutrients | | | | | |
| F ₀ (0+0+0 kg NPK ha ⁻¹) Control | 177.54 | 67916.67 | 544617.3 | 476700.6 | 7.29 |
| F ₁ (80+40+40 kg NPK ha ⁻¹) | 185.42 | 72420.67 | 556271.6 | 483850.9 | 6.90 |
| F ₂ (120+60+60 kg NPK ha ⁻¹) | 191.77 | 70008.27 | 575308.6 | 505300.4 | 7.47 |
| F ₃ (140+80+80 kg NPK ha ⁻¹) | 197.38 | 76924.67 | 592148.1 | 515223.5 | 6.89 |
| SEm(±) | 3.03 | - | 9053.9 | 8643.2 | 0.111 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 8.63 | - | 25773.3 | 24604.3 | 0.316 |

that maximum gross return (Rs. 507423.2 ha⁻¹) was recorded under M₆ (FYM 20 t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹ + Poultry manure 5 t ha⁻¹). Minimum net return of cultivation of (Rs 484015.8 ha⁻¹) was incurred in treatment M₄ (FYM 20 t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹). Variation in values of net return was also noted due to Major nutrients. Maximum net return of was incurred (Rs. 515223.5 ha⁻¹) under F₃ (140+80+80 kg NPK ha⁻¹) followed by F₂, F₁ and control. Combination of M₆ source of manure along with F₃ level of major nutrient fetches maximum net return of Rs 528686.4 ha⁻¹ which was followed by rest treatment combinations. The maximum B:C ratio of 9.22 was recorded under M₃ (Poultry manure 5 t ha⁻¹). It was contrary to net return and gross return due to heavy input cost. Variation in values of net return was also noted due to Major nutrients. Maximum B:C ratio of 7.47 was noted under F₂ (120+60+60 kg NPK ha⁻¹) followed by F₃, F₁ and control. Combination of M₆ source of manure along with F₂ level of major nutrient fetches maximum net return of 9.71 which was followed by rest treatment combinations. Although maximum gross return as well as net return was associated with M₆ (FYM 20 t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹ + Poultry manure 5 t ha⁻¹) source of manure and F₃ (140+80+80 kg NPK ha⁻¹) level of major nutrient but contrary to this maximum benefit cost ratio of 9.71 was noted with M₃(Poultry manure 5 t ha⁻¹). Similarly in case of major nutrients F₂ (120:60:60 kg NPK ha⁻¹) was responsible for fetching maximum value of benefit cost ratio (7.47). It may be due to the fact that cost of inputs in M₆ source of manure and F₃ level of major nutrient was comparatively higher than that in M₄ and F₂ treatment. These results are in agreement with results of Kayesh et al. [9], Char et al. [10] and Singh et al. [8].

4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of present investigation, total head yield and gross return as well as net profit observed higher under source of manure FYM 20t/ha + Vermi compost 5t/ha + Poultry manure 5t/ha with the application of 140:80:80 kg/ha NPK major nutrients in sprouting broccoli.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Singh DN, Nath, Vishal. Winter vegetables: Advances and developments. Satish Publishing House, Delhi (India). 2012; 360.
2. Mehedi MNH, Mohosina F, Khanom A, Ali MI, Peda MN. Effects of organic manures on the growth and yield of broccoli. Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural Research. 2018;35(1):47-50.
3. Srichandan S, Mangaraj AK, Mohanty A, Behera KK, Panda D. Influence of organic and inorganic fertilizer on nutrient content in broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. italica). Plant Archives. 2015;15(2):1127-1130.
4. Yoldas F, Cylan S, Yagmur B, Modogan N. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on yield, quality and nutrient content in broccoli. Journal Plant Nutrition. 2008;311:1333-1343.
5. Anonymous. Agricultural statistics at a glance, 2021, Government of India, New Delhi and How to cook Broccoli The Indian Way; 2021. Available: <https://www.allthatgrows.in>
6. Nadia ZM, Roy P, Salam, Md. Abdus. Production potential of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. italica) in hydroponics. Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural Research. 2020;18(3):768-778.
7. Biswas A, Upadhyay D, Rathiya PS. Effect of inorganic fertilizer and organic manures on growth and yield of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. italica) cv. Palam Samridhi at Norther Hill of Chhattisgarh, The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(10):1000-1003.
8. Singh DP, Rajiv, Tomar, Saurabh, Kumari, Meenakshi. Integrated nutrient management in broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. italica) Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2021;91(11): 1627–30.
9. Kayesh E, Sharker MS, Roni MS, Sarker U. Integrated nutrient management for growth, yield and profitability of broccoli. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research. 2019;44(1): 13-26.

10. Char G, Singh TK, Singh S. Effect of bio-fertilizers and mulching on yield attributes, yield and economics of Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* Plenck) under protected condition. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2020;9(5):3137-3140.

© 2022 Singh et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/92085>