Physico-chemical Characteristics of Millet Based Muffins during Storage

Vini K. Swarup [a++*], Anamika Das [b#], Priyae Brath Gautam [a†], Shanta Peter [a†] and Gaurav Yadav [a†]

ᵃ Warner College of Dairy Technology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj-211007, India.
ᵇ Sanjay Gandhi Institute of Dairy Technology, Bihar Animal Sciences University, Patna-800014, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Millets are excellent source of protein, minerals and dietary fibers, and therefore they can be supplemented in bakery products and plays a therapeutic role in human health. The present study is aimed at optimizing the level of pearl millet, finger millet and little millet flours for preparation of muffins. In the present study, pearl millet, finger millet and little millet flour was used at the rate of 10%, 20% and 10% respectively for treatment S1and for treatment S2, pearl millet, finger millet and little millet flour % was 10%, 15% and 15% respectively. For treatment S3, pearl millet, finger millet and little millet flour content was 10%, 10% and 20% respectively. Control samples were prepared using wheat flour in treatment S0. And after, the formulation of the samples, all the muffins were

_____

++ *Ph. D Scholar;*
# *Associate Professor;*
† *Assistant Professor;*
Corresponding author: Email: viniswarup885@gmail.com;

undertaken for proximate composition. The standardization of samples were done based on sensory scores. The results showed that samples of S1 treatment scored highest in color, texture and overall acceptability in organoleptic evaluation. Therefore, S1 sample was optimized and was further kept for storage studies. The moisture, fat, protein, carbohydrate and ash % was found to be 22.20, 18.21, 12.64, 45.23 and 1.94% respectively in muffin sample of S1 treatment which was considered as the standardized product. And after, the samples of S1 treatment were subjected to storage studies at room temperature, it was found that the muffin samples remained acceptable up to 60 days of storage as there was no microbial spoilage found on the product.

1. INTRODUCTION

Muffins are baked products, sweet in nature and highly acceptable by consumer as it has soft texture and characteristic taste [1]. Muffins are very popular snack item, generally made up of wheat flour which is mainly eaten by children. Incorporation of different millet flour, which are good source of minerals, iron, fibers, protein etc. increases the nutritive value of muffins and also increases the acceptability quality of the product.

Millets are small grains and grown on the marginal lands in dry areas in temperate and tropical regions as grain crops. There are varieties of millets cultivated which includes pearl millet which consists of 40% of the world production, Foxtail millet, Proso millet or white millet and Finger Millet. Millets contains high level of fiber and polyphenols. They reduce several non- communicable disease conditions like cardiovascular disease, diabetes and high blood pressure [2] Consumption of nutritious food is highly essential to lead a healthy life. Millets are known to have enormous nutritive values in comparison to rice and wheat. From ancient times, millets have been used as a staple food in diets of Asian and African people. Millet porridge is the most common traditional food in India, China, Russia, & Germany [3]. Whole-grain cereals such as brown rice and millets are nutritionally superior to the widely consumed polished white rice. Studies have also shown that the dietary glycemic load (a measure of both quality and quantity of carbohydrates) and the higher intake of refined grains such as white rice were associated with the risk of type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndrome among urban south Asian Indians [4]. Finger millet *(Eleusine coracana)* which are commonly named as Mandua or Ragi are considered to be rich in micronutrients such as vitamins and minerals as compared to other major cereals, wheat and rice of the world. Especially, finger millet is the richest source of calcium and have 10 times more than wheat, maize and rice and three times greater than milk [5].

According to the studies, little millet is a rich source of good cholesterol, high phosphorous (220 mg/100g) and iron (9.3 mg/100g). Few studies, which can be prepared using little millet are dosa, idli, pongal, kichadi. Millets are staple food source, which are not only providing major nutrients like protein, carbohydrates, fats etc but also provide ample of vitamins and minerals. In developing countries malnutrition and various health problems like obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, cancer, celiac disease etc are most prominent because of inadequate supply of nutrition [6].

Pearl millet *(Pennisetum glaucum),* also known as bajra, is a rich source in magnesium which helps the cardiovascular system by lowering blood pressure, which in turn helps in reducing the chances of heart attack or stroke. It also contains certain plant lignans that get converted into animal lignans in the body. These lignans are also known for preventing heart disease. Bajra is also known for controlling cholesterol levels. Bajra flour has high amounts of magnesium in it, due to which it helps in controlling the glucose receptors in the body. In the populations that have bajra or magnesium-rich foods in their diet, the occurrence of diabetes is reduced by at least 30 per cent [7].

The present study discussed in this paper focuses on replacement of wheat flour by different levels of millet flours in muffins and thereafter, storage studies were carried out for the developed product.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Purchase of Raw Materials and Treatment Combination

The ingredients like millet grains, whole milk, butter, baking soda were procured from the local market of Prayagraj. Maltodextrine was purchased from Bioven ingredients, Noida. Stevia was procured from Herboveda India, Noida and Lecithin powder was procured from urban platter, Noida.

The trails were conducted at Warner College of Dairy Technology, Prayagraj to study the effect of different levels of millets flour on nutritive attributes and shelf life of muffins. The three different levels of formulation were prepared by mixing pearl millet flour, finger millet flour and little millet flour, in which the percentage of pearl millet was constant. The millet % of S1 sample was 10%, 20%, 10% for S2 sample 10%, 15%, 15% and 10%, 10%, 20% was taken for sample S3.

2.2 Preparation of Muffin Samples

In this study, the preparation of multimillet flour based muffins were prepared from malted millet flour which was sieved through 250 µm mesh to get fine flour and then it was stored at refrigerated temperature for further preparation of muffins. Now, for the preparation of muffins 40g of millet flour with 0.9g of stevia, 14.1g maltodextrin, 4g of baking powder and 1g lecithin powder is mixed altogether with 40 ml of whole milk poured in it to form a batter. In this, 40g of multi millet flour, three different millets, i.e., pearl millet, finger millet and little millet was mixed at different percentage for three different samples i.e., 10%, 20%, 10% for S1 sample 10%, 15%, 15% and 10%, 10%, 20% was taken for S2 and S3 samples. After the batter is prepared, greasing of the molds are done with butter to pour the batter in it. The aluminum molds are further kept in a preheated oven (100°C for 30 mins.) for baking at temperature 180°C for 10-15mins. After the muffins are prepared they are allowed to cool for further packaging and storage.

2.3 Sensory Evaluation of Muffins

The control sample and millet based samples of muffins were prepared with addition of different levels of pearl millet flour, finger millet flour and little millet flour were evaluated by twenty panelists for the sensory qualities of color, texture, taste, aroma and overall acceptability using 9-Point Hedonic Scale. The muffins were standardized based on organoleptic score slightly acceptable muffins were further analyzed for nutrient values and shelf-life studies.

2.4 Proximate Composition of Muffins

The nutritive value of the ingredients were analyzed by standard methods. The control and formulated muffins samples moisture content was determined adopting [8]. Protein, ash, fat and crude fiber were determined using [8]. Total carbohydrate content of the sample was determined as total carbohydrate by difference, which is by subtracting the measured protein, fat, ash and moisture from 100 g.

2.5 Shelf-life Analysis of Muffins

Shelf life study was conducted at ambient temperature (25 ± 2°) for 90 days along with control i.e. wheat flour muffins. The optimized muffin sample was packed in low density polyethylene (LDPE) box during the storage and the sample was examined at every 15 days interval i.e. (0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 and 90) for proximate composition which was followed by AOAC 2010 parameters, along with (FFA) free fatty acid followed for the procedure by [9] and for tyrosine value the procedure was followed by [10]. The microbial count i.e. total plate count and yeast and mold count was analyzed by standard pore plate method [11].

2.6 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was done using MS EXCEL 2007 excel version. The data was presented as mean ± SD value and these values were calculated in triplicates. The type of ANOVA used was one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) which was obtained by the use of Web Agri Stat Package.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sensory evaluation was carried out for optimization the multimillet flour muffins. Sensory evaluation is the most important parameter for further evaluation of the product. The graph mentioned below in Fig.1 depicts the sensory mean scores for S0, S1, S2 and S3 samples. Sample S1 containing 10% pearl millet, 20% finger millet and 10% little millet showed the highest scores for color, texture, aroma, taste, and overall acceptability. The mean scores for color, texture, aroma, taste and overall acceptability was scored as 9.8, 8.2, 9.3, 8.1 and 9.6 respectively. The finding of the present study was found similar with reports given by [9] and [12]. According to the reports, cakes have distinct flavor, texture, and aroma; factors such as ingredients, processing, and maturation stage all come into play. The quality of the cake is ultimately determined by its texture, flavor, and aroma, all of which influence whether or not customers will accept it. Among the textures of cakes are soft, chewy, wet, and watery. While certain scents are available in weak, medium,

and strong concentrations and flavors come in three different varieties: hot, sweet, and salty [13]. A slightly low acceptable taste was observed in samples S2 and S3 respectively. The scores for these sample S2 were 8.6, 7.1, 6.8, 7.9 and 7.7 for color, texture, taste, aroma and overall acceptability respectively. And for sample S3 also the overall acceptability was lower than sample S1. As sample S1 was more acceptable than the other two samples, it was further analyzed for chemical composition, shelf-life study and microbial study.

3.1 Physiochemical Study of Muffin Samples on the Basis of Proximate Composition

The muffin samples were further subjected for the proximate composition as the data is given in Table 1 below for all the three samples i.e., S1, S2 and S3 constituting millet flours (40%) including the control sample S0 which is made up of wheat flour (40%). In the given data, S1 considering pearl millet, finger millet and little millet flours in 10%, 20% and 10% respectively gave an appropriate results for consumption. The moisture, protein, carbohydrate and ash of

sample S1 was significantly ($p<0.05$) higher with mean values 22.20, 12.64, 45.23 and 1.94 respectively than S0 sample. The fat content of S1 sample is 18.12 which is found to be lesser than S0 which is 20.59.

Whereas, if we see for S2 muffin sample, the moisture content was 23.01, the fat content was 19.87, the protein content was 10.33, carbohydrate was found to be 46.3 and ash was 1.34. Similarly, when we observe the data for S3 sample, the protein and ash content are found to be 19.12 and 1.29 respectively which is lesser then the content found in sample S1. The carbohydrate content was found to be 46.91 in S3 sample which is higher than S1 sample ($p<0.05$). As reported by [14] moisture required for bound cake to maintain its quality, and excessive moisture has a negative impact on cake storage stability. Also, the study indicated that the pearl millet increases the nutritive value of the muffins as it is a good source of fat and protein which helps to increase the nutritive value of bound cake. The composite bound cake may have had a higher protein level due to the usage of pearl millet flour, which enhanced the protein content of the bound cake [15].


Fig. 1. Graph depicting the sensory evaluation of muffins

Table 1. Table showing the proximate composition of different muffin samples

Parameters	S0	S1	S2	S3
Moisture (%)	23.40±0.02	22.20±0.04	23.01±0.06	23.20±0.01
Fat (%)	20.59±0.04	18.21±0.01	19.87±0.01	19.12±0.01
Protein (%)	10.23±0.01	12.64±0.01	10.33±0.01	10.43±0.02
Carbohydrate (%)	46.22±0.01	45.23±0.02	46.3±0.07	46.91±0.06
Ash (%)	1.20±0.01	1.94±0.01	1.34±0.01	1.29±0.06

Table 2. Table showing effect of shelf-life analysis on proximate composition of optimized sample

Parameters	D0	D15	D30	D45	D60	D75	D90
Moisture (%)	22.20±0.04	22.11±0.00	22.13±0.01	22.02±0.01	21.87±0.01	21.64±0.01	20.62±0.01
Fat (%)	17.64±0.01	17.21±0.00	17.12±0.01	17.06±0.01	17.01±0.00	16.97±0.01	16.42±0.01
Protein (%)	12.64±0.01	12.61±0.01	12.56±0.01	12.42±0.01	12.01±0.00	11.66±0.01	11.21±0.00
Carbohydrate (%)	45.23±0.02	45.21±0.00	45.05±0.01	45.02±0.01	44.99±0.01	44.65±0.01	44.20±0.01
Ash (%)	1.94±0.01	1.91±0.00	1.87±0.01	1.62±0.01	1.426±0.01	1.14±0.01	0.99±0.01
Free Fatty acid (FFA) (%)	0.77±0.00	0.80±0.01	0.84±0.01	0.93±0.01	1.02±0.01	1.25±0.01	1.31±0.01
Tyrosine Value (%)	0.62±0.00	0.64±0.01	0.68±0.00	0.73±0.01	0.76±0.00	0.84±0.00	0.86±0.01

3.2 Studies on Storage Period of Multimillet Flour Muffins on the Basis of Proximate Analysis and Microbial Study

In the optimized sample S1 was kept for shelf-life study for 90 days at ambient temperature. For the evaluation of the product, the optimized sample S1 was evaluated on the basis of proximate composition and microbial study for 90 days. Muffins sample S1 prepared with millet flour (pearl millet (10%), finger millet (20%) and little millet (10%) exhibited significantly (p<0.05) higher protein and ash as compared to wheat flour. The samples were kept for 90 days at ambient temperature for 15 days of interval, wherein, it was found that there was no major decrease for 60 days in protein, fat, carbohydrate and ash as mentioned below in the Table 2. The fat content of S1 sample was lesser as compared to S0 sample which ranged between 17.64 to 17.42% from D0 to D90. The protein content was found to be in between the range of 12.64% on D0 to 11.21% on D90. The moisture content of millet muffin was found to be in between 22.20 to 20.62 from D0 to D90 respectively. As reported by researchers, that the moisture content has an important role in affecting the storage stability of the product and less than 14% is considered suitable to prevent enzyme activities and degradation [16]. During storage, the free fatty acid (FFA) value exhibited higher values during storage interval. The results were ranged between 0.77 to 1.31within 90 days. As the study was compared with [11] it stated that during the storage 100 g/100 g Barnyard millet flour muffins exhibited lesser free fatty acid (FFA) content than control muffins. Higher free fatty acid (FFA) was developed when the muffin samples were stored at refrigerated temperature. Similar studies were also found by Shobana et al. [4], Banusha and Vasantharuba [17] and Kumar et al. [18]. The tyrosine value of the sample was found to be in between the range of 0.62 to 0.86 for 90 days. It was also studied that the millet muffin sample S1 stored at ambient temperature was acceptable up till 60 days as there was no microbial growth found in it. After 60 days, yeast and mold (x10^{1} cfu/g) count was found which was not acceptable by the panelists. According to the reports of [11] there was no microbial growth found on barnyard millet flour based muffin for more than 15 days at room temperature.

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion this study confirmed that incorporation of different varieties of millet to the muffins formulation has improved the muffins quality attribute nutritionally protein, minerals, fat and ash. The organoleptic parameters like color, texture, taste, aroma and overall acceptability was also improved. The study confirmed that the blending of pearl millet, finger millet and little millet at 10%, 20% and 10% gave the best results. It is therefore concluded that S1 sample was highly acceptable and also gave the best results during storage period for 90 days. Based on the outcomes of the studies, it was found that no physical changes and no much alteration was found in proximate composition up to 60 days of storage at ambient temperature.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ramya HN, Anitha S. Development of muffins from wheat flour and coconut flour using honey as a sweetener. International Journal of Current Microbiological Applied Sciences. 2020;9(7):2231-2240.
2. Majumdar A, Thakkar B, Saxena S, Dwivedi P and Tripathi V. Physicochemical properties of Brown top Millet and Evaluation of its Suitability in Product Formulation. Journal of Acta Scientific Nutritional Health. 2023;7(9).
3. Mishra A, Pattnaik B, Dutta T, Baitharu I. Nutritional values and potential health benefits of millets- A review. Journal of nutrients. 2022;8:9-26.
4. Shobana S, Krishnaswamy K, Sudha V, Malleshi NG, Anjana RM, Palaniappan L,

Mohan V. Finger millet (Ragi, *Eleusine coracana* L.): a review of its nutritional properties, processing, and plausible health benefits. Advances in Food and Nutrition Research. 2013;69:1-39.

5. Jagati P, Mahapatra I, Dash D. Finger millet (Ragi) as an essential dietary supplement with key health benefits: a review. International Journal of Home Science. 2021;7(2):94-100.

6. Bhat S, Nandini C, Tippeswamy V. Significance of small millets in nutrition and health-A review. Asian Journal of Dairy and Food Research. 2018;37(1):35-40.

7. Patni D, Agrawal M. Wonder millet–pearl millet, nutrient composition and potential health benefits-a review. International Journal of Innovative Research Review. 2017;5:6-14.

8. Patni D, Agrawal M. Wonder millet–pearl millet, nutrient composition and potential health benefits-a review. International Journal of Innovative Research Review. 2017;5:6-14.

9. Association of official analytical chemist (AOAC). Official methods of analysis (17th ed.) (MD, USA); 2000

10. Bazsefidpar N, Yazdi GPA, Karimi A, Yahyavi M, Amini M, Gavlighi AH, Gandara SJ. Brewers spent grain prtein hydrolysate as functional ingredient for muffins: Antioxidant, antidiabetic, and sensory evaluation. Journal of Food Chemistry, ELSEVIER. 2024;435(137565).

11. Goswami D, Gupta RK, Mridula D, Sharma M, Tyagi SK Barnyard millet based muffins: Physical, textural and sensory properties. LWT Food Science. 2015; 64:374–380.

12. Goswami D, Gupta RK, Mridula D, Sharma M, Tyagi SK Barnyard millet based muffins: Physical, textural and sensory properties. LWT Food Science. 2015;64:374–380.

13. Kawai K, Hando K, Thuwapanichayanan R, Hagura Y. Effect of stepwise baking on the structure, browning, texture, and in vitro starch digestibility of cookie. LWT-Food Science and Technology. 2016;66:384–89.

14. Mallasiy L, Elezly MF, Mohammed AMM Effect of Replacing Wheat Flour with Pearl Millet on Chemical and Sensory Properties of Bound Cake. Journal of Food and Dairy Sciences. 2023;14(12):293-299.

15. Ijah UJJ, Auta HS, Aduloju MO, Aransiola AS. Microbiological, nutritional, and sensory quality of bread produced from wheat and potato flour blends. International Journal of Food Science. 2014;6. Article ID 671701.

16. Rustagi S, Khan S, Jain T. Comparative study of nutrional and functional characteristics of pearl millet, buckwheat, amaranth and unripe banana flours for gluten-free bakery products. Journal of Food Research. 2022;6(3):411-419.

17. Banusha S, Vasantharuba S. Effect of malting on nutritional contents of finger millet and mungbean. Journal of Agriculture and Environment Science. 2013;13(12):1642.

18. Kumar A, Metwal M, Kaur S, Gupta AK, Puranik S, Singh S, Singh M, Gupta S, Babu BK, Sood S, Yadav R. Nutraceutical value of finger millet [*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn.], and their improvement using omics approaches. Journal of Frontiers in Plant Sciences. 2016;7:934.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124187