

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

12(9): 206-211, 2022; Article no.IJECC.86435 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Growth, Yield and Economics of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Hybrids under Agro-Climatic Conditions of Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Dwaram Mrudula ^{a*≡}, Vikram Singh ^{b#}, Shruti Grace George ^{a∞} and Vivek ^{c#}

^a Department of Agronomy, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P.), India. ^b Seed and Farm, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P.), India. ^c Department of Agronomy, Narain College, Shikohabad, Firozabad (U.P.), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i930756

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86435

Original Research Article

Received 15 February 2022 Accepted 20 April 2022 Published 21 April 2022

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during the *kharif* season of 2021 at experimental field of the Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Prayagraj, and Uttar Pradesh, India to determine the Growth and Yield of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Hybrids Under Agro-Climatic Conditions of Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India. The experiment was done on 15 Maize Hybrids. It was carried out through a statistical design of Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. The soil of the experimental plot was sandy loam in texture and contain pH 7.8, organic carbon (0.35%), available nitrogen (243kg/ha), available phosphorus (20.10kg/ha) and available potassium (105 kg/ha).Report of study indicate that, among different Hybrids UM-14 produced significantly higher plant height (218.48 cm), number of leaves per plant (13.07), dry weight/plant (166.11 g), cob length (17.12 cm), number of cobs per plant (2.07), number of rows per cob (15.60), number of grains per row (31.10), Seed yield (7.99 t/ha), stover yield (18.95 t/ha) and harvest index (29.67%). Hybrid UM-14 also fetched highest gross returns (Rs.2,00,631/ha), net returns (Rs.1,43,736/ha) and benefit cost ratio (2.53) when compared to other Hybrids in both growth and yield attributes.

[■]M.Sc. Scholar;

- [®]Ph.D. Scholar:
- [#]Associate Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mrudula1816@gmail.com;

Keywords: Kharif; yield attributes; growth attributes; economics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L.) is the world's leading crop and is widely cultivated as cereal grain that was domesticated in Central America. "It is considered as one of the most important food grains in India after the main cereals rice and wheat. India ranks fifth in the area and third in production and productivity over other cereal crops and members of the Poaceae family. It is the third most important crop in Uttar Pradesh. Nutrient composition of maize includes crude protein 7.6%, crude fiber 2.3%, crude fat 3.6%, starch 63.8%, Total sugar 1.7%, Gross energy 3840kcal/kg" [1]. In India maize is cultivated over an area of 9.2 million hectares with the production of 27.8 million tones and productivity of 2965 kg/ha. Uttar Pradesh has reported 8.33% of the total maize area and 9.65% of total maize production in the country. From maize starch. many bi- products like corn syrups and also alcoholic beverages include beer, whiskey, etc. In India, about 13% of maize produced is used for food purposes, about 13% as livestock feed, 47% for poultry feed, 7% in the milling industry, 14% used ad starch and 6% used for export and other uses (Indian Institute of Maize Research 2018-2019). Major prominence on maize improvement was started from the year 2000 where there is a development of Single Cross Hybrids (SCHs). "The cultivation of high yielding, stress resistant/tolerant single cross hybrids offers viable, sustainable and profitable option for Indian farmers. The impact of single cross hybrid in India by hardly covering 20% area under single cross hybrids the crop growth rate with respect to area, production and productivity of maize has increased" [2]. "Hybrid seeds are produced by public sectors and their marketing is done by some public sector organizations where demand is not fulfilled. Due to this motive, they build a partnership among small and medium private seed companies alongside with the public sector research institutions which helps in improving seed production and marketing of public-bred hybrids" [3]. Due to research carried out in the Prayagraj condition, it is hard to conclude their performance based on a single climatic condition. Some hybrids may perform well in certain climatic conditions and some in others. It is hard to state their performance based on a single location. Where, the drawbacks of the previous study were selected hybrids samples may not have performed well in selected agroclimatic conditions, lacks more previous work for

reliability, and also insufficient investment during research work. This evaluation will help to carry out research in other agro climatic conditions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was carried out during Kharif 2021 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, (U.P) which is located at 25.28°N latitude, 81.54°E longitude and 98 m altitude above the mean sea level on sandy loam soil having basic pH (7.8), organic carbon (0.35%), available nitrogen (243kg/ha), available phosphorus (20.10kg/ha). available potassium (105 kg/ha) and EC (0.296ds/m). The climate of this region is typically sub-tropical and semi-arid with monsoon commencing by the third week of June and withdrawing by end of October with mean temperature of 43°c. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD), where 15 hybrids are replicated thrice. Maize was sown at a spacing of 60 cm × 20 cm using a seed rate of 20 kg/ha which was supplied by UPCAR on July 9. The crop was fertilized with recommended dose of NPK 120:60:40 kg/ha. Full dose of phosphorus and potassium fertilizers were applied as basal while, half of nitrogen was applied as basal and remaining half was applied 25, 45 days after sowing. Similarly, Zinc Sulphate (ZnSO₄) was applied as basal dose at the rate of 25 kg/ha for correction of zinc and Sulphur deficiency. Irrigation was done at critical stages i.e. vegetative stage, tasseling stage, cob filling and maturity stage. However other normal cultural practices were weeding and spraying of insecticide was done timely. Observations on growth parameters, yield attributes and yield of maize hybrids, was recorded and their significance was tested by the variance ratio. The data recorded for various characteristics were subjected to statistical analysis by adopting the tactic of study of (ANOVA). The significance variance of comparison was tested and the significant difference values were computed for a 5 percent probability of error. Wherever the variance ratio (F value) was found significant, critical difference (CD) values were computed for the comparison among the treatment means. Economics was present calculated as per the market prices of the inputs and produced during Kharif season.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Growth Attributes

Growth parameters in maize hybrids were measured in terms of plant height (cms), no. of leaves, plant dry weight (gms) at harvesting stage were shown in table 1. During research trial, significantly highest plant height was found in hybrid UM-14(218.48 cm). However, hybrid UM-12(211.83cm) was found statistically at par with UM-14. Plant height was significantly affected by maize hybrids. There is a considerable varietal variation in this characteristics, when the height of final plant is strongly influenced by environmental conditions during stem elongation as shown by Sener et al. [4]. At harvest, significantly highest number of leaves/plant (No.) was recorded by UM-14(13.07) however hybrids UM-9(11.93), UM-12(12.53) and UM-13(12.47) were found statistically at par with UM-14 hybrid. "The differences observed in the number of leaves of maize may be attributed to differences in growth characters which are being influenced by genetic make-up of the plants as shown in" Gollar et al. [5]. Significantly highest plant dry weight was recorded by UM-14(166.11g). However, hybrid UM-12(159.51 g) was found statistically at par with UM-14. The accumulation of dry matter is a good index, to express the photosynthetic efficiency of the plants, noticeably higher total dry matter including leaves, cob and stem was recorded with different hybrids which could be due to higher plant height as shown in Singh et al. [6].

3.2 Yield Attributes

The observations regarding yield attributes viz., number of cobs/plant, cob length(cm), number of rows/cob, number of grains/row and seed index(g) were shown in table 2. Significantly highest number of cobs/plant was recorded by hybrid UM-14(2.07). However, the hybrids UM-8(1.73), UM-9(1.73) and UM-12(1.80) were found statistically at par with UM-14. significantly highest cob length (17.12 cm) was recorded by UM-14 however, hybrids UM-1(16.23cm) and UM-12(16.57cm) were found statistically at par with UM-14. "The probable reason could be that at favourable environment optimum utilization of solar light, higher assimilated production and its conversion to starches resulted higher cob length as reported by" Ahmad et al. [7]. The number of rows per cob (15.60) was recorded significantly higher in UM-14. However, hybrids UM-

10(14.38) and UM-12(15.31) were found statistically at par with UM-14. The number of grains per row (31.10) was recorded significantly higher in UM-14. However, the minimum number of grains per row was recorded in UM-6(24.13). "An increase in the number of grains per row might be due to the lower competition for nutrients that allowing the plants to accumulate more biomass with a higher capacity to convert more photosynthesis into sink resulting in more grains per row as reported by" Adhikari et al. [8]. The maximum seed index (32.00 g) was recorded by UM-14 and the minimum seed index (26.00 g) was recorded by UM-6.

3.3 Yield

Yield parameters were measured in terms of seed yield(t/ha), Stover yield(t/ha), biological yield(t/ha) and harvest index (%) were shown in table 3. Significantly highest seed yield (7.99 t/ha) was recorded by UM-14. However, UM-12(7.63 t/ha) was found statistically at par with UM-14. "Hybrids react differently for grain yield due to their genetic makeup and potential expressed in terms of difference in cob/plant, number of grains/cob as shown in" Jan et al. [9]. "An increase in grain yield might be due to the lower competition for nutrients which leads to more canopy of plant contributing higher photosynthetic activity to accumulate more biomass with the bold grain as reported by" Adhikari et al. [8]. Significantly highest Stover vield (18.95t/ha) was recorded by UM-14. However, UM-3 (17.61t/ha) and UM-12(18.24t/ha) were found statistically at par with UM-14. "The higher Stover yield could be due to its higher height and leaf area which created a better chance to utilize more nutrients and more photosynthetic activity, which ultimately resulted in higher biomass production as reported" in Zamir et The significantly higher biological yield al. [10]. (26.94 t/ha) was recorded by UM-14. However, UM-12(25.87t/ha) was found statistically at par with UM-14. The significantly highest harvest index (29.67 %) was recorded by UM-14. However, UM-12(29.50%), UM-9(27.87%) and UM-8(28.62%) were found statistically at par with UM-14. Harvest index indicates the physiological efficiency of a plant for changing the total dry matter into economic yield. Higher harvest index was observed due to increment in grain yield & Stover yield and similar findings were found in Ali et al. [11].

3.4 Economics

The highest gross returns (INR200631.00), net returns (INR143736.00), and B:C ratio (2.53) was

recorded by UM-14, as it had reported highest grain yield and Stover yield. The differences

among net returns and gross returns were mainly due to their variability in yield performance.

Table 1. Evaluation of growth attributes of maize hybrids under agro-climatic conditions ofPrayagraj U.P

Hybrids	Plant height (cms)	No. of leaves	Plant dry weight(g)
UM-1	203.48	11.53	141.44
UM-2	192.32	11.60	149.88
UM-3	196.23	11.40	142.17
UM-4	188.08	10.53	143.83
UM-5	195.85	10.53	144.88
UM-6	194.51	10.60	133.10
UM-7	182.26	11.13	146.17
UM-8	202.26	10.47	151.67
UM-9	204.23	11.93	146.09
UM-10	193.13	11.13	148.03
UM-11	187.68	11.60	143.50
UM-12	211.83	12.53	159.51
UM-13	196.74	12.47	148.43
UM-14	218.48	13.07	166.11
UM-15	199.89	11.53	139.16
F TEST	S	S	S
SEm(+)	4.51	0.49	2.96
CD(P=0.05)	13.13	1.45	8.63

Table 2. Evaluation of yield attributes of maize hybrids under agro-climatic conditions of Prayagraj U.P

Hybrids	No. of cobs/plant	Cob length(cm)	No. of rows/cob	No.of grains/row	Seed index(g)
UM-1	1.60	16.23	13.40	28.53	27.67
UM-2	1.60	15.39	13.29	29.60	27.33
UM-3	1.60	15.33	13.93	28.60	27.67
UM-4	1.60	15.47	13.07	27.47	27.00
UM-5	1.47	15.26	13.60	28.03	26.33
UM-6	1.13	13.71	12.85	24.13	26.00
UM-7	1.60	14.75	13.12	25.03	31.00
UM-8	1.73	15.30	13.20	26.80	28.00
UM-9	1.73	15.37	13.47	27.33	28.00
UM-10	1.40	14.79	14.38	26.33	30.00
UM-11	1.47	14.31	13.09	28.40	26.33
UM-12	1.80	16.57	15.31	29.73	31.33
UM-13	1.40	15.34	14.13	28.73	28.67
UM-14	2.07	17.12	15.60	31.10	32.00
UM-15	1.60	14.18	14.04	25.33	27.67
F TEST	S	S	S	S	NS
SEm(+)	0.13	0.56	0.42	1.31	1.81
CD(5%)	0.40	1.63	1.24	3.83	-

Hybrids	Seed yield(t/ha)	Stover yield(t/ha)	Biological yield(t/ha)	Harvest index(%)
UM-1	5.96	17.30	23.27	25.58
UM-2	6.12	17.05	23.17	26.47
UM-3	6.32	17.61	23.92	26.42
UM-4	6.07	16.69	22.77	26.73
UM-5	6.08	17.37	23.45	25.91
UM-6	5.53	15.79	21.32	25.98
UM-7	5.93	16.89	22.82	25.97
UM-8	6.38	15.89	22.27	28.62
UM-9	6.42	16.64	23.07	27.87
UM-10	6.02	17.24	23.27	25.89
UM-11	5.89	16.86	22.75	25.98
UM-12	7.63	18.24	25.87	29.50
UM-13	6.14	17.37	23.51	26.12
UM-14	7.99	18.95	26.94	29.67
UM-15	5.96	17.26	23.22	25.71
F TEST	S	S	S	S
SEm(+)	0.25	0.51	0.61	0.91
CD (5%)	0.73	1.51	1.80	2.67

Table 3. Evaluation of yield of maize hybrids under agro climatic conditions of Prayagraj, U.P

Table 4. Economics of maize hybrids under agro climatic conditions of Prayagraj, U.P

Hybrids	Cost of cultivaton (inr/ha)	Gross returns (inr/ha)	Net returns (inr/ha)	B:c
UM-1	56895	158233	101338	1.78
UM-2	56895	160541	103646	1.82
UM-3	56895	165660	108765	1.91
UM-4	56895	158612	101717	1.79
UM-5	56895	160533	103638	1.82
UM-6	56895	146075	89180	1.57
UM-7	56895	156503	99608	1.75
UM-8	56895	162231	105336	1.85
UM-9	56895	165053	108158	1.90
UM-10	56895	159193	102298	1.80
UM-11	56895	155687	98792	1.74
UM-12	56895	191991	135096	2.37
UM-13	56895	161770	104875	1.84
UM-14	56895	200631	143736	2.53
UM-15	56895	158116	101221	1.78

4. CONCLUSION

From the above findings it was concluded that among all hybrids, UM-14 was found to be best by obtaining highest growth, yield attributes and yield. It was found more productive, when compared to others under agro climatic conditions of Prayagraj, U.P.

FUTURE SCOPE

As there was less research happened in the field, further research should be done to obtain proper results and help farmers to choose better performing hybrid. Since the findings are based on the research done in one season, further trails are needed to confirm the results of this experiment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work was supported by my advisor Dr. Vikram Singh and all faculty members, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, (U.P.) India for providing field and assistance in conducting the research trail.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Afzal US, Anjum MM, Usman H, Khan M, labal OM. Khan K. Seed vield performance of different maize (Zea mavs under agroclimatic L.) genotypes conditions of Haripur. International Journal of Environmental Sciences and Natural Resources. 2017;97-102.
- Dass S, Kaul J, Manivannan, Singode A, Chikkappa GK. Single cross hybrid maize

 A viable solution in the changing climate scenario. Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding. 2009;69(4):331-334.
- Babu S, Jat NK, Kumar S, Shamim M, Ravisankar N, Panwar AP. Evaluation of maize (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars under organic production system in northwestern Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2019;89(5):828–33.
- 4. Sener O, Gozubenil H, Konuskan O, Kilinc M. The Effects of Intra-row Spacings on the Grain Yield and Some Agronomic Characteristics of Maize (*Zea mays* L.)

Hybrids. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences. 2004;3:429-432.

- 5. Gollar RG. Plant density, skipping irrigation at critical stages and staggered and simultaneous planting of intercrops in rabi maize. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agricultural sciences, Dharwad; 1996.
- Singh P, Shukla UN, Kumar K, Singh S, Kumar V, Kumar R. Evaluation of growth, yield and quality of maize as influenced by genotypes and nitrogen levels. Bangladesh Journal of Botany. 2014;43(1):59-64.
- Ahmad S, Khan AA, Kamran M, Ahmad I, Ali S, Fahad S. Response of maize cultivars to various nitrogen levels. European Journal of Experimental Biology. 2018;8(1):2.
- Adhikari K, Bhandari S, Aryal K, Mahato M, Shrestha J. Effect of different levels of nitrogen on growth and yield of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) varieties. Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources. 2021;4(2).
- Jan MF, Khan AA, Wahab F, Liaqat W, Ahmad H, Rehan W. Phenology and productivity response of maize (Zea mays L.) hybrids to different levels of mineral potassium under semiarid climate. Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research. 2018;7(2):287-291.
- Zamir MŚI, Ahmad AH, Javeed HMR, Latif T. Growth and yield behaviour of two maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.) towards different plant spacing. Cercetări Agronomice în Moldova. 2011;2:146.
- 11. Ali A, Hayyat MS, Adnan M. Effect of various planting dates on performance of different maize hybrids. Agricultural and Biological Research. 2020;36(5):75-79.

© 2022 Mrudula et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86435