



Effect of Bulking Agent Levels (Rice Straw) on Compost Quality and Net Return

M. Nour El-Din¹, Alaa El-Dein Omara^{1*} and M. H. Elbagory¹

¹Soil, Water and Environment Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Giza, Egypt.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2017/36518

Editor(s):

(1) Yong In Kuk, Department of Development in Oriental Medicine Resources, Suncheon National University, South Korea.

Reviewers:

(1) Wiliam Henrique Diniz Buso, Instituto Federal de Educação, Brasil.

(2) Zainal Muktamar, University of Bengkulu, Indonesia.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/21382>

Original Research Article

Received 31st August 2017
Accepted 10th October 2017
Published 13th October 2017

ABSTRACT

Utilization of rice straw through composting are used primarily to increase nutrient availability to plants as well as increased soil microbial biomass and functional diversity. A study was conducted at Bacteriology Lab., Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt to investigate the effect of adding carbonaceous material levels (rice straw bulking agent) on compost quality and net return (L.E ton⁻¹). The results showed that piles temperature increased with time, then gradually decreased till constant at ambient air temperature (ripening feature). The different piles varied in maturity time. The pile number one (without rice straw) firstly matured after 30 days, followed by pile No. two (2 % rice straw), but pile No. five (8 % rice straw) lately matured. All piles showed good chemical and biological characteristics, However, piles No. one and five attained the best characteristics. Pile No. one gave N, P and K concentrations of 2.4, 0.83 and 2.75 % respectively. Pathogenic fecal indicators (*Salmonella* and *Shigella*) not found for different piles at all. All piles, also, did not show toxicity, whereas germination % of *Eruca sativa* seeds ranging from 81.6 to 92.1 %.

Economic evaluation of constructed piles exhibited that pile No. one, economically, was the best which gave maximum net profit (171.5 L.E. ton⁻¹) followed by pile No. two (168.5 L.E ton⁻¹) and then pile No. three (4 % rice straw), that showed the lowest net return (164.5 L.E ton⁻¹).

Application experiment was conducted for studying the influence of compost microbial enrichment,

*Corresponding author: E-mail: alaa.omara@yahoo.com;

after maturation, with Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) on *Zea mays* biological yield and chemical composition. Applying compost of pile No. four and No. five gave the highest biological yield (fresh and dry weight plant⁻¹), and N, P and K content (g shoot⁻¹). PGPR inoculated plants with enriched compost gave the higher growth yield and element concentrations, followed by PGPR inoculated compost (enriched) compared to not inoculated plants. Total chlorophyll and soil dehydrogenase enzyme exhibited highest values at compost addition for piles No. four and No. five either with PGPR inoculated compost or not.

Keywords: Compost; rice straw; PGPR; *Zea mays*.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, the rice straw is one of the main agricultural wastes, which can be used as feedstock for compost or ploughed directly into the soil as a source of organic nutrients. Egypt produce about 4 million tons per year can be used in production of good quality compost. Compost is the major component used for organic farming, which is produced from different biodegradable organic wastes like Municipal Solid Waste (MSW), cattle manure, sewage sludge, and agricultural waste [1]. Therefore, preparation of compost from agricultural waste, especially from straw, is a time consuming process due to the high C/N ratio, which slows down the maturation phase. The maturation time for compost could be decreased by addition of bulking agents with high N content [2].

In addition, using of agricultural waste composts to fertilize agricultural land has been positive from the perspective of a recycling economy and their valuable characteristics and ingredients. Compost and biocompost as organic and biofertilizer can improve the chemical, physical and biological characteristics of the soil and increases yield and quality. Several studies point out that the organic fertilizer has a positive effect on the soil fertility [3,4,5,6,7,8].

The effective microorganism can be important to promote the circulation of plant nutrients and reduce the need for chemical fertilizers as much as possible [9]. Therefore, these effective microorganism depends on many factors such as bacterial strains and population, combination of plant-bacterial strain, genotype of plant, growth parameters evaluated, as well as environmental conditions [10,11]. Effective cultures of microorganisms when applied to the soil they stimulate the decomposition of organic wastes and residues thereby releasing inorganic

nutrients and enzymes that become available for uptake by the plants [12]. On the other hand, metabolites produced by these microorganisms, i.e., amino acids, nucleic acid, bioactive substance and sugars, are directly absorbed by plants and using as substrate or food for other microorganisms such as, *Azospirillum*, *Azotobactor*, *Trichoderma*, *Rhizobium*, *Bacillus* and others in the soil [6,13,14,15]. Hence, the number of beneficial microorganisms increases in the soil ecosystem and the ability of plants to uptake the nutrients.

Application of farmyard manure, farmyard manure treated with effective microorganisms and effective microorganism solutions, increased growth, development and yield of rice [15]; chickpea [16]; Tomato [17]; Cowpea [18]; Potato [19]; Okra [20]; Maize, Wheat and Cotton [21]. Also, activate the rhizosphere enzymes ([22,23,24].

The aim of the present study is to investigate the effect of adding bulking agent material levels (rice straw) with farmyard manure on compost quality and net return (L.E. ton⁻¹) as well as, the effectiveness of compost alone or in combination with PGPR inoculation on growth and biochemical characteristics of maize (*Zea mays*) plants.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Compost Materials

2.1.1 Rice straw

Rice straw was collected from Sakha Agricultural Research Station farm, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, Egypt to be used for compost production. Rice straw used directly without chopping. The results of the chemical analyses are presented in Table 1.

2.1.2 Farmyard manure

Farmyard manure was collected and moved from dairy cattle farms of Sakha Livestock Production Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, Egypt, to be used in compost production. Farmyard manure was immediately mixed with rice straw just after received at the composting unit. The results of the chemical analyses are presented in Table 1.

2.1.3 Soil

Soil was obtained from Sakha Agricultural Research Station farm, Kafr El-Sheikh

Governorate, Egypt to be used in compost production. The results of physical, chemical and biological analyses as shown in Table 2.

2.2 Treatments of Compost Processing Experiment

This experiment was carried out during the winter season of 2014 using rice straw, farmyard manure and soil as raw materials. Five treatments of compost piles were prepared as follow:

T1 FYM (control)	670 kg farmyard manure (1 m ³) + 50 kg soil (control, without rice straw).
T2 FYM +2% RS	670 kg farmyard manure (1 m ³) +14 kg rice straw + 50 kg soil.
T3 FYM + 4% RS	670 kg farmyard manure (1 m ³) + 28 kg rice straw + 50 kg soil.
T4 FYM + 6% RS	670 kg farmyard manure (1 m ³) + 42 kg rice straw + 50 kg soil.
T5 FYM + 8% RS	670 kg farmyard manure (1 m ³) + 56 kg rice straw + 50 kg soil.

Table 1. Chemical and physical analysis of compost raw materials

Parameters	Organic Carbon (%)	Total N (%)	C/N ratio	Total P (%)	Total K (%)	Moisture (%)
Rice straw	54	0.72	75	0.21	0.83	14
Farmyard manure	41.62	2.45	16.98	0.77	3.18	56

Table 2. Some physical, chemical and biological analyses of the experimental soil

Tested characteristics		Value	
pH (1: 2.5 soil water suspension)		7.31	
E.C (dS.m ⁻¹ , in soil paste)		0.126	
O.M (organic matter %)		1.05	
Particle size distribution (%)			
Sand	Silt	Clay	Texture grade
17.30	47.10	35.60	loam soil
Soluble cations meq L ⁻¹			
Ca ⁺⁺	Mg ⁺⁺	Na ⁺	K ⁺
0.86	0.49	0.50	0.12
Soluble anions meq L ⁻¹			
CO ₃ ⁻	HCO ₃ ⁻	Cl ⁻	SO ₄ ⁻
-	1.00	0.66	0.31
Available elements (ppm)			
N		P	
6.33		5.70	
		K	
		311.1	
Total count of bacteria (CFU g ⁻¹ dry weight soil)		123 x 10 ⁶	
Total count of fungi (CFU g ⁻¹ dry weight soil)		71 x 10 ⁴	
Total count of Actinomycetes (CFU g ⁻¹ dry weight soil)		31 x 10 ⁵	

2.2.1 Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) used

All PGPR used were provided by Bacteriology Lab., Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt. Each microorganism was growing as follow: *Azospirillum lipoferum* using N-free malate medium [25], *Trichoderma viride* using potato dextrose medium [26], *Bacillus circulans* and *Bacillus megaterium* using nutrient medium [27].

2.2.2 Pile processing

All compost piles were constructed as the following: The third of rice straw amount was spreaded as a first layer, after that, the third of farmyard manure was spreaded as a second layer upon the straw. This process repeated till finishing of materials. After that, spreading the remained amount of farmyard manure mixed with the used amount of fertile soil (50 kg pile⁻¹) over the conducted pile. After each constructed layer, water was sprayed to humidify it. After finishing from piles construction, they sprayed with water twice weekly to reach humidity about 60%. Each pile was turned every two weeks, and temperature degrees were recorded every three days. Inoculum of consortium of some biofertilizers (*Azospirillum lipoferum*, *Trichoderma viride*, *Bacillus circulans* and *Bacillus megaterium*) were added to half of each pile after maturation (enriched compost).

2.2.3 The pot experiment

The pot experiment was conducted under greenhouse conditions using loam soil. Results of physical, chemical and biological analyses presented in Table 2.

Pots (30 cm diameter) were filled with loamy soil (6 kg pot⁻¹) for studying the influence of compost enriched with microbial additives, after maturation, with Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) on *Zea mays* biological yield and chemical composition. The experimental plan was based on six main treatments (different compost types), these were T1, (control) – T6, and three sub main treatments, these were C₀, compost only; C₁, compost + microbial additives (PGPR) and C₂, compost + inoculation with PGPR (enriched). The experiment was conducted in a split plot design with three replicates. Compost was added before sowing at a rate of full dose (8.5 ton fed.⁻¹, 50 g pot⁻¹). Pots were irrigated and sowed with 3

Zea mays grains, seedlings thinned to one healthy in each pot, then inoculated treatments was carried out by adding 1 ml plant⁻¹ of liquid culture of PGPR (*Azospirillum lipoferum*, *Trichoderma viride*, *Bacillus circulans* and *Bacillus megaterium*) contain 4 x10⁵ CFU mL⁻¹, 1 x10⁷ spores mL⁻¹, 4.4 x10⁵ CFU mL⁻¹ and 5.5 x10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹, respectively.

After 60 days from sowing, rhizosphere samples were taken to determine dehydrogenase (mg TPF g⁻¹ soil d⁻¹), then plant samples were taken to measure total chlorophyll, fresh and dry weight of shoot (g plant⁻¹). Also, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were determined in shoot as percent and total content.

2.3 Compost Analysis

2.3.1 Physical parameters

Piles temperatures were measured using a digital thermometer. Temperature measurements were taken at different depths around pile center when the ambient temperature was fairly stable in late morning. The moisture content was determined by drying the samples at 105°C until the weight becomes constant. Bulk density of compost is defined as its weight per unit volume. The odor was assessed by smelling. Color change was assessed visually.

2.3.2 Toxicity test

A water extract of each compost was prepared by shaking the samples with distilled water at 1:10 w/v ratio for 1h, and then filtered to be used for seed germination in Petri dishes. Seed germination in distilled water was used as control. The percentage of seed germination of *Eruca sativa* was calculated using the following equation [28].

Seed germination (%)=[(NO.of seeds germinated in compost extract/ NO.of seeds germinated in control)×100]

2.3.3 Chemical analysis

Five randomized samples from each compost pile were collected from the top, middle and bottom of the compost heap during turning the piles at 70 days of composting. Samples were air-dried for 3 days, oven dried at 70°C for 24 h, and then grounded to pass through 0.2 mm sieve screen to be analyzed.

For organic matter and organic carbon determination, ash was determined in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 5h. Organic matter was calculated as the difference between ash and dry weight as a percentage [29]. From values of organic matter, the percentage of organic carbon was calculated as described by [30]. The pH was directly measured in the water extracted sample 1:5 w/v using a glass electrode pH meter (Orion Expandable ion analyzer EA920). Electrical conductivity measurements were run in 1:5 w/v compost water extracts using EC meter (ICM model 71150). C/N ratio was calculated using values of the organic carbon and total nitrogen.

Total nitrogen was determined as described by [31]. Available N was extracted by 1 M K₂SO₄ and determined by MgO and Devarda alloy using Kjeldahl method [32]. Total and available phosphorus was determined spectrophotometrically in the acid solution of the digested samples as described by [33]. Total and available potassium was determined by ammonium acetate method, and measured by Flame-photometer as method described by [32].

2.3.4 Plant observation

Fresh weight and dry weight of shoot were recorded and expressed as (g shoot⁻¹). Also, total chlorophyll was measured by Minolta chlorophyll meter SPAD-502.

2.4 Microbiological Observation

2.4.1 Microbial estimations

It was estimated by counting total count of bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes according to [34], and enumerating coliform bacteria *E. coli*, *Salmonella* sp. and *Shigella* sp. according to [35].

2.4.2 Dehydrogenase activity

Dehydrogenase activity in the soil samples was determined by the procedure described by [36].

2.4.3 Statistical analysis

Data obtained from experiment treatments were subjected to the analysis of variance and treatments means were compared using the L.S.D. method according to [37].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Temperature of piles 1 (control without rice straw) nearly did not changed (Table 3), the

reason may be regard to the type of farmyard manure which bringing from Sakha Agricultural Research Station farm, which was mostly decomposed. It was noted that temperature of pile increased with the increasing of carbon bulk material (rice straw), this finding was similar to those of [38] and [7], this increase in temperature may regard to increasing bulk carbon materials, which consider an available carbon source for microbial growth and function.

The temperature of piles from 2 to 5 increased with time of decomposition, the maximum temperature appeared after five days of piles construction, then decreased with time till cooling phase after 60 days, this result agreed with those of [39].

3.1 Characteristics of Compost Piles

Data of Table 4 illustrated the characteristics of different compost types. For physical characteristics, pH values ranged from 8.15 to 8.32. Pile 1 (FYM, control), exhibited the lowest value (8.15). The pH of all compost piles were in the normal range, where pH values showed no elevated alkalinity, but were between 6 - 8 [40].

While EC values ranged from 4.63 to 6.27, whereas pile 5 (FYM + 8% RS) and 1 (FYM, control), gave the lowest values (4.63 and 4.70). EC of different piles showed normal levels as shown in results of [41], whereas EC values of piles composed of vegetables wastes and farmyard manure ranged from 4.60 to 6.56 (dSm⁻¹) and the EC values of piles were increase with biodegradation time. Moisture content of piles ranged from 32% for pile 2 to 41% for pile 1 (FYM, control).

For bulk density, the pile 1 (FYM, control), attained a highest bulk density (643 Kg m³) but pile 5 (FYM + 8% RS), gave the lowest value (568 Kg m³). Bulk density of different compost piles was noted to decreased with increasing amount of straw bulking material, thus increase of rice straw material positively affected on physical quality of the final product [42].

Results of chemical characteristics in Table 4 showed that Pile 1 (FYM, control), gave the highest values of N, P and K percentages (2.40, 0.83 and 2.73 %, respectively) over other piles contained different levels of rice straw. The lowest concentrations appeared in piles 3 (FYM + 4% RS) and 4 (FYM + 6% RS). However, all

concentrations of N, P and K nutrients were in the range of abordable levels.

For biological characteristics, the compost piles contained high number of mezophylic and bacteria, whereas pile 5 (FYM + 8% RS), contains the highest count of mezophylic bacteria (45×10^5), but count of 31×10^5 was recorded for pile 3 (FYM + 4% RS). Thermophylic bacteria ranged from (49×10^3) for pile 5 (FYM + 8% RS), to 39×10^3 for pile 4 (FYM + 6% RS).

Most of fungi were mezophylic ranging from (25×10^3) for pile 2 (FYM +2% RS), to (46×10^3) for pile 5 (FYM + 8% RS). But actinomycetes recorded small amounts. Pathogenic microorganisms *E. coli*, *Salmonella* and *Shigella* were not detected, this finding completely agreed with figured of [43], whereas they found

that composting process of municipal solid wastes initiated heat reached 60 °C and they discussed that this temperature is the main cause of elimination of *Salmonella* and *Shigella*, but the other pathogenic indicators like total coliforms, faecal coliforms and faecal *Streptococci* were sharply decreased but not completely sanitized. Total counts of bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes exhibited increase with increasing bulking material dose (rice straw), this enrichment microbial counts resulted from increase of energy sources (rice straw) which used by these microorganisms, which reflected on increasing microbial counts as shown from data of table of the present study. Therefor C/N ratio must had an acceptable value around 30 to give the microorganisms their requirement from nutrients as well as energy [44].

Table 3. Piles temperature (°C) records through different period of composting

Date	December (2014)				January (2015)			
	18	23	28	2	7	12	17	22
Pile treatment								
FYM (control)	20	20	21	20	21	21	19	18
FYM +2% RS	28	29	29	30	30	31	29	25
FYM + 4% RS	32	33	32	32	36	37	34	24
FYM + 6% RS	33	40	33	28	38	38	35	23
FYM + 8% RS	38	44	36	42	61	54	42	27

Table 4. Physical, chemical and biological characteristics of different types of compost piles

Parameters	Bile	FYM (control)	FYM + 2 % RS	FYM + 4 % RS	FYM + 6 % RS	FYM + 8 % RS
pH		8.15	8.17	8.26	8.32	8.32
EC		4.70	5.49	4.80	6.27	4.63
Organic Carbon (%)		37.53	22.59	30.08	19.62	20.65
Bulk density Kg m ³		643	621	632	595	568
Moisture content		40	41	32	38	37
C/N ratio		15.64	18.22	16.53	16.49	15.08
N %		2.40 ± 0.07	1.24± 0.17	1.82 ± 0.14	1.19 ± 0.3	1.37 ± .05
P %		0.83 ± 0.01	0.77± 0.1	0.79± 0	0.7± 0	0.51± 0.02
K %		2.73 ± 1.1	1.54 ± 0.11	1.44 ± 0.39	1.38 ± 0.1	1.69± 0.25
Germination %		92.1 ± 0.5	89.5 ± 1	86.8 ± 0.5	84.2 ± 1	81.6 ± 1.5
TCB at 30 °C		44×10^5	38×10^5	31×10^5	36×10^5	45×10^5
TCB at 50 °C		56×10^3	55×10^3	48×10^3	47×10^3	44×10^3
TCA at 30 °C		49×10^3	44×10^3	47×10^3	39×10^3	41×10^3
TCA at 50 °C		59×10^1	53×10^1	55×10^1	61×10^1	58×10^1
TCF at 30 °C		27×10^3	25×10^3	33×10^3	39×10^3	46×10^3
TCF at 50 °C		14×10^1	13×10^1	17×10^1	18×10^1	22×10^1
<i>E. coli</i>		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Salmonella</i> sp.		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Shigella</i> sp.		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TCB: Total count of Bacteria; TCA: Total count of Actinomycetes; TCF: Total count of Fungi

3.2 Pot Experiment

3.2.1 Vegetative growth

Application of compost to soil of maize plants improved its biological yield. Moreover, addition of biofertilizers enriched compost gave more increase in biological yield. Therefore, the different types of compost under study had varied influences on biological yield of the plant. In this context, compost type 4 (FYM + 6% RS) and 5 (FYM + 8% RS), gave the highest yield as shown in Table 5. Also, application of enriched compost increased the plant growth compared to normal compost types. Enriched compost type 4 (FYM + 6% RS), gave the highest values of fresh and dry weight of the plant (193.77 and 75.81 g plant⁻¹) compared to those of control without compost which recorded 91.57 and 33.49 g plant⁻¹ respectively, the differences were significant.

However, the plants fertilized with enriched compost and inoculated with the mixed inoculum had the best response, whereas, the treatment of enriched compost type 4 (FYM + 6% RS) + inoculation attained 206.18 and 82.08 g plant⁻¹ for fresh and dry weight of the plant respectively. The variations than other between the treatments were significant.

Total chlorophyll was also responded by addition of compost types (Table 5). Enriched compost + inoculation treatments exhibited values > Enriched compost > compost only. The treatments of compost + inoculation type 4 (FYM + 6% RS) and 5 (FYM + 8% RS), gave the greatest values 34.18 and 32.70, respectively.

3.2.2 Enzyme activity

Dehydrogenase activity values of rhizosphere soil of *Zea mays* plants were illustrated in Table 5. Their values showed increases due to addition of different compost treatments. Compost type 4 (FYM + 6% RS) treatment recorded the highest value (200.52 mg TPF g⁻¹ soil d⁻¹) compared to unamended control (172.88 mg TPF g⁻¹ soil d⁻¹). Enriched compost showed the best effect over normal compost treatments. Enriched compost type 4 (FYM + 6% RS), exhibited the best value over other corresponding compost types as well as normal compost treatments. Lately enriched compost + inoculation treatments showed higher dehydrogenase values, and the enriched compost type 4 (FYM + 6% RS) and 5 (FYM + 8% RS) + inoculation attained the highest values not at all (225.05 and 224.92 mg TPF g⁻¹ soil d⁻¹, respectively).

Table 5. Effect of compost types on vegetative growth of *Zea mays* shoot and dehydrogenase activity

Treatment		Vegetative growth			Enzyme activity
		Fresh weight g shoot ⁻¹	Dry weight g shoot ⁻¹	Total chlorophyll	Dehydrogenase (mg TPF g ⁻¹ soil d ⁻¹)
Normal compost	Control	91.57 ^m	33.49 ^l	23.44 ^j	172.88 ^j
	FYM (control)	116.76 ^l	39.68 ^h	25.73 ⁱ	183.32 ^{ij}
	FYM + 2 % RS	124.50 ^{kl}	41.34 ^h	26.98 ^{hi}	189.03 ^{ghi}
	FYM + 4 % RS	145.33 ^{hi}	47.56 ^g	28.83 ^{fg}	198.99 ^{efg}
	FYM + 6 % RS	176.06 ^{de}	65.14 ^d	30.30 ^{def}	200.52 ^{def}
	FYM + 8 % RS	172.14 ^e	62.05 ^d	30.33 ^{def}	189.72 ^{ghi}
Enriched compost	Control	91.57 ^m	33.49 ^l	23.44 ^j	172.88 ^j
	FYM (control)	132.00 ^{jk}	46.82 ^g	26.99 ^{hi}	195.61 ^{fgh}
	FYM + 2 % RS	138.22 ^{ij}	49.22 ^{fg}	27.97 ^{gh}	208.97 ^{cde}
	FYM + 4 % RS	150.21 ^{gh}	57.41 ^e	29.19 ^{etg}	210.58 ^{bcd}
	FYM + 6 % RS	193.77 ^b	75.81 ^b	32.15 ^{bc}	215.22 ^{abc}
	FYM + 8 % RS	182.83 ^{cb}	70.84 ^c	31.54 ^{bcd}	213.37 ^{bc}
Enriched compost + Inoculation	Control	137.53 ^{ij}	48.72 ^{fg}	27.18 ^{hi}	188.00 ^{hi}
	FYM (control)	156.96 ^{fg}	52.47 ^f	28.87 ^{fg}	202.44 ^{def}
	FYM + 2 % RS	160.95 ^f	51.90 ^f	28.48 ^{gh}	220.33 ^{ab}
	FYM + 4 % RS	183.13 ^{cd}	62.31 ^d	30.74 ^{cde}	225.05 ^a
	FYM + 6 % RS	206.18 ^a	82.08 ^a	34.18 ^a	224.92 ^a
	FYM + 8 % RS	189.43 ^{bc}	79.07 ^{ab}	32.70 ^{ab}	224.38 ^a
L.S.D 0.05		9.23	3.92	1.62	10.57

In a column, means followed by a common letter are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT

[45], reported that addition of composted fruits and vegetables waste enriched with N₂ – fixing and PGPR bacteria improved compost quality and increased tomato plant yield. This regard to improving effect of microorganisms to physical and chemical characteristics of compost [46].

Compost application to soil improved its physical and chemical characteristics such as water retention capacity, Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) and aggregation that leads to improve of soil drainage. In addition, compost enriched soil with high number of microbial species and increase microbial counts, thus, soil food web of soil became more potent [47]

Microbial enriched compost causes increase in N₂ – fixing, phosphate and potassium dissolving bacteria in compost which cause increase for these microorganisms in rhizosphere of the plant, which gave the plants some of their needs from available essential elements and release plant growth promoting phytohormones (PGPH). These hormones cause deformations in plant roots, whereas, increase in length and distributed in soil more efficiently.

Water and nutrients absorption of roots increased [45]. The abundance of phosphate and potassium dissolving bacteria save a lot amounts of P and K be available to the plants. In addition, these inoculated biofertilizer microorganisms release antibiotics and phytotoxins in the rhizosphere which became destructive to pathogenic microorganisms [48].

3.2.3 Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium contents

Data of Table 6 showed that application of the different compost types sharply increased N, P and K contents of *Zea mays* shoots over the not amended control treatment. The best treatment gave high contents of N, P and K was compost type 4 (farmyard manure + 56 kg rice straw) which attained 2.13, 0.51 and 2.32 (g shoot⁻¹), while the treatment of control (without compost) exhibited the lowest levels 1.03, 0.23 and 0.97, respectively, and the differences were significant. The microbial biofertilizers added to the mature compost (enriched compost) had a positive effect, whereas, the studied element contents increased over those of unamended compost. For example, the treatment of enriched compost (type 4) gave higher values of N, P and K (2.85; 0.65 and 2.91 g shoot⁻¹) over those of normal compost (type 4)

treatment (2.13, 0.51 and 2.31 g shoot⁻¹), respectively with significant differences. The plants received enriched compost and inoculated with the mixed inoculum as seed-based inoculum attained the highest values not at all over other treatments (p< 0.05%), especially plants received enriched compost and inoculated with the mixed inoculum, that gave the highest values (3.26, 0.76 and 3.37) respectively.

In general application of compost, type 4 caused an increase in N, P and K contents in shoot of *Zea mays* plants. Moreover, enrichment compost with biofertilizers had a best effect, in addition to inoculation of seeds with the biofertilizer attained the highest positive influence.

[49], enriched vermicompost and farmyard manure with *Azotobacter* and phosphate dissolving bacteria with incubation for 30 days, after this period they found that C/N ratio significantly reduced and the population of *Azotobacter* and phosphate dissolving bacteria sharply increased. [50], found a similar results. At the same time, [51], showed that fertilization of potato plants with 35.7 tons of compost increased P and K concentrations in leaves but N % did not changed than mineral fertilized control.

3.2.4 Economic evaluation

The economic study based on calculation of net return which represent subtract total costs (fixed costs + changed costs) from final product value (L.E. ton⁻¹). The net return of one ton compost was decreased with the increasing rice straw addition. The lowest net return was shown with the addition of 8 % rice straw, but the highest net value attained by the treatment FYM only, which gave net return of 171.5 L.E. ton⁻¹. However, these variation not big to affect the economy of production. This may be due to addition of rice straw price without increase of the final weight of the pile.

The addition of bulking agent like rice straw increased microbial counts and types which involved in the decomposition process of the raw material, causing full decomposition and eject an energy as a heat (as shown in Table 3).

In spite of nearly stability of product weight with addition of rice straw. The quality of final compost was improved like bulking density decrease of ammonium volatilization, increasing microbial counts and diversity.

Table 6: Effect of compost types on Zea mays shoot N, P and K contents (g shoot⁻¹)

Treatment		N	P	K
Normal compost	Control	1.03 ^d	0.23 ^d	0.97 ^e
	FYM (control)	1.29 ^c	0.30 ^c	1.31 ^d
	FYM + 2 % RS	1.13 ^{cd}	0.27 ^{cd}	1.24 ^d
	FYM + 4 % RS	1.54 ^b	0.37 ^b	1.58 ^c
	FYM + 6 % RS	2.13 ^a	0.51 ^a	2.32 ^a
	FYM + 8 % RS	2.01 ^a	0.49 ^a	2.07 ^b
Enriched compost	Control	1.33 ^e	0.29 ^d	1.28 ^e
	FYM (control)	1.50 ^{de}	0.34 ^{cd}	1.63 ^d
	FYM + 2 % RS	1.64 ^d	0.37 ^c	1.77 ^d
	FYM + 4 % RS	1.91 ^c	0.47 ^b	2.22 ^c
	FYM + 6 % RS	2.58 ^a	0.65 ^a	2.91 ^a
	FYM + 8 % RS	2.36 ^b	0.61 ^a	2.62 ^b
Enriched compost + Inoculation	Control	1.56 ^d	0.36 ^c	1.63 ^d
	FYM (control)	1.68 ^d	0.41 ^c	1.77 ^{cd}
	FYM + 2 % RS	1.73 ^d	0.40 ^c	1.94 ^c
	FYM + 4 % RS	2.16 ^c	0.55 ^b	2.41 ^b
	FYM + 6 % RS	3.26 ^a	0.76 ^a	3.37 ^a
	FYM + 8 % RS	3.00 ^b	0.73 ^a	3.19 ^a
L.S.D. 0.05		0.177	0.040	0.228

In a column, means followed by a common letter are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT

Table 7. Economic evaluation of compost piles construction as affected by addition of different levels of bulking agents (rice straw)

Treatment	Fixed costs (L. E. ton ⁻¹)	Changed costs (L. E. Kg ⁻¹)	Total costs	Final product value (L. E.)	Net return (L. E.)
FYM (control)	128.5	-	128.5	300	171.5
FYM +2% RS	128.5	3.5	132.0	300	168.5
FYM + 4% RS	128.5	7.0	135.5	300	164.5
FYM + 6% RS	128.5	10.5	139.0	300	161.0
FYM + 8% RS	128.5	14.0	142.5	300	157.5

Fixed costs include: FYM, 67.6 (L. E. ton⁻¹); Pile construction, 15 (L. E. ton⁻¹); Turning, 21 (L. E. ton⁻¹) and water spray, 25 (L. E. ton⁻¹), Changed costs: rice straw

[7,38,52], found that addition of bulking agent (rice straw) improved characteristics of the final compost product. In addition, FYM which obtained from Sakha Livestock Research Station was mostly decomposed due to putting a bed of straw beneath the animals every week, and the overall bed leaved after a long of time, the matter which allow decomposition of these materials.

4. CONCLUSION

Suitable C/N ratio from mixture of farmyard manure and rice straw waste with soil as the effective microorganism source enhance the mineralization and nutrient release into the soil for plant growth promotion.

Therefore, our results showed that the application of different ratio of rice straw waste with farmyard manure has increased the nutrient content, and improvement physicochemical

properties of the final compost products indicating an increase in compost quality. Application as an organic fertilizer, enhanced maize growth and biochemical characteristics, results also, attained an increase in N, P and K contents in shoot. Moreover, enrichment compost with biofertilizers had a best effect, in addition to inoculation of seeds with the biofertilizer attained the highest positive influences.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Bhattacharyya P, Chakrabarti K, Chakraborty A. Effect of MSW compost on

- microbiological and biochemical soil quality indicators. *Compost Sci. Util.* 2003;11(3):220.
2. Zhu N. Effect of low initial C/N ratio on aerobic composting of swine manure with rice straw. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2007;98:9.
 3. El-Etr WT, Laila KM, Elham EI. Comparative effects of bio-compost and compost on growth yield and nutrients content of pea and wheat plants grown on sandy soils. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.* 2004;82: 73-94.
 4. Goyal S, Dalel S, Sunita S, Kapoor KK. Effect of rice straw compost on soil microbiological properties and yield of rice. *Indian J. Agric. Res.* 2009;43(4):263-268.
 5. Qian X, Shen G, Wang Z, Guo C, Liu Y, Lei Z, Zhang Z. Co-composting of livestock manure with rice straw: Characterization and establishment of maturity evaluation system. *Waste Manag.* 2014;34:530-535.
 6. Jiang JS, Liu XL, Huang YM, Huang H. Inoculation with nitrogen turnover bacterial agent appropriately increasing nitrogen and promoting maturity in pig manure composting. *Waste Manage.* 2015;39:78-85.
 7. Abdel-Rahman MA, El-Din MN, Refaat BM, Abdel-Shakour EH, Ewais EE, Alrefaey HMA. Biotechnological application of thermotolerant cellulose-decomposing bacteria in composting of rice straw. *Ann. Agric. Sci.* 2016;61:135-143.
 8. Watanabe T, Hong ML, Kazuyuki I. Effect of the continuous application of rice straw compost and chemical fertilizer on carbon and available silicon under a double rice cropping system in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. *J.A.R.Q.* 2017;51(3):233-239.
 9. Çakmakçı R, Dönmez F, Aydın A, Sahin F. Growth promotion of plants by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria under greenhouse and two different field soil conditions. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2006;38: 1482-1487.
 10. Sahin F, Çakmakçı R, Kantar F. Sugar beet and barley yields in relation to inoculation with N₂-fixing and phosphate solubilizing bacteria. *Plant Soil.* 2004;265: 123-129.
 11. Çakmakçı R, Erat M, Erdogan Ü, Dönmez MF. The influence of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on growth and enzyme activities in wheat and spinach plants. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 2007;170:288-295.
 12. Javaid A, Mahmood N. Growth, nodulation and yield response of soyabean to biofertilizers and organic manures. *Pak. J. Bot.* 2010;42:863-871.
 13. Saidia PS, Chilagane DA, Wostry A, Maro JF. Evaluation of EM technology on maize (*Zea mays* L.) Growth, development and yield in Morogoro Tanzania. A Research Report, Bustani ya Tushikamane (ByT) Kilakala, Morogoro; 2010. Available: http://kilimo.org/WordPress/?page_id=336
 14. Jurado MM, Suárez-Estrella F, López MJ, Vargas-García MC, López-González JA, Moreno J. Enhanced turnover of organic matter fractions by microbial stimulation during lignocellulosic waste composting. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2015;186:15-24.
 15. Saidia PS, Mrema JP. Effects of farmyard manure and activated effective microorganisms on rain-fed upland rice in Mwanza, Tanzania. *Org. Agr.* 2017;7:83-93. DOI: 10.1007/s13165-016-0154-6
 16. Shahzad SM, Azeem K, Muhammad SA, Muhammad R, Muhammad A, Zafar I, Tahira Y. Co-inoculation integrated with P-enriched compost improved nodulation and growth of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under irrigated and rainfed farming systems. *Biol. Fertil. Soils.* 2014;50:1-12. DOI: 10.1007/s00374-013-0826-2
 17. Singh SK, Sharma HR, Shukla A, Singh U, Thakur A. Effect of biofertilizers and mulch on growth, yield and quality of tomato in mid-hills of Himachal Pradesh. *Int. J. Farm Sci.* 2015;5(3):98-110.
 18. Khan VM, Manohar KS, Verma HP. Effect of vermicompost and biofertilizer on yield, quality and economics of cowpea. *Ann. Agric. Res.* 2015;36(3):309-311.
 19. El-Sayed SF, Hassan AH, El-Mogy MM. Impact of bio- and organic fertilizers on potato yield, quality and tuber weight loss after harvest. *Potato. Res.* 2015;58:67-81.
 20. Anisa NA, Markose BL, Joseph S. Effect of biofertilizers on yield attributing characters and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) Moench). *Int. J. Appl. Pure. Sci. Agric.* 2016;2:59-62.
 21. Jinling LY, Liu H, Wang X, Rodrigo O, Tian C, Liu X. Crop yields and soil organic carbon dynamics in a long-term fertilization experiment in an extremely arid region of northern Xinjiang, China. *J. Arid Land.* 2017;9(3):345-354. Doi: 10.1007/s40333-017-0097-0

22. Zaghloul RA, Hanafy EA, Neweigy NA, Khalifa NA. Application of biofertilization and biological control for tomato production. Proceedings of 12th Microbiology Conf. Cairo, Egypt. March. 2007;18-20.
23. Ahmed AI. Effectiveness of inoculation with thermophilic microorganisms on compost maturity acceleration. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Ain Sham. Uni, Egypt; 2012.
24. Selim AE, Saber WI, Kedra EG. Effect of rice straw bio-compost on growth and chemical composition of lettuce plants and chemical and biological properties of reclaimed sandy soil. J. Agric. Chem. Biotechn. 2015;6(7):247-259.
25. Döbereiner J, Marrial LE, Nery M. Ecological distribution of *Spirillum lipoferum*. Beigerink. Can. J. Microbiol. 1976;22:1464-1473.
26. Okon Y, Albrecht L, Burris RH. Methods for growing *Spirillum lipoferum* and for counting it in pure culture and in association with plants. Appl. Environ. Microbio. 1977;33:83-85.
27. Atlas RM. Handbook of microbiological media crc pres. second edition. New York, USA. 1997;1026.
28. Zucconi F, Pera A, Forte M, De Bertoldi MA. Evaluating toxicity of immature compost. Biocycle. 1981;22:54-57.
29. Tiquia SM, Tam NF. Composting of spent pig litter in turned and forced-aerated piles. Environ. Pollut. 1998;99:329-337.
30. Haug RT. The practical handbook of compost engineering, Lewis publishers, Boca Raton, Florida. 1993;717.
31. Chapman HD, Parker FP. Methods of analysis for soils, plants and waters. Univ. California. Div. Agric. Sci. 1963;941-947.
32. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice-Hall, India, New Delhi. 1967;183-203.
33. Snell FD, Snell CT. Colorimetric methods of analysis. d. Von Nastrand Company, Inc. 1967;551-552.
34. Allen ON. Experiments in soil bacteriology. Burgess pub. Co.; Ninn, Minnesota; 1959.
35. Wohlsen T, Bates J, Vesey G, Robinson WA, Katouli M. Evaluation of the methods for enumerating coliform bacteria from water samples using precise reference standards. Letters Appl. Microbio. 2006;42:350-356.
36. Casida LE, Klein DA, Sinatoro T. Soil dehydrogenase activity. Soil Sci. 1964;98: 371-376.
37. Steel RGD, Torrie JH. Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw Hill, NY; 1980.
38. El-Haddad ME, Mona SZ, El-Sayed GAM, Hassanein MK, Abd El-Satar AM. Evaluation of compost, vermicompost and their teas produced from rice straw as affected by addition of different supplements. Ann. Agric. Sci. 2014;59(2): 243-251.
39. Troy M, Healy MG, kwapinski W. Characterization of separated pig manure composted a variety of bulking agents at low initial C/N ratio. Bioresou. Techno. 2011;102:7131-7138.
40. Chang JL, Chen YJ. Effects of bulking agents on food waste composting. Bioresou. Techno. 2010;101:5917-5924.
41. Varma VS, Mayur C, Kalamdhad A. Effect of bulking agent in composting of vegetable waste and leachate control using rotary drum composter. Sustain. Environ. Res. 2014;24:245-256.
42. Batham M, Gupta R, Tiwari A. Implementation of bulking agents in composting: A review. J. Bioremed. Biodeg. 2013;4:7-14.
43. Hassan A, Belquith K, Jedid N, Cherif A, Cherif M, Boudabous A. Microbial characterization during composting of municipal solid waste. Bioresou. Techno. 2002;80:217-225.
44. Rich N, Kumar S, Bharti A. Changes in characteristic of municipal solid waste by bulking agent in - vessel composting: Critical Review. Inter. J. Innov. Res. Sci. Engin. Techno. 2014;3:2347-6710.
45. Tahir M, Arshad M, Naveed M, Zahir ZA, Shaharoon B, Ahmed R. Enrichment of recycled organic wastes of N – fertilized and PGPR containing Acc – deaminase for improving growth and yield of tomato. Soil Environ. 2006;25:105-112.
46. Kumar V, Singh KP. Enriching vermicompost by nitrogen fixing and phosphate solubilizing bacteria. Journal of Bioresource Technology. 2001;76:173-175.
47. Martinez - Blanco J, Lazcano C, Thomas CH, Munoz P, Rieradevall J, Moller J. Compost benefits for agriculture evaluated by life assessment. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 2013;33:721-732. DOI 10.1007/s13593-013-0148-7
48. Bhardwaj D, Ansari MW, Sahoo RK, Tutega N. Biofertilizers function as key player in sustainable agriculture by

- improving soil fertility, plant tolerance and crop productivity. *Microbial Cell Factories*. 2014;13:66.
49. Nilay B, Deka NC, Deka J, Barua IC, Nath DJ, Medhi BK. Enrichment of compost through microbial inoculation - effect on quality. *Inter. J. Curr. Res.* 2014;6(8):8026-8031.
50. Razikordmahalleh L. Production of compost with useful microorganisms from sugar cane bagasse enriched with rock phosphate, urea and sulphur. *E3 J. Environ. Res. Manag.* 2014;5:119-124.
51. El Sayed SF, Hassan HA, El-Mogy MM, Abdel-Wahab A. Growth, yield and nutrient concentration of potato plants grown under organic and conventional fertilizer system. *American – Eurasian J. Agric. Environ. Sci.* 2014;14:636-643.
52. Gou C, Yuqiong W, Xiqing Z, Yujie L, Yunhang G. Inoculation with a psychrotrophic-thermophilic complex microbial agent accelerates onset and promotes maturity of dairy manure-rice straw composting under cold climate conditions. *Bioreso. Techno.* 2017;243:339-346.

© 2017 El-Din et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/21382>