



Effect of Biostimulants and Nutrient Management on Soil Nutrients Level in Onion (*Allium cepa* L.)

Anubha Saini ^{a++}, Santosh Kumari ^{a#*}
and Parveen Chaudhary ^{a++}

^a Dr YSP UHF, Nauri, Solan (HP) 173230, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i101450>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123368>

Original Research Article

Received: 17/07/2024

Accepted: 20/09/2024

Published: 25/09/2024

ABSTRACT

During rabi season of 2021, a field experiment was conducted by embracing different organic manures, inorganic fertilizers and biostimulants (*Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus subtilis*) over growth, yield, quality and persisting soil fertility of onion in the mineralized soils of Himachal Pradesh. The study was organized in thirteen treatments. The analysis revealed that lowest soil pH (6.94), electrical conductivity (0.186 dSm⁻¹), highest soil organic carbon (0.85%), available nitrogen (257.79 kg/ha) and available phosphorus (26.14 kg/ha) were recorded with treatment T₇ [75% RDF + 40 kg S/ha + *Bacillus subtilis* + FYM (250 q/ha)]. The highest available potassium was recorded in treatment T₁₂ [100% RDF (125:75:60 kg/ha)] and highest available sulphur was recorded in treatment in T₁₁ [100% RDF + 40 kg S/ha].

⁺⁺MSc. Scholar;

[#]Senior Scientist;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: santoshstpc@gmail.com;

Cite as: Saini, Anubha, Santosh Kumari, and Parveen Chaudhary. 2024. "Effect of Biostimulants and Nutrient Management on Soil Nutrients Level in Onion (*Allium Cepa* L.)". *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology* 27 (10):262-72. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i101450>.

Keywords: Biostimulant; onion; soil nutrient level; sulphur.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Onion is one of the most important commercial vegetable crops cultivated extensively in India and belongs to family Alliaceae” [54]. “The crop is native of Asia” [28]. Onion is an indispensable item in every kitchen as condiment, therefore onion is popularly known as ‘queen of kitchen’ [48]. “Pungency in onion is due to the presence of a volatile oil ‘allyl propyl disulphide’– an organic compound rich in sulphur [51]. Onion has a paramount effect in preventing heart diseases, diabetes [46, 60] and also contains several anti cancerous agents which have shown to prevent cancer [12]. “The beneficial compound called ‘quercetin’ present in onion is a powerful antioxidant” [16]. “Onion is used by processing industries to greater extent for preparing dehydrated products like powder and flakes” [43]. “India occupies second position after China in production, cultivating onion over an area of 1431 thousand ha with annual production of 26148 thousand metric tonnes and in Himachal Pradesh, onion is cultivated over an area of 3411.08 ha with annual production of 74827 metric tones [6].

“In recent years, it has been realized that judicious application of nutrients has expanded many folds with the adoption improved technology for obtaining higher yield and better quality of onion” [52]. “In modern agriculture, fertilizers constitute major portion of cost of production of onion. Inorganic fertilizers application will cause deleterious effect on soil health leading to soil acidity or alkalinity”.

Ramesh [42]. “Moreover, chemical fertilizers are very expensive and sometimes unavailable to small scale farmers and therefore sole application of inorganic fertilizers deteriorates soil fertility level day by day, that affect the production, economics of production and human health”[3, 25]“This anxiety has now led farmers to devise ways and means to switch over from conventional to organic farming systems which used no synthetic fertilizer and pesticide in crop production”[19]. Use of chemical fertilizers supplemented with organic manures and biofertilizers will be environmentally benign [24], indirectly it improves the physical properties of soil such as aggregation, aeration, permeability and water holding capacity [18, 63].

“Improved management of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur and other inputs in the soil

could improve the yield and quality of onion. Availability of nitrogen is salient for growing plants as it remains the major constituent of protein and nucleic acid molecules. Application of nitrogen with different doses increases the growth and yield of onion” [36]. “Phosphorous is desired for the transfer of energy within the plant system and is involved in several metabolic activities” [44]. “It has its favourable outcomes on early root development, plant growth, yield and quality” [62]. Potassium plays prime role in plant metabolism [4] and it improves color, glossiness and dry matter accumulation besides improving keeping quality of onion [20]. Sulphur is an essential macronutrient and at an optimum concentration accelerates the plant growth [55, 7]. It also influences the taste and pungency in the crop [56]. Therefore, integrated nutrient management is available strategy for advocating judicious and efficient use of chemical fertilizers with matching addition of organic manures for sustainable onion cultivation.

“Organic manures like FYM and Vermicompost activate many species of living organism which release phytohormones and may stimulate the plant growth and absorption of nutrients. The increase in microbial population in the presence of organic manures may also be attributed to greater availability of organic carbon and mineralized nutrients for their proliferation and further cellular development” [31]. “Apart from improving physical and biological properties of soil organic manures help in improving the use and efficiency of chemical fertilizers” [22]. “Combined use of FYM and inorganic fertilizers is of special significance under intensive cropping system as these are complementary and supplementary to each other in sustaining crop yields and soil productivity” [1]. “FYM improves the soil physical, chemical and biological properties along-with the provision of macro and micro nutrients” [8]. “Use of organic manures in combination with chemical fertilizers in an appropriate proportion improves the overall soil health for sustainable onion production” [24].

“Importance of nutrients supply in integrated manner in sustaining productivity is emphasized to restore and sustain soil health and productivity in the long run which otherwise is likely to deteriorate due to continuous and intensive cultivation without adequate nutrients management. Therefore, biofertilizers are widely accepted as low cost supplements to chemical

fertilizers with no deleterious effect on either soil health or environment” [13]. “Bio-fertilizer has recently gained with momentum for affecting the sustainable increase in crop yield under various agro-climatic conditions. Biofertilizers are live carrier based microbial preparations used in agriculture as low input resources to enhance the availability of plant nutrients or promote the growth by way of synthesizing growth factors and also improve building hormones along with anti-metabolite properties” [49]. “Role of bio-fertilizer on the crop growth and yield was documented by [59, 40]. A small dose of biofertilizer is sufficient to produce desirable results because each gram of carrier of biofertilizers contains at least 10 million viable cells of a specific strain” [5]. “These may be helpful for increasing the crop production by enhancing the soil fertility, therefore use of biofertilizers not only supplement the nutrient but also improve the efficiency of applied nutrients” [9].

Various biostimulants enhance emergence of seed, plant growth and improve crop production by residing in the rhizosphere of plants and enhancing growth by direct and indirect mechanisms such as nitrogen fixation, solubilization of nutrients (phosphorous, potassium), siderophores production and water & minerals uptake [14, 37]. These biostimulants affect plant growth by producing growth substances such as IAA, GA and Cytokinins [58, 21], fix nitrogen from the atmosphere and provide the plants with this element [15] and are antagonistic towards phytopathogenic micro-organisms [57]. Alternatively, these biostimulants supplement the role of chemical fertilizers, pesticides and other inputs by decreasing the inhibitory effects of various pathogens on plant growth and development [30].

Integrated nutrient management is a viable strategy for advocating judicious and efficient use of organic manures and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in conjunction with chemical fertilizers has been found to be promising not only in sustaining high productivity but also for good growth, improving soil fertility on long term basis and reducing fertilizer input cost. Taking into consideration, current investigation was designed and implemented to appraise the effect of biostimulants (*Bacillus subtilis* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) and organic manures on growth, yield, quality and persisting soil fertility of onion in the mineralized soils of Himachal Pradesh.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Site

During the *Rabi* season of 2020-21 and 2021-22, the field experiment on onion was carried out at the College of Horticulture & Forestry’s research farm Hamirpur (Himachal Pradesh), located at a latitude of 31° 41’47.6” N and a longitude of 76° 28’06.3” E, and an elevation of 650 meters above sea level. The climate of the region is classified as subtropical, with average low to high temperatures of 20.1°C to 35.9°C and an average annual rainfall of 1225 mm. Maximum rainfall is recorded during monsoon, from June to September. The soil structure at the research location is classified as sandy clay loam. Prior to initiating the experiment, soil samples were collected from different spots of the experimental site from a depth of 0-15 cm and composite sample was prepared. After analysis, soil pH was neutral (7.04) having normal electrical conductivity of 0.211 dSm⁻¹ and organic carbon content was medium (0.70 %). The nutrient status of soil was low in available Nitrogen (197.56 kg/ha), however medium in available Phosphorous (15.73 kg/ha), available Potassium (156.78 kg/ha) and Sulphur (30.60 kg/ha).

2.2 Experimental Design and Crop Management

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications and thirteen treatments viz., [Control], [*Bacillus subtilis* + FYM (250 q/ha)], [*Pseudomonas fluorescens* + FYM (250 q/ha)], [75% Recommended dose of NPK+ *Bacillus subtilis* + FYM (250 q/ha)], [75 % Recommended dose of NPK + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* + FYM (250 q/ha)], [50% Recommended dose of NPK + *Bacillus subtilis* + FYM (250 q/ha)], [50% Recommended dose of NPK + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* + FYM (250 q/ha)], [75% Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + *Bacillus subtilis* + FYM (250 q/ha)], [75% Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* + FYM (250 q/ha)], [50% Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + *Bacillus subtilis* + FYM (250 q/ha)], [50% Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* + FYM (250 q/ha)], [100% Recommended Dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha] and [100% Recommended Dose of NPK (125:75:60 kg/ha)] in a plot size of 1 m x 0.75 m spacing of 15 x 10 cm accommodating 50 plants per plot. Agrifound Dark Red variety

developed by National Horticulture Research and Development Foundation, Nasik, Maharashtra of onion was employed for the study. The experimental field was thoroughly ploughed with the help of tractor followed by planking. Deep ploughing was done to bring the soil to a fine tilth and all the clods of the soil were thoroughly broken. All the stubble and weeds were removed. Plots were prepared according to the layout plan. The organic manure such as farmyard manure and recommended dose of fertilizers were applied at the time of field preparation as per the treatments in the respective plots. First irrigation was done immediately after sowing and daily irrigation is done till the plants are fully established thereafter, irrigation is done twice a week and then once in 6-7 days to retain optimum soil moisture, depending upon weather conditions. Weeding was done manually on a regular basis to keep the plot free from weeds and to keep the soil loose and airy. Two shallow weeding were done at 20 and 40 DAP to keep the field free from weeds. Harvesting was done at 60-70% neck fall, while the leaves were still green.

➤ **Application of inorganic fertilizers, organic manures and bio-additives**

Calculated amount of inorganic fertilizers nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium and sulphur (125:75:60:40 kg/ha) were applied in the form of urea (203.8 kg/ha), SSP (356.25 kg/ha), MOP (75 kg/ha) and Sulphur (40 kg/ha) in respective treatments before transplanting of seedlings. One third dose of N along with the full doses of P, K and S were applied as basal dose. Remaining dose of N was given in two splits; after 30 and 60 DAP. Organic manures such as FYM (250 q/ha) were applied during field preparation in the respective treatments. Seedling roots were dipped in bio-additives viz., *Bacillus subtilis* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* prior to sowing for 30 minutes as per the treatments and immediately transplanted in the field.

2.3 Soil Sampling and Analysis

After completion of two-year experiment, soil samples were collected from 15 cm depth of the treatment plots. These samples were air-dried, crushed and sieved through a 2 mm mesh and stored in cloth bags for subsequent chemical analysis of parameters such as soil pH, electrical conductivity (EC), organic carbon content, and available nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P),

potassium (K) and sulphur (S) content. The pH and EC of soil samples were measured using a digital pH meter and an electrical conductivity meter, respectively. Organic carbon content of the samples was determined using the Chromic and Titration method proposed by [61]. Alkaline Potassium Permanganate Method was used to determine available N [53], P was measured by Olsen method [35], Available K was measured by Normal Neutral Ammonium Acetate Method [32] and Sulphur was determined by 0.15% CaCl₂ Extractant and Turbidimetric Method [17]. The mean values of data were subjected to analysis of variance [23] for Randomized Complete Block Design.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

The merging of data was subject to statistical analysis of variance as delineated by [23]. The main aim of the analysis was to regulate the impact of treatments on different soil parameters.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Soil pH

Application of organic manure, inorganic fertilizer and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria improved the soil pH as compared to initial status. Perusal of data presented in Table 1, revealed that minimum pH (6.94) was recorded by the treatment T₇ (75 % Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + *Bacillus subtilis* + FYM (250 q/ha). The soil pH decreased moderately due to inoculation with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria like *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* significantly enhanced the population of soil microorganisms in the rhizosphere which increased their dehydrogenase activity and then dehydrogenase released hydrogen ions in the rhizosphere resulting in the formation of carbonic acid that decreases the pH value as reported by [29]. Decrease in pH values might be ascribed to H⁺ ion released during sulphur oxidation. When elemental sulphur is applied to soil, a biological reaction takes place carried out by sulphur oxidizing bacteria, producing sulfuric acid that reduces soil pH as stated by [34].

3.2 Electrical Conductivity (dSm⁻¹)

Electrical conductivity is important trait as it indicates the availability of nutrients and provides information related to the concentration of soluble salts present in the soil. The data

revealed that maximum electrical conductivity (0.202 dSm^{-1}) was observed in treatment T_0 (Control) while, the minimum electrical conductivity (0.186 dSm^{-1}) was recorded in treatment T_7 (75% Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + *Bacillus subtilis* + FYM) after harvesting the crop (Table 1). This may be due to plant uptake of soluble salts through root systems by plants or leaching of cations and at the same time chloride accumulation in the surface due to capillary action can be accountable for the decrease in electrical conductivity as mentioned by Prasad [38].

3.3 Organic Carbon (%)

Organic carbon induces changes in microbial activities that affect the transformation and availability of nutrients, organic matter and overall soil health. Data revealed that highest organic carbon (0.85%) was observed in treatment T_7 (75% Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + *Bacillus subtilis* + FYM) whereas, minimum organic carbon was obtained in treatment T_0 (Control) after harvesting the crop (Table 1). This might be due to inoculation by *Bacillus subtilis* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria strains which are required for maximizing the plant yield and improved soil quality by increasing the organic carbon as reported by [26]. Similar findings are reported by Prasad [39].

3.4 Available Nitrogen (kg/ha)

The data for available nitrogen is presented in Table 2. Maximum available nitrogen (257.79 kg/ha) was recorded in treatment T_7 (75% Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + *Bacillus subtilis* + FYM) and minimum available nitrogen (188.86 kg/ha) was observed in treatment T_0 (Control) after harvesting the crop. Breakdown of complex nitrogenous compounds to nitrate due to action of micro-organisms might have increased nitrogen and enhanced nitrogen uptake in plants as reported by Ramesh et al. [42]. Moreover, free-living nitrogen fixing PGPR like *Bacillus* attaches to the root and efficiently colonizes root surfaces as stated by [2]. Whereas, [45] reported that it might be due to the use of mixture of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria including *Bacillus spp.* which fix the atmospheric nitrogen and excretes growth promoters that increase nitrogen content in soil.

3.5 Available Phosphorus (kg/ha)

An examination of data regarding available phosphorus presented in Table 2 and depicted

that maximum available phosphorus (26.14 kg/ha) in soil after harvesting of crop was recorded in treatment T_7 (75% Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + *Bacillus subtilis* + FYM) while, minimum available phosphorus (14.87 kg/ha) was recorded in treatment T_0 (Control). Increase in available phosphorus over initial value might be ascribed to the role of *Bacillus* which solubilizes the insoluble phosphorus compounds and increases the plant growth as reported by [11]. Increase in phosphorous could also be due to greater solubilization of native phosphorous from the soil due to action of various organic acids liberated due to decomposition of organics as stated by [42]. Whereas, [26] mentioned that inoculation with isolates of *Pseudomonas* had a stimulatory effect on plant growth and produces indolic compounds and siderophores, to solubilize phosphate. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria enhance phosphorous uptake by releasing organic acids such as fumeric acid, butyric acid, citric acid etc. which improves microbial activity and increases phosphorous availability as reported by [50].

3.6 Available Potassium (kg/ha)

Maximum available potassium (174.59 kg/ha) in soil after harvesting was recorded in treatment T_{12} (100% Recommended dose of NPK + FYM) whereas, minimum available potassium (142.13 kg/ha) was recorded in treatment T_0 (Control) after harvesting the crop (Table 2). Overall mean for available potassium was 157.76 kg/ha . Increase in available potassium could be ascribed to the improved soil properties due to the action of organics, leading to better penetration of roots, thereby resulting to greater uptake of potassium as reported by [42]. Similar results on conjunctive application of both organic and inorganic fertilizers were documented by [41]. Greater availability of nutrients from inorganic sources might have increased available potassium in soil. Similar results are in conformity with [33, 10].

3.7 Available Sulphur (kg/ha)

Data pertaining to available sulphur (Table 2) depicted that maximum available sulphur (53.45 kg/ha) was recorded in treatment T_{11} (100% Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + FYM) however, minimum available sulphur (29.31 kg/ha) was recorded in treatment T_0 (Control) in soil after harvesting of crop. Overall mean value was 42.66 kg/ha . Sulphur application

Table 1. Effect of integrated nutrient management on pH, EC (dSm⁻¹) and organic carbon (%) in soil

Treatment Code	Treatment Details	Soil pH	EC (dSm ⁻¹)	Organic carbon (%)
T ₀	Control	7.07	0.202	0.61
T ₁	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	7.01	0.197	0.73
T ₂	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	7.03	0.198	0.75
T ₃	75 % Recommended dose of NPK+ <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	7.00	0.194	0.77
T ₄	75 % Recommended dose of NPK + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	7.02	0.195	0.74
T ₅	50 % Recommended dose of NPK + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	7.03	0.194	0.76
T ₆	50 % Recommended dose of NPK + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	7.02	0.196	0.78
T ₇	75 % Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	6.94	0.186	0.85
T ₈	75 % Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	6.96	0.189	0.83
T ₉	50 % Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	6.98	0.192	0.80
T ₁₀	50 % Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	6.99	0.193	0.79
T ₁₁	100 % Recommended Dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha	7.04	0.198	0.70
T ₁₂	100 % Recommended Dose of NPK (125:75:60 kg/ha)	7.05	0.199	0.71
	Mean	7.00	0.194	0.75
	CD_(0.05)	0.01	0.002	0.01
	SE(m)	0.00	0.00	0.00
	C.V	0.16	0.51	1.33

Table 2. Effect of integrated nutrient management on available N (kg/ha), P (kg/ha), K (kg/ha) and S (kg/ha) in soil

Treatment Code	Treatment Details	Available N in soil (kg/ha)	Available P in soil (kg/ha)	Available K in soil(kg/ha)	Available S in soil (kg/ha)
T ₀	Control	188.86	14.87	142.13	29.31
T ₁	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	215.46	17.57	148.01	36.62
T ₂	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	212.53	16.41	145.77	35.68
T ₃	75 % Recommended dose of NPK+ <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	240.52	19.50	156.12	42.76
T ₄	75 % Recommended dose of NPK + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	237.27	18.17	154.18	41.36
T ₅	50 % Recommended dose of NPK + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	228.76	17.95	153.01	40.70
T ₆	50 % Recommended dose of NPK + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	226.53	17.71	150.61	39.55
T ₇	75 % Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	257.79	26.14	167.89	48.72
T ₈	75 % Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	254.54	24.70	165.74	46.59
T ₉	50 % Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	251.42	23.82	162.91	44.42
T ₁₀	50 % Recommended dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + FYM (250 q/ha)	249.24	22.66	159.78	43.86
T ₁₁	100 % Recommended Dose of NPK + 40 kg S/ha	246.38	21.82	170.17	53.45
T ₁₂	100 % Recommended Dose of NPK (125:75:60 kg/ha)	242.52	20.53	174.59	41.64
	Mean	234.75	20.14	157.76	41.89
	CD_(0.05)	1.35	1.14	0.71	0.52
	SE(m)	0.46	0.39	0.24	0.17
	C.V	0.34	3.35	0.26	0.73

enhances the population of sulphur consuming microorganisms leading to oxidation of S to SO_4^{2-} producing sulfuric acid that reduces the soil pH and increase the concentration of available sulphur in soil. The results are in line with the findings of [34, 27, 47].

4. CONCLUSION

Combined application of 75% Recommended dose of NPK, 40 kg S/ha, *Bacillus subtilis* and farm yard manure resulted in improved soil available nutrients level in onion.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh, India, for supporting this research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Abid M, Batool T, Siddique G, Ali S, Binyamin R, Shahid MJ, Rizwan M, Alsahli AA, Alyemeni MN. Integrated nutrient management enhances soil quality and crop productivity in maize-based cropping system. *Sustainability*. 2020;12:10214.
2. Ahmed B, Zaidi A, Khan MS, Rizvi A, Saif S, Shahid M. Perspectives of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in growth enhancement and sustainable production of tomato. *Microbial strategies for vegetable production*: Springer. 2017;125-149.
3. Aisha AH, Rizk FA, Shaheen AM, Abdel-Mouty MM. Onion plant growth, bulbs yield and its physical and chemical properties as affected by organic and natural fertilization. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*. 2007;3:380-388.
4. Ali MM, Petropoulos SA, Selim DA, Elbagory M, Othman MM, Omara AE, Mohamed MH. Plant growth, yield and quality of potato crop in relation to potassium fertilization. *Agronomy*. 2021; 11:675.
5. Anandaraj B, Delapierre LRA. Studies on influence of bioinoculants (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Rhizobium* sp., *Bacillus megaterium*) in green gram. *Journal of Bioscience and Technology*. 2010;1:95-99.
6. Anonymous http://nhb.gov.in/statistics/state_Level/2018-19. 2020.
7. Anwar MN, Sarker JU, Rahman M, Islam MA, Begum M. Response of onion to nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur and zinc. *Bangladesh Journal of Environment Science*. 2001;7: 68-72.
8. Augusti K. Therapeutic values of onion and effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on garlic. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology*. 1996;34:634-640.
9. Bayu T. Review on contribution of integrated soil fertility management for climate change mitigation and agricultural sustainability. *Cogent Environmental Science*. 2020;6:1823631.
10. Behairy AG, Mahmoud AR, Shafeek MR, Ali AH, Hafez MM. Growth, yield and bulb quality of onion plants (*Allium cepa* L.) as affected by foliar and soil application of potassium. *Middle East Journal of Agriculture*. 2015;4:60-66.
11. Bektas I, Kusek M. The isolation and characterization of phosphate solubilizing bacteria from the onion rhizosphere and their effect on onion growth. *Journal of Agriculture and Nature*. 2021;24:1084-1092.
12. Belay S, Mideksa D, Gebrezgiabher S, Seifu W. Yield components of Adama red onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cultivar as affected by intra-row spacing under irrigation in fide condition. *Plant*. 2015;3:75-79.
13. Bhagyaraj DJ, Suvarna CV. Biofertilizers and their application. *Agri east*. 1999;1:16-21.
14. Bhattacharya PN, Jha DK. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emergence in agriculture. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2012;28:1327-1350.
15. Boddey RM, Dobereiner J. Nitrogen fixation associated with grasses and cereals: recent progress and perspectives

- for the future. Fertility Research. 1995;42:241-250.
16. Črnivec IG, Skrt M, Šeremet D, Sterniša M, Farčnik D, Štrumbelj E, Poljanšek A, Cebin N, Pogačnik L, Možina SS, Humar M. Waste streams in onion production: Bioactive compounds, quercetin and use of antimicrobial and antioxidative properties. Waste management. 2021;126:476-86.
 17. Chesnin L, Yien CH. Turbidimetric determination of available sulphates. Soil Sciences Society of America Proceeding. 1950;15:149-151.
 18. Chandramohan S. Organic farming in cotton + blackgram intercropping system. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore (India); 2002.
 19. Colla G, Mitchell J, Poudel D, Temple S. Change of tomato yield and fruit elemental composition in conventional, low input, and organic system. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture. 2002;20:53-67.
 20. Dorais M, Papadoulos AP, Gosselin A. Greenhouse tomato fruit quality. Horticultural Review. 2001;26:262-319.
 21. Garcia de Salamone IE, Hynes RK, Nelson LM. Cytokinin production of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and selected nutrients. Canadian Journal of Microbiology. 2001;47:404-411.
 22. Gedam VB, Rametke JR, Rudragouda, Power MS. Influence of organic manures on yield, nutrient uptake of groundnut and change in physico-chemical properties of soil after harvest of groundnut. Crop Research. 2008;36:111-114.
 23. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons Inc. New York. 1984;427.
 24. Gupta RP, Sharma VP, Singh DK, Srivastava KJ. Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of onion variety Agrifound Dark Red. News Letter National Horticultural Research and Development Foundation. 1999;19:7-11.
 25. Hernandez A, Castillo H, Ojeda D, Arras A, Lopez J, Sanchez E. Effect of vermicompost and compost on lettuce production. Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research. 2010;70:583-589.
 26. Hosam EAF, Hamuda B, Patkó I. PGPR strains selection to improve plant productivity and soil quality. Academy Food Research and Development Institute. International Scientific Practical Conference. Food, Technologies & Health. 2013;44-50.
 27. Jaggi RC. Sulphur as production and protection agent in onion (*Allium cepa*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2005;75:805-808.
 28. Jones HA, Mann LK. Onion and their allies. Leonard Hill, (Books) Ltd., London. 1963;1-169.
 29. Khadiga IM El-G, Sanaa SHS, Amal WA El-K. Effect of some microbial activators on onion plantlets growth quality. Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research. 2015;4:932-937.
 30. Lugtenberg B, Kamilova F. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Annual Review of Microbiology. 2009;63:541-556.
 31. Marathe RA, Bharambe PR, Sharma R, Sharma UC. Leaf nutrient composition, its correlation with yield and economics of sunflower. Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2012;22:198-99.
 32. Merwin HD, Peech M. Exchangeability of soil potassium in the sand, silt and clay fraction as influenced by the nature and complementary exchangeable cations. Soil Science American Proceedings. 1951;15: 125-128.
 33. Nainwal RC, Singh D, Katiyar RS, Sharma L, Tewari SK. Response of garlic to integrated nutrient management practices in a sodic soil of Uttar Pradesh, India. Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops. 2015;24:33-36.
 34. Nemat MA, El-Kader AAA, Attia M, Alva AK. Effects of nitrogen fertilization and soil inoculation of sulfur-oxidizing or nitrogen-fixing bacteria on onion plant growth and yield. International Journal of Agronomy. 2011;1-6.
 35. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watenable DS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular. 1954;939:19.
 36. Patel KP, Patel JC, Patel BS, Sadaria SG. Yield and nutrient uptake by onion (*Allium cepa*) as influenced by irrigation, nitrogen and phosphorus. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1992;37:395-396.
 37. Pérez-Montaño F, Alías-Villegas C, Bellogín RA. Plant growth promotion in cereal and leguminous agricultural important plants: from microorganism capacities to crop production. Microbiological Research. 2014;169:325-336.

38. Prasad K. Impact of biological fertilizer Arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi and conventional fertilizers mobilization on growth, yield, nutrient's uptake, quercetin and alliin contents in allium crops cultivation under field conditions in semi-arid region of India. *South Asia Journal of Experimental Biology*. 2021;11:15-26.
39. Prasad K. Stimulation effect of rhizospheric microbes' plant growth promoting rhizobacteria, glycoprotein producing AM fungi and synthetic fertilizers application on growth, yield, nutrient's acquisition and alliin content of garlic cultivation under field conditions in southeast region of Rajasthan, India. *Advances in Earth and Environmental Science*. 2022;3:1-15.
40. Ramakrishnan K, Thamizhiniya P. The effect of NPK fertilizer and VAM fungi on the yield and quality characters of cotton var. LRA 5166. *Plant Archives*. 2004;9:87-88.
41. Ramesh G, Shivanna MB and Santa Ram A. Interactive influence of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of kalmegh (*Andrographis paniculata* Nees.). *International Research Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2011;2:016-021.
42. Ramesh G, Ajithkumar K, Amaresh YS, Savitha AS. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth parameters, yield and severity of disease in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017;6:1020-1028.
43. Ramkumar TR, Karuppusamy S. Plant diversity and ethno botanical knowledge of spices and condiments. Bio-prospecting of Plant Biodiversity for Industrial Molecules. 2021;19:231-60.
44. Rengel Z, Zhang F. Phosphorus sustains life. *Plant Soil*. 2011;349:1-2.
45. Saharan BS, Nehra V. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: A critical review. *Life Sciences and Medicine Research*. 2011;21.
46. Saini GS. A textbook of olericulture and floriculture. Mar publication House Meerut, U.P. India, 1997.
47. Sankaran K, Bharathi C, Sujatha S. Effect of sulphur fertilization on yield and nutrient uptake by onion in red soils (Udic Haplustalf). *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities*. 2005;30:135-36.
48. Selvaraj S. Onion queen of kitchen. *Kisan Word*. 1976;3:32-34.
49. Singh D, Thapa S, Geat N, Mehriya ML, Rajawat MV. Biofertilizers: mechanisms and application. In *Biofertilizers* Woodhead Publishing. 2021;151-166.
50. Shahzad F, Asghar HN, Mushtaq Z, Hadayat A, Zuhra N, Ahmad R, Ali MA. Role of endomycorrhizae, rhizobacteria and compost to improve phosphorus availability in onion. *Asian Journal Agriculture & Biology*. 2020;8:194-200.
51. Sharma K, Almulla S, Mahato N, Pradhan S, Pashikanti S. 16 metabolomics of allium. *Edible Alliums: Botany, Production and Uses*. 2022;270.
52. Shedeed SI, EL-Sayed SAA, Abo Bash DM. Effectiveness of bio-fertilizers with organic matter on the growth, yield and nutrient content of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) plants. *European International Journal of Science and Technology*. 2014;3:115-122.
53. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of the available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science*. 1956;25:259-260.
54. Sultana M, Islam AKMA, Rasul MG, Mian MAK, Hossain T. Estimation of correlation and path coefficients of seed yield contributing traits in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 2007;329-333.
55. Thomas SG, Bilsborrow PE, Mocking TJ, Bennet J. Effect of sulphur deficiency on the growth and metabolism of sugarbeet (*Beta vulgaris*). *Journal of Science, Food and Agriculture*. 2000;80: 2057-2062.
56. Tripathy P, Sahoo BB, Priyadarshini A, Das SK, Dash DK. Effect of sources and levels of sulphur on growth, yield and bulb quality in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*. 2013;4:641-644.
57. Velivelli S, Herlihy EO, Janczura B, Ghyselinck, De Vos P. Efficacy of rhizobacteria on plant growth promotion and disease suppression in vitro. *Acta Horticulturae*. 2012;961:525-532.
58. Verma P, Yadav J, Nath K, Lavakush T, Singh V. Impact of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on crop production. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2010;5:954-983.
59. Vijayakumar BS, Bhairavamurthy PV, Anand MC. VAM fungi association in *Lycopersicon esculentum* L. grown in semi-arid tropical soils of Puttaparthi, APJ. *Ecobiol*. 2000;12:73-74.

60. Vohra SB, Rizaman M, Khan JA. Medical uses of common Indian vegetables. *Planta Medica*. 1994;23:381-393.
61. Walkley A, Black TA. An estimation of soil organic matter and proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*. 1934;37:29-38.
62. Williamson LC, Ribrioux SP, Fitter AH, Leyser HO, Phosphate availability regulates root system architecture in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol*. 2001;126:875-882.
63. Yafan H, Barker AV. Effect of composts and their combinations with other materials on nutrient accumulation in tomato leaves. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 2004;35:2809-2823.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123368>