



Characterization and Study of Dominated Alluvial Soil Profile of Mirzapur in Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGPs) of India

Mahendru Kumar Gautam ^{a*}, Amlan Kumar Ghosh ^a,
Saurabh Kumar ^b, Sarvajeet ^a, Muneesh Kumar Kamal ^b,
Kishan Lal ^b and Ajay Kumar Gautam ^b

^a Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi- 221005, Uttar Pradesh, India.

^b Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur-208002, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i92917

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89732>

Original Research Article

Received: 25/05/2022

Accepted: 30/07/2022

Published: 19/04/2023

ABSTRACT

This provides the basic information necessary to create functional soil classification schemes and assess soil fertility in order to unravel some unique soil problems in an ecosystem. Mirzapur district is situated in the southern part of Uttar Pradesh and is located between 25.8° N to 25.15° N latitude and 82.34° E to 82.58° E longitude covering an area of 4952.5 km². The soils of the study region comprised of alluvial soil representing Entisol and soils which are formed on granitic parent material representing Alfisols. The coupling of soil characterization, soil classification and soil mapping

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mkg26bhu@yahoo.com;

provides a powerful resource for the benefit of mankind especially in the area of food security and environmental sustainability. Among the cationic micronutrients, Zn content varied between 1-3 ppm in the Mirzapur soil profile. Copper content varied between 1-7 ppm in Jamalpur, 1-4 ppm in Narayanpur. The available Cu content is categorized as sufficient if it is > 0.2 ppm. Available iron content varied between 2-40 ppm in Jamalpur soil profile and 8-63 ppm in the Narayanpur soil profile. Available Mn content varied between 2-40 ppm in Jamalpur, 5-18 ppm in Narayanpur. Soils are generally categorized as having enough manganese in the DTPA extractable Mn content is > 0.2 ppm. Hence all soils of Jamalpur and Narayanpur had sufficient available soil manganese.

Keywords: Soil profile; soil fertility; soil characterization; soil classification; environmental sustainability.

1. INTRODUCTION

There is an increasing demand for information on soils as a means to produce food (Fasina et al. 2007). Agriculture is the predominant economic activity in India and because of agricultural development and increasing demand in India, much work is carried out on soil characterization [1-9]. This provides the basic information necessary to create functional soil classification schemes, and assess soil fertility in order to unravel some unique soil problems in an ecosystem (Lekwa et al. 2004). The coupling of soil characterization, soil classification and soil mapping provides a powerful resource for the benefit of mankind especially in the area of food security and environmental sustainability. Soil use refers to the conversion of a whole or a part of the soil for specific purposes like agricultural activities, house building, or industry purposes etc. (Rai, 2015). It also provides adequate information in terms of landform, natural vegetation as well as characteristics of soils which can be utilized for land resources management and development [10]. The alluvium deposit of both old and new types by the two great rivers of India, the Ganga and the Yamuna are found in this region, only the southern uplands, touching the Vindhya hills are not covered by such deposits [11-20]. Sandstone, shale, conglomerate and limestone are found in the Sun Valley region of Mirzapur. The sands of the Kaimur group are of two to three-metre thick friable sandstone. The silica sand found in Chandauli district is fine to medium-grained, brown and buff in colour [21-30]. Most of the glass factories of northern India get their supply from this region. Similar sands are reported from Robertsganj plateau of Mirzapur district. Besides kankar, which is found in abundance in various parts of the study area, suitable limestone occurs in some places of Mirzapur district (Agarwal, et al. 1952). The rivers rising from the mountains during the period of great gradational activity deposited the detritus

brought down by them in their long journey and in this way the plain was formed (Wadia, 1975). The sediments deposited at the bed of the Tethys Sea was folded and warped due to the northward drift of peninsula (Khullar, 2005). This rolling upland, touching the Vindhya hills exhibits a complex and heterogeneous nature of topography with detached hills, flat-topped ridges, summit plains and entrenched narrow as well as broad valleys almost reaching the base level [32-40]. In the eastern part, the east-west trending Vindhayan range composed of shallow marine deposits of Proterozoic age, divides the Ganga plain in the north and vast Padi plain exposing metamorphosed sequence of Archaean age in the south (NATMO, 2008). Based on the micro-level topographic facets, the Ganga plain can be divided into three sub-regions, viz. (i) the older alluvium or *Bhangar*, (ii) the newer alluvium or *khaddar* and (iii) the *terai* adjoining to the bhabar area is the *terai*. Geologically Eastern Uttar Pradesh is made up of diverse rock types, ranging in age from the oldest.

Owing to the fact that Mirzapur district is an agrarian community outskirts and not much study has been done on the soils of the area, characterization and classification will help reveal information that could be useful in the management and use of the soils on a sustainable manner. The objective of this research therefore, is to characterize and classify the soils of Mirzapur District of Uttar Pradesh.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

Mirzapur district is situated in the southern part of Uttar Pradesh and is located between 25.8° N to 25.15° N latitude and 82.34° E to 82.58° E longitude covering an area of 4952.5 km². The soils of the study region comprised of alluvial soil representing entisol and soils which are formed on granitic parent material representing Alfisols.

2.2 Method of Soil Collection and Preparation

A total of five profiles were dug and samples were collected from each location. To collect a soil sample, surface litter was gently scraped off with a khurpi. A rectangular pit was dug to a depth of 1m x1 m x 1m. Soil samples were collected from the wall of the rectangular pit using stainless steel auger from a depth of 0-5, 5-15, 15-30, 30-60 and 60-100 cm. In addition, to measure the bulk density, core samplers having cores measuring 5 cm in length and 5 cm in diameter were used. Soil samples were dried in shade and brought to the laboratory where they were ground to pass through 2 mm sieve, tagged and stored in plastic containers for analysis.

2.3 Analysis of Samples

The bulk density was estimated using the soil core sampler method [41]. Soil colour was measured using a Munsell's soil colour chart. For this air-dried soil was taken on plastic sheets and the colour matched with the colour notation of the chart. The Munsell system divides colour into hue; value; and chroma. Hue is the wavelength of the colour, value is the tone (from dark to light), and chroma is the colour saturation. The Pycnometer method was used to estimate the particle density of soil using water as the displacing liquid as the soils were salt free and non-swelling type. The water holding capacity (WHC) of the soils was measured in the laboratory using keen-rackzowski box (Black, 1965). Particle size analyses of soils were estimated by hydrometer method as described by Bouyoucous [42]. The soil pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were recorded in 1:2.5 soil to water suspension (Jackson, 1973). Exchangeable bases were collected using neutral normal ammonium acetate and the exchanged ion measured following the procedure outlined in Hesse (1970). The total Ca^{++} and Mg^{++} was determined by complexometric titration, involving ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA). Different soil chemical properties determined were: soil organic carbon (OC) content by chromic acid wet oxidation method [43], Carbon stocks were determined using the formula,

$$\text{Carbon Stock} = \frac{\text{OC} \times \text{BD} \times \text{D} \times 10,00}{100}$$

Where Carbon Stock is $Mgha^{-1}$, OC is the organic carbon expressed as percentage, BD id

bulk density in Mgm^{-3} and D is the depth of soil in m.

Available nitrogen (N) was estimated by alkaline potassium permanganate method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956), available phosphorus (P) spectrophotometrically [44], available potassium (K) by flame photometrically [45], soil available micronutrients iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn) by DTPA-extraction method (Lindsay and Norwell, 1978). Water-soluble ions were determined in 1:2.5 soil to water suspension, whereas, exchangeable ions in neutral normal ammonium acetate extract [46]. The data on soil properties were correlated with the Pearson Correlation analysis by colour matrix using R square (R version 3.5.1) to signify the relationship among different soil parameter at different depths.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Physical Properties of Young Alluvial Soil

Data in Table 1 show that the young alluvial soil of Mirzapur is found in the northern part of the district adjoining the Ganga basin. Soil profile from three locations namely Mirzapur, Chunar, and Chhanbey block was studied to represent and cover the entire stretch of young alluvial soil. The physical properties of young alluvial soil are presented in Table 1 and 2 and the profile photograph of the three profiles presented in Figs.1 to 3.

There was no variation in soil colour observed at all soil depths in the Chhanbey soil profile. But the Chunar soil profile exhibited a red colour in the plough layer and become yellow-red at lower soil depths. Red, yellow and brown colour is related to the extent of oxidation, hydration and diffusion of iron oxides in the soil. Yellow, red and brown colours are mostly due to the presence of goethite, hematite and magnetite respectively. Organic matter in soil tends to impart dark brown to black colour. So for as texture is concerned, coarse-textured soils are usually light in colour whereas well-textured soil appears darker in colour (Phogat et al. 2015).

Also, Bulk density is the weight of soil in a given volume and is an indicator of ease of root growth. Bulk densities higher than $1.6 g cm^{-3}$ generally cause restrict root growth. Bulk density increases with compaction and trends to increase with depth. Sandy soil was prone to more bulk density. The bulk density of Mirzapur profile

Table 1. Physical properties of young alluvial soil

Location	Longitude (E°)	Latitude (N°)	Soil order	Depth (cm)	Colour	Bulk density (Mg m ⁻³)	Porosity (%)	WHC (%)	Mechanical analysis (%)			Texture classes (USDA)
									Sand	Silt	Clay	
Mirzapur	82.55239	25.01344	Entisols	0-5	5 Y8/2	1.49	43.74	44.0	32	37	31	Clay loam
-	-	-	-	5-15	5 Y8/2	1.74	34.28	43.6	26	45	29	Loam
-	-	-	-	15-30	2.5 Y8/3	1.70	36.01	43.2	28	29	43	Clay
-	-	-	-	30-60	2.5 Y8/3	1.75	33.93	42.4	30	31	39	Clay loam
-	-	-	-	60-100	2.5 Y8/3	1.94	26.70	41.9	26	35	39	Clay loam
Chunar	82.86041	25.11055	Entisols	0-5	5 YR5/2	1.15	56.58	42.8	25	41	33	Clay loam
-	-	-	-	5-15	5 YR5/3	1.37	48.24	42.3	29	43	27	Clay loam
-	-	-	-	15-30	7.5 YR7/2	1.57	40.78	41.3	25	33	41	Clay
-	-	-	-	30-60	5 YR5/3	1.84	30.47	41.0	24	30	45	Clay
-	-	-	-	60-100	7.5 YR7/2	1.74	34.24	40.5	25	31	43	Clay
Chhanbey	82.17392	25.93782	Entisols	0-5	5Y8/3	1.5	43.55	44.4	19	48	33	Silty clay loam
-	-	-	-	5-15	5 Y8/3	1.76	33.51	43.8	43	20	37	Clay loam
-	-	-	-	15-30	5 Y8/3	1.76	33.43	43.1	14	45	41	Silty clay
-	-	-	-	30-60	5 Y8/3	1.78	32.66	42.7	18	43	39	Silty clay
-	-	-	-	60-100	5 Y8/3	1.66	30.38	42.1	12	45	43	Silty clay

Note: BD = Bulk density, WHC = Water holding capacity

Table 2. Chemical properties of young alluvial soil

Location	Longitude (E°)	Latitude (N°)	Soil order	Depth (cm)	pH	EC (dS m ⁻¹)	OC (%)	Carbon stocks (Mg ha ⁻¹)	Exchangeable base (cmol (p+)kg ⁻¹)		Macronutrients (Kg ha ⁻¹)			Micronutrients (Mg ha ⁻¹)			
									Ca	Mg	N	P	K	Zn	Cu	Fe	Mn
Mirzapur	82.55239	25.01344	Entisols	0-5	8.5	0.19	0.65	4.92	3.25	3.45	235	16	207	2	2	29	21
-	-	-	-	5-15	8.3	0.15	0.6	9.32	3.70	5.55	226	12	219	2	1	28	22
-	-	-	-	15-30	8.9	0.2	0.52	12.94	4.10	5.50	216	11	196	2	11	16	23
-	-	-	-	30-60	8.9	0.55	0.24	11.18	4.50	6.25	194	9	173	0	0	12	3
-	-	-	-	60-100	9.1	0.12	0.10	4.98	4.80	7.30	147	8	138	2	1	15	27
Chunar	82.86041	25.11055	Entisols	0-5	8.8	0.22	0.52	2.99	2.25	4.25	267	8	173	9	7	8	21
-	-	-	-	5-15	8.6	0.16	0.51	6.95	3.40	4.30	257	8	184	6	9	11	25
-	-	-	-	15-30	8.7	0.13	0.41	9.73	3.95	7.30	235	8	161	4	6	4	17
-	-	-	-	30-60	8.8	0.52	0.25	14.00	4.40	5.80	220	7	138	3	2	2	9
-	-	-	-	60-100	8.6	0.20	0.15	10.22	4.50	8.50	201	6	127	2	2	2	12
Chhanbey	82.17392	25.93782	Entisols	0-5	6.4	0.23	0.62	4.99	7.00	3.80	191	9	265	2	3	70	24
-	-	-	-	5-15	6.5	0.18	0.43	6.78	7.90	4.50	182	8	219	2	2	46	21
-	-	-	-	15-30	6.9	0.14	0.21	5.16	9.15	5.40	138	7	184	1	0	13	3
-	-	-	-	30-60	6.8	0.14	0.18	12.23	8.20	3.50	132	6	161	1	1	36	16
-	-	-	-	60-100	7.0	0.13	0.13	9.34	9.80	3.42	78	6	138	1	1	15	26

Note: EC = Electrical conductivity, OC = Organic carbon

Table 3. Physical properties of old alluvial soils

Location	Longitude (E°)	Latitude (N°)	Soil order	Depth (cm)	Colour	Bulk density (Mg m ⁻³)	Porosity (%)	WHC (%)	Mechanical analysis (%)			Texture classes (USDA)
									Sand	Silt	Clay	
Jamalpur	83.08624	25.1576	Entisols, Alfisols	0-5	5 Y8/2	1.52	42.51	45.5	39	41	20	Loam
-	-	-	-	5-15	2.5 Y8/2	1.56	41.13	45.1	34	48	18	Loam
-	-	-	-	15-30	2.5 Y8/2	1.64	37.93	44.3	21	57	22	Loam
-	-	-	-	30-60	2.5 Y8/2	1.53	42.35	43.2	27	53	20	Loam
-	-	-	-	60-100	2.5 Y8/2	1.70	35.74	42.7	17	55	28	Loam
Narayanpur	83.03731	25.1879	Entisols, Alfisols	0-5	5 Y8/1	1.61	39.43	41.9	40	39	21	Loam
-	-	-	-	5-15	5 Y8/1	1.59	40.08	41.2	36	42	22	Loam
-	-	-	-	15-30	5 Y8/2	1.66	37.39	41.0	42	41	17	Loam
-	-	-	-	30-60	5 Y8/2	1.67	36.93	40.7	44	37	19	Loam
-	-	-	-	60-100	5 Y8/2	1.74	34.32	40.2	42	42	16	Loam

Note: BD = Bulk density, WHC = Water holding capacity

Table 4. Chemical properties of old alluvial soil

Location	Longitude (E°)	Latitude (N°)	Soil order	Depth (cm)	pH	EC (dS m ⁻¹)	OC (%)	Carbon stocks (Mg ha ⁻¹)	Exchangeable base (cmol (p+)kg ⁻¹)		Macronutrients (Kg ha ⁻¹)			Micronutrients (Mg ha ⁻¹)			
									Ca	Mg	N	P	K	Zn	Cu	Fe	Mn
Jamalpur	83.08624	25.1576	Entisols, Alfisols	0-5	7.60	0.44	0.33	2.50	5.25	3.10	154	11	276	3	2	12	7
-	-	-	-	5-15	7.80	0.18	0.30	5.24	6.25	3.45	151	10	299	2	2	45	40
-	-	-	-	15-30	8.00	0.20	0.23	6.16	7.50	3.20	100	9	253	1	7	13	15
-	-	-	-	30-60	7.90	0.17	0.22	11.77	6.70	3.45	69	8	219	2	1	2	15
-	-	-	-	60-100	8.20	0.13	0.10	7.63	6.90	3.00	34	7	173	1	1	23	2
Narayanpur	83.03731	25.1879	Entisols, Alfisols	0-5	7.40	0.43	0.48	3.55	2.75	4.50	216	8	184	2	4	63	17
-	-	-	-	5-15	6.20	0.43	0.44	7.66	3.75	5.50	220	8	207	1	3	38	12
-	-	-	-	15-30	8.20	0.04	0.39	9.87	4.30	5.95	166	7	173	1	3	26	18
-	-	-	-	30-60	8.60	0.07	0.26	13.58	5.00	3.90	113	7	138	2	1	8	5
-	-	-	-	60-100	8.40	0.15	0.10	8.04	4.75	6.85	69	7	127	1	1	14	6

Note: EC = Electrical conductivity, OC = Organic carbon

varied between 1.49 and 1.94 Mg m⁻³ and is considered to be quite compact. Higher bulk densities are observed at lower soil depths.

On the other hand, Porosity is generally lower in coarse-textured soil than in fine-textured soil. The porosity of profile soil samples of Mirzapur varied from 26.7 to 43.7 (average

34.9%) and the corresponding value for Chunar and Chhanbey varied from 30.5 to 56.7% (mean 42.06%) and 30.4 – 43.6% (mean 34.7%) respectively. Thus the overall porosity of young alluvial soils varied from 26.7 – 56.6% (mean 38.4) which is representative of normal soils. The porosity was always higher near the surface soil layers and decreased with increased soil depth.






Fig. 1. District map of Mirzapur showing soil type and sampling



Soil site description

- a) Village: Mirzapur
Latitude: 25.01344 N⁰
Longitude: 82.55239 E⁰
- b) Physiographic: Plain lands
- c) Slope: 0-1% (Nearly level)
- d) Soil- Young alluvial
- e) Land use: Rice, wheat, green gram
- f) Erosion: Nil
- g) Drainage: Poorly drained
- h) Ground water depth: 145ft


Fig. 2. Typical soil profile of Mirzapur



Soil site description

- a) Village: Chunar
Latitude 25.11055 N⁰
Longitude 82.86041 E⁰
- b) Physiographic: Plain lands
- c) Slope: Degree: 0-1%
- d) Soil-young alluvial
- e) Land use: Bajra- wheat, Gram.
- f) Erosion: Nil
- g) Drainage: Poorly drained
- h) Ground water depth: 100 ft.


Fig. 3. Typical soil profile of Chunar



Soil site description

- a) Village: chhanbey
Latitude 25.93782 N
Longitude 82.17392 E
- b) Physiographic: Plain lands
- c) Slope: Degree: 0-1%
- d) Soil- Young alluvial soil
- e) Land use: Maize, Potato,
- f) Erosion: Nil
- g) Drainage: poorly drained
- h) Ground water depth: 170 ft.


Fig. 4. Typical soil profile of Chhanbey



Soil site description

- a) Village: Jamalpur
Latitude 25.1576 N⁰
Longitude 83.08624E⁰
- b) Physiographic: Plain lands
- c) Slope: Degree: 0-1%
- d) Soil- Old alluvial
- e) Land use: Maize, potato, rice wheat.
- f) Erosion: Nil
- g) Drainage: Poorly drained
- h) Ground water depth: 70ft.


Fig. 5. Typical soil profile of Jamalpur




Soil site description

- a) Village: Narayanpur
Latitude 25.1879 N⁰
Longitude 83.3731E⁰
- b) Physiographic: Plain lands
- c) Slope: Degree: 0-1%
- d) Soil- old alluvial
- e) Land use: Rice, wheat, gram.
- f) Erosion: Nil
- g) Drainage: poorly drained
- h) Ground water depth: Below 50 ft.


Fig. 6. Typical soil profile of Narayanpur




A) Correlation colour matrix of different location at 0-5 cm depth




B) Correlation colour matrix of different location at 5-15 cm depth



C) Correlation colour matrix of different location at 15-30 cm depth



D) Correlation colour matrix of different location at 30-60 cm depth



E) Correlation colour matrix of different location at 60-100 cm depth

Fig. 7. Correlation colour matrix of different soil parameter in different depth at different location. The red colour corresponds to (-) negative interaction and blue color correspond to (+) positive interaction and white correspond to neutral interaction of different soil parameter

- A) Correlation colour matrix of different location at 0-5 cm depth
- B) Correlation colour matrix of different location at 5-15 cm depth
- C) Correlation colour matrix of different location at 15-30 cm depth
- D) Correlation colour matrix of different location at 30-60 cm depth
- E) Correlation colour matrix of different location at 60-100 cm depth

Note: BD = Bulk density, WHC = Water holding capacity, EC = Electrical conductivity, OC = Organic carbon, Ca = Calcium, Mg = Magnesium, N = Nitrogen, P = Phosphorus, K = Potassium, Zn = Zinc, Cu = Cupper, Fe = Iron Mn = Manganese

The water holding capacity did not vary much among profiles of young alluvial soils. The overall variation in the young alluvial soil profiles of Mirzapur district ranged from 40.5 – 44.4 % (average 42.70%).

It was found that the content of clay varied from 29-43% in Mirzapur profile, 27-45% in profile soils of Chunar and 32-42% in profile soil of Chhanbey with an overall range between 27-45% in young alluvial soils. The silt content varies from 29-45% in Mirzapur, 30-43 % in Chunar and 20-48% in Chhanbey; the overall silt content varying between 29 and 48%. The overall sand content varied from 12-42 % in these soils. The

textural class varied between loam to clay loam in Mirzapur, clay to clay loam in Chunar and silty clay loam to clay loam in Chhanbey.

3.2 Physical Properties of Old Alluvial Soils

The physical properties of old alluvial soil have been presented in (Table 3 and 4) and a profile photograph of the two profiles presented in Fig. 4 and 5. The old alluvial soils were located in the northeastern part of the district of Mirzapur. Soil profile from two locations namely Jamalpur and Narayanpur were studied to represent old alluvial soil.

The Jamalpur soil profile (Fig. 4) varied in colour between 5Y8/2 and 2.5Y 8/2, Yellow colour indicates the presences of ferric iron oxide. The surface soil at Jamalpur was different in colour from the rest of the profile, which had a lighter colour. In Narayanpur, the soil in the plough layer (0-15 cm) was uniform in colour (5Y8/1) and the soils at lower depth (15-60 cm) were a shade darker in chroma than the surface soils. The profile photograph of Narayanpur has been depicted in Fig. 6 which clearly show accumulation of organic matter in soil profile.

The bulk density of Jamalpur profile varied between 1.52 and 1.70 Mg m⁻³ and is considered to be quite compact. Higher bulk densities were observed at lower soil depths. Thus the overall porosity of old alluvial soil varied from 34.32 – 42.51 % (mean 37.63) which is representative of normal soils. The water holding capacity did not vary much among profiles of old alluvial soils and varied from 42.70 to 45.50 % (mean 44.16 %).

It was found that the content of clay varied from 17 to 27 % in Jamalpur profile and 16 to 22 % in profile soils of Narayanpur with an overall range between 16-27 % in old alluvial soils. The silt content varied from 40 to 56% in Jamalpur and 36 to 42 % in Narayanpur; the overall silt content varied between 36 to 56 %. The overall sand content varied from 17 to 43 % in the old alluvial soil profiles. The texture was loam in both Jamalpur and Narayanpur.

3.3 Physico-chemical Properties of Young Alluvial Soils

Three soil profiles were studied to represent young alluvial soils of Mirzapur district, namely Mirzapur, Chunar and Chhanbey (Tables 1 and 2) The overall trend in pH of young alluvial soils showed slightly acidic (pH 6.4) to alkaline (pH 9.1) soil reaction. The overall EC in the young alluvial soils of Mirzapur ranged from 0-12 to 0.55 dS m⁻¹, which is non-saline. Hence there is no warning on the growth of all types of crops in these soils.

The organic carbon status of the surface soil layer (0-5cm) was also medium in the category and decreased to the low category with the increase in soil depth. Thus, the young alluvial soils are sufficient in the surface soil layer, but are deficient in the lower soil depths. The decrease in organic carbon with depth has previously been reported by different workers. Bhatnagar et al. (2003) reported higher amounts

of organic carbon in surface than in subsurface soils as a result of its recycling, over the years by plants and subsequent organic matter accumulation. The exchangeable Ca content in Mirzapur profile varied between 3.25 and 4.8 Cmol (+) kg⁻¹, whereas, the Mg content varied between 3.45 to 7.3 Cmol (+) kg⁻¹. There was enough calcium and magnesium content in the soil to support plant growth.

3.4 Physico-chemical Properties of Old Alluvial Soils

Two soil profiles were studied to represent old alluvial soils of Mirzapur district, namely Jamalpur, and Narayanpur (Table 3 and 4). The overall trend in pH of old alluvial soils was that they were very slightly acidic (pH 6.2) to alkaline (pH 8.6) in reaction. The overall EC in the old alluvial soils of Mirzapur ranged from 0.04 to 0.44 dSm⁻¹, which is non-saline. Hence there is no warning on the growth of all types of crops in these soils. The profile samples of Jamalpur had low soil organic carbon content. The values were 0.33 % in the surface soils and decreased to 0.1% at 60-100 cm depth. Exchangeable calcium and magnesium were extracted using neutral normal ammonium acetate and measured by EDTA titration. The exchangeable Ca content in Jamalpur profile varied between 5.25 to 7.50 C mol (+) kg⁻¹, whereas, the Mg content varied between 3.00 to 3.45 C mol (+) kg⁻¹. There was enough calcium and magnesium content in the soil to support plant growth. The exchangeable Ca content in Narayanpur varied between 2.75 to 5.00 C mol (+) kg⁻¹, whereas the magnesium content varied between 3.90 to 6.85 C mol (+) kg⁻¹.

3.5 Available Nutrient Status of Young Alluvial Soils

The available N content in Mirzapur profile (Tables 1 and 2) varied from 147-235 kg ha⁻¹ with the mean value of 204 kg ha⁻¹, which can be categorized as low (<280 kg ha⁻¹). The highest available N content was observed at 0-5cm (235kg ha⁻¹) and lowest at 60-100cm (147 kg ha⁻¹) depth. The available N content in the soil profile of Chunar was similar to Mirzapur and varied between 201 and 267 kg ha⁻¹, with a mean value of 236 kg ha⁻¹, which is categorized in the low category of available N. The available N content in Chhanbey varied between 78 and 191 kg ha⁻¹ (mean 144 kg ha⁻¹), which is even lower. Thus, the new alluvial soils have available N in the range of 78-267 kg ha⁻¹ and all soils had

low available N status. The available P content in soil profiles of Mirzapur varied from 8-16 kg ha⁻¹ with the mean value of 11 kg ha⁻¹, which falls under the low to medium category. Medium category of available P was noticed at 0-5 cm depth (16 kg ha⁻¹) and the lowest value at 60-100cm (8 kg ha⁻¹) depth. Available potassium content in soils of Mirzapur profile varied between 138-219 kg ha⁻¹ with mean value 186 kg ha⁻¹. The highest available K was noticed at 0-5 cm (219 kg ha⁻¹) and the lowest value at 60-100 cm (138 kg ha⁻¹) depth of soil profile. Among the cationic micronutrients, Zn content varied between 0-2 ppm in the Mirzapur soil profile, 2-9 ppm in the Chunar soil profile and 1-2 ppm in the Chhanbey soil profile. The copper content in soil profile varied between 0-11 ppm in Mirzapur, 2-9 ppm in Chunar and 0-3 ppm in Chhanbey. Available iron content varied between 12-29 ppm in Mirzapur soil profile, 2-11 ppm in the Chunar soil profile and 13-70 ppm in the Chhanbey soil profile. Soils are generally categorized as having sufficient iron if the DTPA extractable Fe content is > 4.5 ppm. Available Mn content in soil profiles varied between 3-27 ppm in Mirzapur, 9-25 ppm in Chunar and 3-26 ppm in Chhanbey.

3.6 Available Nutrient Status of Old Alluvial Soils

The available N content in soil profiles of Jamalpur (Table 4) varied from 34 to 154 kg ha⁻¹ with a mean value of 102 kg ha⁻¹, which can be categorized as low (<280 kg ha⁻¹). The highest available N content was observed at 0-5cm (151kg ha⁻¹) and lowest at 60-100cm (34kg ha⁻¹) depth. The available N content in the Narayanpur soil profile was similar to Jamalpur and varied between 69 to 220 kg ha⁻¹, with a mean value of 157 kg ha⁻¹, which was also low in available N. The available P content in soil profiles of Jamalpur varied from 7-11 kg ha⁻¹ with the mean value of 9 kg ha⁻¹, which falls under the low category. Medium category of available P was noticed at 0-5 cm depth (11 kg ha⁻¹) and the lowest value at 60-100cm (7 kg ha⁻¹) depth. Available potassium content in Jamalpur soil profile varied between 173 -299 kg ha⁻¹ with mean value 244 kg ha⁻¹. The highest value was noticed at 5-15 cm (299 kg ha⁻¹) and the lowest value at 60-100 cm (173 kg ha⁻¹) depth of soil profile. Among the cationic micronutrients, Zn content varied between 1-3 ppm in the Mirzapur soil profile. Copper content varied between 1-7 ppm in Jamalpur, 1-4 ppm in Narayanpur. The available Cu content is categorized as sufficient if it is > 0.2 ppm. Available iron content varied

between 2-40 ppm in Jamalpur soil profile and 8-63 ppm in the Narayanpur soil profile. Available Mn content varied between 2-40 ppm in Jamalpur, 5-18 ppm in Narayanpur. Soils are generally categorized as having enough manganese if the DTPA extractable Mn content is > 0.2 ppm. Hence all soils of Jamalpur and Narayanpur had sufficient available soil manganese [47].

3.7 Correlation by Colour Matrix

Correlation by colour matrix is presented in Fig. 7. It consists of the correlation of various soil properties variable at various depth (0-100cm) at a different location. The soil profile depths are ranging from 0-5, 5-15, 15-30, 30-60 and 60-100 cm in a different location. The red colour corresponds to (-) negative interaction and blue colour correspond to (+) positive interaction and white correspond to neutral interaction of different soil parameter. In first (A) colour matrix represents the correlation of 0-5 cm depth at a different location. The clay having a positive correlation with porosity as well as water holding capacity (WHC) also showing a positive correlation. In the case of nutrient whereas, the nitrogen having a positive correlation with organic carbon as well as with pH. Most of the nutrients like N, P, Zn and Cu showing a positive correlation with pH. In Second (B) colour matrix represents the correlation of 5-15 cm depth at a different location. Carbon stocks showing the strong positive correlation with bulk density (B.D.), Clay and Organic carbon (O.C.). The nutrients like N, P and Zn showing a negative correlation with physical properties of soil. In Third (C) colour matrix represents the correlation of 15-30 cm depth at a different location. In this correlation the most of nutrient have a positive correlation with physical properties of soil. Cu, Zn and Mn show strong positive correlation with physico-chemical properties. Major nutrient does not imply any positive or negative relation. In Fourth (D) colour matrix represents the correlation of 30-60 cm depth at a different location. It does not indicate any positive relationships in the broader way at some point its showing negative relation but a few points showing the positive relation like N and Mn having positive relation with chemical properties (pH Soil reaction and Organic carbon). In Fifth (E) colour matrix represents the correlation of 60-100 cm depth at a different location. It clearly shows that few parameters having a positive relation like N and Zn having a positive relationship with physico-chemical properties (Clay, pH, EC and OC).

Besides the others nutrients these having a negative correlation with the physical properties of soil.

4. CONCLUSION

The Overall Alluvial soils of Mirzapur were mostly soil was dominantly yellow in colour and had high bulk densities, low to medium water holding capacity and porosity. The pH of the soil was acidic to neutral. Whereas, most other soils it was neutral to alkaline. Electrical conductivity was low in all soils and organic carbon and carbon stocks were very poor in most soils. The soils did not have any problem associated with calcium and magnesium nutrition. The available N status was poor and available P status in the range of low to medium and potassium status in the medium range in most soils. All the cationic micronutrients were sufficiently supplied in the soil. Hence specific management options must be exerted for agriculture to be profitable in these soils.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Adamo P, Denaix L, Terribile F, Zampella M. Characterization of heavy metals in contaminated volcanic soils of the Solofrana river valley (southern Italy). *Geoderma*. 2003;117(3-4):347-66. Available : [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061\(03\)00133-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(03)00133-2).
2. Akri M, Chafik T, Granger P, Ayrault P, Batiot-Dupeyrat C. Novel nickel promoted illite clay based catalyst for autothermal dry reforming of methane. *Fuel*. 2016;178: 139-47. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/4546350>.
3. Anlauf R, Reichel A. Effect of aging on the physical properties of landfill cover layers. *Eurasian J Soil Sci*. 2014;3(3):212-9. DOI: 10.18393/ejss.30326.
4. Bown TM, Kraus MJ. Lower Eocene alluvial paleosols (Willwood Formation, northwest Wyoming, USA) and their significance for paleoecology, paleoclimatology, and basin analysis. *Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol*. 1981;34:1-30. Available:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182\(81\)90056-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182(81)90056-0)
5. Braudeau E, Frangi JP, Mohtar RH. Characterizing nonrigid aggregated soil water medium using its shrinkage curve. *Soil Sci Soc Am J*. 2004;68(2):359-70. Available:<https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2004.3590>
6. Chekol W, Mnalku A. Selected physical and chemical characteristics of soils of the middle awash irrigated farm lands, Ethiopia. *Ethiop J Agric Sci*. 2012;22(1): 127-42.
7. Daugherty LA, Arnold RW. Mineralogy and iron characterization of PlinthiticSoils on alluvial landforms in Venezuela 1. *Soil Sci Soc Am J*. 1982;46(6):1244-52.
8. Fasina AS, Raji A, Oluwatosin GA, Omoju OJ, Oluwadare DA. Properties,genesis, classification, capability and sustainable management of soils from South-Western Nigeria. *Int J Soil Sci*. 2015;10(3): 142-52. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3923/ijss.2015.142.152>
9. Feakes CR, Retallack GJ. Recognition and chemical characterization of fossil soils developed on alluvium: a Late Ordovician example. Paleosols and weathering through geologic time: principles and applications. *Geol Soc Am Spec Pap*. 1988;216:35-48. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1130/SPE216-p35>
10. Manchanda ML, Kudrat M, Tiwari AK. Soil survey and mapping using remote sensing. *Trop Ecol*. 2002;43(1):61-74.
11. Fitzsimmons KE, Magee JW, Amos KJ. Characterisation of aeolian sediment from the Strzelecki and Tirari Deserts, Australia: implications for reconstructing palaeoenvironmental conditions. *Sediment Geol*. 2009;218(1-4):61-73. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2009.04.004>
12. Gaonkar OD, Nambi IM, Govindarajan SK. Soil organic amendments: impacts on sorption of organophosphate pesticides on an alluvial soil. *J Soils Sediments*. 2019;19(2):566-78. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-018-2080-6>
13. Available:<https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1982.03615995004600060025x>
14. Iqbal J, Thomasson JA, Jenkins JN, Owens PR, Whisler FD. Spatial variability analysis of soil physical properties of

- alluvial soils. *Soil Sci Soc Am J.* 2005;69(4):1338-50.
15. Jiraskova Y, Bursik J, Seidlerova J, Kutlakova KM, Safarik I, Safarikova M et al. Microstructural analysis and magnetic characterization of native and magnetically modified montmorillonite and vermiculite. *J Nanomater.* 2018;2018:1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/3738106>
 16. Kauffman S, Sombroek W, Mantel S. Soils of rainforests characterization and major constraints of dominant forest soils in the humid tropics. In: *Soils of tropical forest ecosystems.* Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 1998: 9-20. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03649-5_1
 17. Khangarot AS, Mehra RK. Characterization of alluvial soils of Udaipur Valley. *J Indian Soc Soil Sci.* 1977;25(3):247-52.
 18. Malique F, Ke P, Boettcher J, Dannenmann M, Butterbach-Bahl K. Plant and soil effects on denitrification potential in agricultural soils. *Plant Soil.* 2019;439(1-2):459-74. DOI: 10.1007/s11104-019-04038-5.
 19. Mojid MA, Hossain ABMZ, Wyseure GCL. Relation of reactive solute-transport parameters to basic soil properties. *Eurasian J Soil Sci.* 2018;7(4):326-36. DOI: 10.18393/ejss.454512.
 20. Mondal, SU. RAJIT, Das TK., Thomas P, Mishra AK, Bandyopadhyay KK, Aggarwal P, Chakraborty, D.E.B.A.S.H.I.S., 2019. Effect of conservation agriculture on soil hydro-physical properties, total and particulate organic carbon and root morphology in wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) under rice (*Oryza sativa*)-wheat system. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences.* 89(1):46-55.
 21. Nguyen TT, Marschner P. Sorption of water-extractable organic carbon in various clay subsoils: effects of soil properties. *Pedosphere.* 2016;26(1):55-61. doi: 10.1016/S1002-0160(15)60022-4.
 22. Obi JC, Udoh IB, Obi IC. Modelling soil properties from horizon depth functions and terrain attributes: an example with cation exchange capacity. *Eurasian J Soil Sci.* 2020;9(1):10-7. DOI: 10.18393/ejss.623325.
 23. Prospero JM, Ginoux P, Torres O, Nicholson SE, Gill TE. Environmental characterization of global sources of atmospheric soil dust identified with the Nimbus 7 Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) absorbing aerosol product. *Rev Geophys.* 2002;40(1):2-1. DOI: 10.1029/2000RG000095.
 24. Sebag D, Disnar JR, Guillet B, Di Giovanni C, Verrecchia EP, Durand A. Monitoring organic matter dynamics in soil profiles by 'Rock-Eval pyrolysis': bulk characterization and quantification of degradation. *Eur J Soil Sci.* 2006;57(3):344-55. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2389.2005.00745.x.
 25. Shah BA. Arsenic-contaminated groundwater in Holocene sediments from parts of middle Ganga plain. Uttar Pradesh, India: *Current Science(Bangalore).* 2010;98(10):1359-65.
 26. Singh IS, Agrawal HP. Characterization, genesis and classification of rice soils of eastern region of Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh. *Agropedology.* 2005;15(1):29-38.
 27. Singh RN, Singh RNP, Diwakar DPS. Characterization of old alluvial soils of Sone basin. *J Indian Soc Soil Sci.* 2000;48(2):352-7.
 28. Singh SK, Dey P, Singh S, Sharma PK, Singh YV, Latore AM et al. Emergence of boron and sulphur deficiency in soils of Chanda uli, Mirzapur, SantRavidas Nagar and Varanasi districts of Eastern Uttar Pradesh. *J Indian Soc Soil Sci.* 2015;63(2): 200-8. DOI: 10.5958/0974-0228.2015.00026.2.
 29. Sitanggang M, Rao YS, Ahmed N, Mahapatra SK. Characterization and classification of soils in watershed area of Shikohpur, Gurgaon district, Haryana. *J Indian Soc Soil Sci.* 2006;54(1):106-10.
 30. Spell RL, Johnson BG. Anthropogenic alluvial sediments in North Carolina Piedmont gullies indicate swift geomorphic response to 18th century land-use practices. *Phys Geogr.* 2019;40(6):521-37. DOI: 10.1080/02723646.2019.1574145.
 31. Sulieman MM, AlGarni AM. Soil organic carbon mapping and prediction based on depth intervals using kriging technique: A case of study in alluvial soil from Sudan. *Eurasian J Soil Sci.* 2019;8(1):44-53. DOI: 10.18393/ejss.492466.
 32. Tao W, Lee MH, Wu J, Kim NH, Lee SW. Isolation and characterization of a family VII esterase derived from alluvial soil metagenomic library. *J Microbiol.* 2011; 49(2):178-85. DOI: 10.1007/s12275-011-1102-5, PMID 21538236.
 33. Tsozué D, Basga SD, Nzeukou AN. Spatial variation of soil weathering processes in

- the tropical high reliefs of Cameroon (Central Africa). *Eurasian J Soil Sci.* 2020;9(2):92-104.
DOI: 10.18393/ejss.659830.
34. Tufa M, Melese A, Tena W. Effects of land use types on selected soil physical and chemical properties: the case of Kuyu District, Ethiopia. *Eurasian J Soil Sci.* 2019;8(2):94-109.
DOI: 10.18393/ejss.510744.
35. Valentin C. Surface crusting in two alluvial soils of northern Niger. *Geoderma.* 1991;48(3-4):201-22.
DOI: 10.1016/0016-7061(91)90045-U.
36. Wadia BH, Daga AK. Parameters for assessment of electronic opportunities. *IETE J Res.* 1977;23(4):184-9.
DOI: 10.1080/03772063.1977.11451310.
37. Wakabayashi S. Soil dressing with alluvial soil materials: 'Dorotsuke'. *International Perspectives in Geography.* 2019; 147-53.
DOI: 10.1007/978-981-13-1753-8_10.
38. Walker PH, Coventry RJ. Soil profile development in some alluvial deposits of eastern New South Wales. *Soil Res.* 1976;14(3):305-17.
DOI: 10.1071/SR9760305.
39. Yehia HA, Fayed RI, Rateb KA. Integration of GIS and modeling to study soil characterization, evaluation and sensitivity to degradation of some alluvial deposits, Egypt. *Alexandria science exchange [journal].* 2013;34(4):460-75.
DOI: 10.21608/ASEJAIQJSAE.2013.3109.
40. Yitbarek T, Jembere A, Kerebeh H. Characterization and classification of soils of Wolkite University research sites, Ethiopia. *Eurasian J Soil Sci.* 2018;7(4): 292-9. DOI: 10.18393/ejss.436186.
41. Blake GR. Particle density. *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 1 Physical and Mineralogical Properties, Including Statistics of Measurement and Sampling.* 1965 Jan 1;9:371-3.
42. Bouyoucos GJ. The hydrometer as a new method for the mechanical analysis of soils. *Soil science.* 1927 May 1;23(5):343-54.
43. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil science.* 1934 Jan 1;37(1):29-38.
44. Olsen SR, Watanabe FS, Cosper HR, Larson WE, Nelson LB. Residual phosphorus availability in long-time rotations on calcareous soils. *Soil science.* 1954 Aug 1;78(2):141-52.
45. Hanway JJ, Heidel H. Soil analysis methods as used in Iowa state college soil testing laboratory, bulletin 57. Iowa State College of Agriculture, Iowa, USA. 1952:131.
46. Richards LA. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils. *LWW;* 1954 Aug 1.
47. Francis R, Wuddivira MN, Darsan J, Wilson M. Soil slaking sensitivity as influenced by soil properties in alluvial and residual humid tropical soils. *J Soils Sediments.* 2019;19(4):1937-47.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-018-2189-7>.

© 2023 Gautam et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89732>