

Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science

36(6): 63-74, 2021; Article no.JAMCS.69115 *ISSN: 2456-9968* (Past name: British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science, Past ISSN: 2231-0851)

On the Analysis of Damped Gyroscopic Systems Using Lyapunov Direct Method

Ubong D. Akpan^{1*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Akwa Ibom State University, Mkpat Enin, Nigeria.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMCS/2021/v36i630372 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Francisco Welington de Sousa Lima, Universidade Federal do Piauí, Brazil. (1) S. Padmanabhan, Rns Institute of Technology, India. (2) Roniere Leite Soares, Federal University of Campina Grande, Brazil. Complete Peer review History: <u>https://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/69115</u>

Original Research Article

Received 23 March 2021 Accepted 29 May 2021 Published 30 July 2021

Abstract

In this work, the stability properties of damped gyroscopic systems have been studied using Lyapunov direct method. These systems are generally stable because of the presence of gyroscopic effect. Conditions for determining the stability of the damped gyroscopic systems have been developed. Solution bounds of amplitude and velocity have been obtained for both homogeneous and inhomogeneous cases. An example is given to show how the stability conditions are applied to systems to determine its stability status.

Keywords: Asymptotic stability; damped gyroscopic systems; stability; solution bounds.

1 Introduction

A matrix system with mass, gyroscopic, stiffness matrices and excitation is said to be a gyroscopic system. Gyroscopic systems find wide usage in engineering applications. They can be major system component themselves or sub-components of larger or more complicated systems. Common examples include rotating shafts when treated in a rotating co-ordinate frame, pipes conveying fluid and elastic strips moving in an axial direction. When modeling their transverse vibrations, each of these simple systems is formulated as a gyroscopic

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: akpanubong2210@yahoo.com;

system. Such systems are widely known to exhibit interesting stability property whose analysis is non-trivial. Recently, studies have been made on the stability of gyroscopic systems [1-12].

The addition of damping matrix to a gyroscopic system gives a damped gyroscopic system. Applications abound in vibrating systems such as beams, building, bridges, highways, large space structures etc. If the gyroscopic force is not present, the system is called non-gyroscopic system. The relationship between stability, damping and gyroscopic forces is described by the Kelvin-Tait-Chetaev theorem. If a system is stable in the absence of gyroscopic and dissipative forces, their addition to the system will lead to asymptotic stability. Conversely, if an unstable equilibrium can be stabilized by the addition of pure gyroscopic forces, then, depending on whether or not it exhibits complete dissipation, the addition of damping may enhance or destroy this stability. Depending on the coefficients of a particular gyroscopic system, internal damping forces may give rise to complete dissipation.

The damped gyroscopic systems are generally stable systems. Due to this and their common usage in industrial applications, where there is a desire to increase mechanical efficiency and operational safety and to minimize noise and vibration, further study of the stability of this class of system would be beneficial. In this work, damped gyroscopic system is studied using Lyapunov direct method. Stability conditions for determining the stability or otherwise of the system are provided. Example is given to illustrate the efficacy of the result.

2 Preliminaries

Consider the homogeneous linear damped gyroscopic system

$$M\ddot{x} + (D+G)\dot{x} + Kx = 0 \tag{1}$$

where M, D and K which are Hermitian and positive definite are the mass matrix, the damping matrix and the stiffness matrix respectively. The matrix G of the gyroscopic forces is skew-Hermitian.

The solution of (1) is assumed to be of the form

$$x = q e^{\lambda t} \tag{2}$$

(where q is an arbitrary constant and λ is an eigenvalue).

Substituting (2) in (1) we have the following

$$e^{\lambda t} \left(\lambda^2 M + \lambda (D+G) + K \right) q = 0$$
(3)
where $e^{\lambda t} \neq 0$ and $q \neq 0$.

The eigenvalues of (3) can be used to investigate the stability of system (1).

The eigenvalues λ are the solutions of the characteristics polynomial

det $(\lambda^2 M + \lambda (D + G) + K)$ of degree 2n.

Routh-Hurwitz Criterion

For the roots of the polynomial

$$a_1\lambda^n + a_2\lambda^{n-1} + a_3\lambda^{n-2} + \dots + a_n = 0$$

to have negative real part it is necessary that

$$\frac{a_2}{a_1} > 0, \ \frac{a_3}{a_1} > 0... \frac{a_n}{a_1} > 0$$

Using the above criterion shows that the system is stable and if all the eigenvalues have negative real parts, then, the system is said to be asymptotically stable. The stability of system (1) can also be investigated using Thomson-Tait-Cetaev theorem [13]. Here we discuss the stability of the system by the direct method of Lyapunov.

The system (1) is equivalent to the system

$$\dot{x}_{1} = x_{2}$$

$$\dot{x}_{2} = -M^{-1}(D+G)x_{2} - M^{-1}Kx_{1}$$
(4)

The equivalent form of a first order system of (3) is given as

$$\dot{z} = Az \tag{5}$$

where

$$\dot{z} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad z = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \dot{x}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} O & I \\ -M^{-1}K & -M^{-1}(D+G) \end{bmatrix}$$

where I is the identity matrix and O is the zero matrix.

Let V(z(t)) be a Lyapunov function for system (5). If V > 0 and the time derivative $\dot{V} \le 0$ for all solutions z(t) of (5), the existence of such a Lyapunov function implies stability of the system (asymptotic stability if $\dot{V} < 0$). Since Lyapunov functions are considered generalized energy expressions, it is proper to consider V as a quadratic form in the co-ordinates and in the velocities.

$$V = z(t) * Pz(t)$$

with a Hermitian matrix P > 0. For the solutions of (5) we then have

$$V = z(t)*(A*P+PA) \ z(t)$$
, such that condition $\dot{V} \le 0$ is expressed by the matrix $Q = Q^* \ge 0$ of the

Lyapunov matrix equation.

$$A * P + PA = -Q$$

The stability or asymptotic stability is assured if there exists Hermitian matrices P>0 and Q>0 which satisfy the Lyapunov matrix equation.

2.1 Derivation of P and Q

We now derive suitable positive Hermitian matrices P and Q satisfying the Lyapunov equation. This is obtained from the Lyapunov function. To obtain the Lyapunov function, we start with the energy equation. Multiplying (1) from left with $\dot{x}^*(t)$ we have the following

$$\dot{x}^* M \ddot{x} + \dot{x}^* (D+G) \dot{x} + \dot{x}^* K x = 0 \tag{6}$$

Adding the complex transpose of (6) to (6) we have

$$2\dot{x}^* M \ddot{x} + 2\dot{x}^* D \dot{x} + 2 \dot{x}^* K x = 0 \tag{7}$$

Integrating (7) we have

$$2\dot{x}^*M\dot{x} + x^*Kx + \int_0^t \dot{x}^*D\dot{x}dt = 2\epsilon_0$$
(8)

Where $2\epsilon_0$ is the integration constant.

Similarly, multiplying system (1) from left by x^* we have

$$x^*M\ddot{x} + x^*(D+G)\dot{x} + x^*Kx = 0$$
(9)

Adding the conjugate transpose of (9) to (9) we have

$$x^*M\ddot{x} + \dot{x}^*M\dot{x} + 2\ x^*D\dot{x} + 2\ x^*K\dot{x} + (x^*G\dot{x} - \dot{x}^*Gx) = 0$$
(10)

Integrating (10) we have

$$\dot{x}^*Mx + x^*M\dot{x} + x^*Dx + \int_0^t (2x^*Kx - 2\dot{x}^*M\dot{x} + (x^*G\dot{x} - \dot{x}^*Gx))dt = c$$
(11)

where c is an integration constant.

In order to obtain the Lyapunov function, we introduce a proper positive constant γ . Multiplying (11) by $\frac{\gamma}{2}$ we have

$$\frac{\gamma}{2}(\dot{x}^*Mx + x^*M\dot{x} + x^*Dx) + \frac{\gamma}{2}\int_0^t (2x^*Kx - 2\dot{x}^*M\dot{x} + (x^*G\dot{x} - \dot{x}^*Gx))dt = \frac{\gamma}{2}c$$
(12)

Adding (8) and (12) we have the following

$$x^{*}(K + \frac{\gamma}{2}D)x + \dot{x}^{*}M\dot{x} + \dot{x}^{*}\frac{\gamma}{2}Mx + x^{*}\frac{\gamma}{2}M\dot{x}$$

= $2\epsilon_{0} + \frac{\gamma}{2}c - \int_{0}^{t} (x^{*}\gamma Kx + x^{*}\frac{\gamma}{2}G\dot{x} + \dot{x}^{*}(-\frac{\gamma}{2}G)x + \dot{x}^{*}(2D-\gamma M)\dot{x})dt$ (13)

Putting (13) in the quadratic form $V = z^* P z$

where
$$z^* = \begin{bmatrix} x^* \\ \dot{x}^* \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $z = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \dot{x} \end{bmatrix}$

we have

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} x^* P_{11} x & x^* P_{12} \dot{x} \\ \dot{x}^* P_{21} x & \dot{x}^* P_{22} \dot{x} \end{bmatrix}$$

But eqn(13) is in the form

$$V = P + \int_0^t Q(s)ds$$

where using (13) we have

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} K + \frac{\gamma}{2}D & \frac{\gamma}{2}M \\ \frac{\gamma}{2}M & M \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma K & \frac{\gamma}{2}G \\ \frac{\gamma}{2}G^* & 2D - \gamma M \end{bmatrix}$$

2.2 Verification

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} O & I \\ -M^{-1}K & -M^{-1}(D+G) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} O & -M^{-1}K \\ I & -M^{-1}(D-G) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{*}P = \begin{bmatrix} O & -M^{-1}K \\ I & -M^{-1}(D-G) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K + \frac{\gamma}{2}D & \frac{\gamma}{2}M \\ \frac{\gamma}{2}M & M \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\gamma}{2}K & -K \\ K + \frac{\gamma}{2}G & \frac{\gamma}{2}M - D + G \end{bmatrix}$$

$$PA = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\gamma}{2}K & K - \frac{\gamma}{2}G \\ \frac{\gamma}{2}M - (D+G) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{*}P + PA = -\begin{bmatrix} \gamma K & \frac{\gamma}{2}G \\ \frac{\gamma}{2}G^{*} & 2D - \gamma M \end{bmatrix} = -Q$$

3 Stability Analysis

The stability or asymptotic stability of (1) is ensured. We now give the conditions for the existence of a positive definite P and Q that will satisfy the Lyapunov matrix equation ensuring the stability of the damped gyroscopic system.

Schur's Lemma

A matrix $R = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & R_2 \\ R_2^* & R_3 \end{bmatrix}$ with Hermitian submatrices R_1 and R_3 is positive definite if and only if R_1 and R_2 and R_3 is positive definite if and only if R_1 and R_2 and R_3 is positive definite if and only if R_1 and R_2 and R_3 is positive definite if and only if R_1 and R_2 and R_3 is positive definite if and only if R_1 and R_2 and R_3 are specific to the set of R_1 and R_3 are specific to the set of R_1 and R_3 are specific to the set of R_1 and R_3 are specific to the set of R_2 and R_3 are specific to the set of R_1 and R_2 are specific to the set of R_1 and R_2 are specific to the set of R_1 and R_2 are specific to the set of R_1 and R_2 are specific to the set of R_1 and R_2 are specific to the set of R_1 and R_2 are specific to the set of R_1 and R_2 are specific to the set of R_1 and R_2 are specific to the set of R_1 and R_2 are specific to the set of R_1 and R_2 are specific to the set of R_1 and R_2 are specific to

 $R_3 - R_2^* R_1^{-1} R_2$ are positive definite.

The Schur's lemma gives the conditions for the positive definiteness of P and Q.

Applying the lemma to Q, we have that Q>0 if and only if there exist γ >0 such that

$$2D - \gamma M - \frac{\gamma}{2} G^* (\gamma K)^{-1} \frac{\gamma}{2} G > 0$$

- \gamma^2 (M + $\frac{1}{4} G^* K^{-1} G) + \gamma 2D > 0$ (14)

Consider $z \in C^n$ and taking $z^*z = 1$, then, (14) is equivalent to the inequality

$$-\gamma^2 z^* (\mathbf{M} + \frac{1}{4} G^* K^{-1} G) z + \gamma z^* 2 D z > 0$$
(15)

The coefficients of the quadratic polynomial in γ are Rayleigh quotients for Hermitian matrices.

These Rayleigh quotients are limited by the smallest eigenvalue λ_{min} and the largest eigenvalue λ_{max} of the respective matrices. Assume M, D and K^{-1} to be positive definite, then, the Rayleigh quotients for the matrices $M, \frac{1}{4}G^*K^{-1}G$ and 2D are also positive definite.

We now introduce the scalars a and b defined by

$$a = \lambda_{max} \left(M + \frac{1}{4} G^* K^{-1} G \right) > 0$$

$$b = \lambda_{min} 2D > 0$$
(16)

Let $\gamma > 0$, using (16) and (15) we have

$$-\gamma^2 a + \gamma b > 0$$

$$\gamma(b - a\gamma) > 0$$

Thus $\gamma > 0$ and $b - a\gamma > 0 \Rightarrow \gamma < \frac{b}{a}$

There are solutions $\gamma > 0$ if and only if

$$b > 0 and \frac{b^2}{4a} > 0$$

Stability Theorem

Let a and b be defined by (16). If b > 0 and $\frac{b^2}{4a} > 0$ then the system (5) is asymptotically stable.

The constant a and b need to be determined. Therefore, it is practical to make the following estimates of a and b

$$a \le \lambda_{max}(M) + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{max}(G^*K^{-1}G)$$

b \ge 2\lambda_{min}(D)

according to [14], we can further estimate as follows

$$\lambda_{max}(M) \le m_{max}$$

$$\lambda_{max}(G^*K^{-1}G) \le \frac{g_{max}^2}{K_{min}}$$

$$\lambda_{min}(D) \le d_{min}$$
(17)

where g_{max} and m_{max} are the maximum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of G and M respectively. And k_{min} and d_{min} are the smallest eigenvalues of K and D respectively. With the application of (16) and (17), the conditions for the existence of $\gamma > 0$ become

$$2d_{min} > 0$$
, and $\frac{4k_{min}d_{min}^2}{4m_{max}k_{min}+g_{max}^2} > 0$

We can now choose appropriate $\gamma > 0$ as

$$\gamma = \frac{b}{a}$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma = 8d_{min}k_{min}/(m_{max}k_{min} + g_{max}^2)$$
(18)

3.1 Solution bounds for the homogeneous case

The stability of the homogeneous system (1) can be established by Thomson-Tait-Cetaev theorem or Routh criterion. Therefore it is assumed to be stable. This is necessary since bounds are not obtained for unstable systems. The stability implies there exists a value $\gamma > 0$ and a Lyapunov function V for a given solution x(t). The Lyapunov function V is given as

$$V = z(t)^{*} P z(t)$$

= $x^{*}(t) \left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2} D - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4} M \right) x(t) + \left(\dot{x}(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2} x(t) \right)^{*} M \left(\dot{x}(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2} x(t) \right) \le V_{0}$ (19)

where V_0 is the initial energy given by the initial condition

$$V_0 = x^* \left(0\right) \left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2}D - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}M\right) x(0) + \left(\dot{x}(0) + \frac{\gamma}{2}x(0)\right)^* M\left(\dot{x}(0) + \frac{\gamma}{2}x(0)\right)$$

The energy equation can now be used to establish the solution bounds for the amplitude and velocity. The amplitude bound of x(t) is obtained by estimating the first term of V as follows

$$0 \le \lambda_{\min}\left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2}D - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}M\right)x^*(t)x(t) \le x^*\left(t\right)\left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2}D - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}M\right)x(t)$$
(20)

From (19) we have that

$$x^*(t)\left(K+\frac{\gamma}{2}D-\frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right)x(t) \le V_0$$
(21)

Therefore,

$$\lambda_{\min}\left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2}D - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}M\right)x^*(t)x(t) \le V_0$$
(22)

Introducing the 2-norm $x^{*}(t)x(t) = ||x(t)||^{2}$ and neglecting the second term of V we have that

$$\lambda_{\min} \left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2} D - \frac{\gamma^2}{4} M \right) \|x(t)\|^2 \le V_0$$

$$\|x(t)\| \le \sqrt{\frac{V_0}{\lambda_{\min} \left(K - \frac{\gamma}{2} D - \frac{\gamma^2}{4} M \right)}}$$
(23)

||x(t)|| in (23) is estimated in terms of γ . It is therefore necessary to estimate γ . We choose

 $\gamma = \frac{b}{a}$. This choice gives the tightest bound for this case. We now find the velocity $\dot{x}(t)$ in terms of ||x(t)||. To obtain $||\dot{x}||$ we estimate the second term of V as follows.

$$\left(\dot{x}(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2}x(t)\right)^{*} M\left(\dot{x}(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2}x(t)\right) \geq \lambda_{\min}\left(M\left(\dot{x}(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2}x(t)\right)^{*}\left(\dot{x}(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2}x(t)\right)$$
$$\geq \lambda_{\min}\left(M\right) \left\|x(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2}x(t)\right\|^{2} \geq \lambda_{\min}\left(M\left(\|\dot{x}(t)\| + \frac{\gamma}{2}x(t)\right)^{2}$$
$$\geq \lambda_{\min}\left(M\left(\|\dot{x}(t)\| - \frac{\gamma}{2}\|x(t)\|\right)^{2}$$
(24)

From (19) we have the following

$$\left(\dot{x}(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2}x(t)\right)^* M\left(\dot{x}(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2}x(t)\right) \le V_0$$

Therefore it implies from (24) that

$$\lambda_{\min} \left(M \left(\left\| \dot{x}(t) \right\| - \frac{\gamma}{2} \left\| x(t) \right\| \right)^2 \le V_0$$

$$\left\| \dot{x}(t) \right\| \le \frac{\gamma}{2} \left\| x(t) \right\| + \sqrt{\frac{V_0}{\lambda_{\min}} \left(M \right)}$$
(25)

Using the estimate of ||x(t)|| in (23), we have the following

$$\left\|\dot{x}(t)\right\| \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \sqrt{\frac{V_0}{\lambda_{\min}\left(K - \frac{\gamma}{2}D - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}M\right)}} + \sqrt{\frac{V_0}{\lambda_{\min}(M)}}$$
(26)

It is possible to obtain bounds for every individual co-ordinates. We note that for a given quadratic form $V = z(t)^* P z(t), P > 0$, then for a fixed value V the upper bound for the co-ordinate z_k is as follows

$$\left|z_{k}\right| \leq \sqrt{VP_{kk}^{-1}} \tag{27}$$

where P_{kk}^{-1} is the kth diagonal element of the inverse matrix P⁻¹. The individual amplitude bound corresponding to (23) is the following

$$\left|x_{k}\left(t\right)\right| \leq \sqrt{V_{0}\left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2}D - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}M\right)_{kk}^{-1}}$$

$$(28)$$

wh

where
$$\left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2}D - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}M\right)_{kk}^{-1}$$
 is the kth diagonal element of the inverse matrix $\left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2}D - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}M\right)^{-1}$

Similarly, the individual velocity bound corresponding to (25) is obtained as follows

$$\left|\dot{x}_{k}(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2} x_{k}(t)\right| \leq \sqrt{V_{0} M_{kk}^{-1}}$$
(29)

where M_{kk}^{-1} is the kth diagonal element of the inverse matrix M⁻¹.

It follows from (29) that

$$\left|\dot{x}_{k}(t)\right| + \frac{\gamma}{2} \left|x_{k}(t)\right| \leq \sqrt{V_{0}M_{kk}^{-1}}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \dot{x}_{k}(t) \right| &- \frac{\gamma}{2} \left| x_{k}(t) \right| \leq \left| \dot{x}_{k}(t) \right| + \frac{\gamma}{2} \left| x_{k}(t) \right| \leq \sqrt{V_{0} M_{kk}^{-1}} \\ \Rightarrow \qquad \left| \dot{x}_{k}(t) \right| - \frac{\gamma}{2} \left| x_{k}(t) \right| \leq \sqrt{V_{0} M_{kk}^{-1}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\dot{x}_{k}(t) \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \left| x_{k}(t) \right| + \sqrt{V_{0} M_{kk}^{-1}}$$
(30)

3.2 Solution bounds for the inhomogeneous case

The addition of excitation f(t) to the homogeneous system(1) gives the inhomogeneous damped gyroscopic system

$$M\ddot{x} + (D+G)\dot{x} + Kx = f(t) \tag{31}$$

The stability of the inhomogeneous system (31) follows from the stability of the homogeneous system(1). The response bounds for the solution x(t) of the in homogeneous system is therefore obtained in terms of the bounds of the homogeneous system. For a non-transient excitation f(t), the solution x(t) is made up of the general solution(homogeneous solution) and the particular solution. The solution of system (31) is given as

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x_{\Box}(t) + x_{part}(t) \\ \Rightarrow \quad x_h(t) &= x(t) - x_{part}(t) \end{aligned}$$

with the initial conditions for its corresponding state and velocity bounds as $x_h(0) = x(0) - x_{part}(0)$ and $\dot{x}_h(0) = \dot{x}(0) - \dot{x}_{part}(0)$. The initial energy $V_{0,\Box}$ for $x_{\Box}(t)$ is the following

$$V_{0,h} = x_h^* (0) \left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2} D - \frac{\gamma^2}{4} M \right) x_h(0) + \left(\dot{x}_h(0) + \frac{\gamma}{2} x_h(0) \right)^* M \left(\dot{x}_h(0) + \frac{\gamma}{2} x_h(0) \right)$$

Using (23) and (28) we have the following for the amplitude bounds of 2-norm and individual co-ordinate of the inhomogeneous system

$$\left\|x(t)\right\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{V_{0,h}}{\lambda_{\min}\left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2}D - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}M\right)}} + \left\|x_{part}(t)\right\|$$
(32)

and

$$|x_{k}(t)| \leq \sqrt{V_{0,h} \left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2} D - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4} M \right)_{kk}^{-1}} + |x_{part,k}(t)|$$
(33)

The velocity bounds for 2-norm and individual co-ordinate of the inhomogeneous system can similarly be obtained respectively in terms of the results of bounds of the homogeneous system as

$$\|\dot{x}(t)\| \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \|x(t)\| + \sqrt{\frac{V_{0,h}}{\lambda_{\min}(M)}} + \|\dot{x}_{part}(t)\|$$
(34)

and

$$|\dot{x}_{k}(t)| \leq \sqrt{V_{0,\Box} \left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2}D - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}M\right)_{kk}^{-1}} + |x_{part,k}(t)|$$
(35)

For a transient excitation f(t) we can find a solution to (31) with the initial conditions x(0) = 0 and $\dot{x}(0) = 0$ by calculating the convolution of the impulse response matrix $\phi(t)$ and f(t). The solution of (31) is as follows:

$$x(t) = \int_0^t \phi(t-\tau) f(\tau) d\tau$$

The impulse response matrix $\phi(t)$ satisfies

$$M\ddot{\phi} + (D+G)\dot{\phi} + K\phi = 0, \ \phi(0) = 0, \ M\dot{\phi}(0) = I$$

where *I* is the identity matrix.

We now assume that the excitation vector f(t) has the form

$$F(t) = u\psi(t)$$

where u is a constant vector and $\psi(t)$ is a scalar function subjected to

$$P = \int_0^\infty |\psi(t)| dt < \alpha$$

To obtain bounds of solution x(t) given by (26) we have to estimate the solution to the homogeneous equation $\varphi(t) = \phi(t)u$ which satisfies the initial conditions $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\dot{\varphi}(0) = \dot{\phi}(0)u = M^{-1}u$, and therefore $V_{0,h}u^*M^{-1}u$. This leads to the following estimate of the 2-norm of the solution x(t).

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{u^* M^{-1} u}{\lambda_{\min} \left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2} D - \frac{\gamma^2}{4} M\right)}} P$$
(36)

By using (22), we can also obtain an estimate for the co-ordinate $x_k(t)$ of the solution x(t).

$$\left|x_{k}(t)\right| \leq \sqrt{u^{*}M^{-1}u\left(K + \frac{\gamma}{2}D - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}M\right)_{kk}^{-1}P}$$
(37)

4 Application

Consider the damped gyroscopic system

$$M\ddot{x} + (D+G)\dot{x} + Kx = 0$$

where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 8 & -2 \\ 2 & -2 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ -3 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

To apply the stability theorem, we compute the constants in the theorem as follows

$$a = \lambda_{max} (M + G^* K^{-1} G) = 8.2$$

$$b = \lambda_{max} (2D) = 1$$

Since b = 1 > 0 and $\frac{b^2}{4a} = 0.031 > 0$, the system is stable according to the stability theorem.

5 Conclusion

The stability properties of damped gyroscopic systems have been studied. These systems are generally stable because of the presence of gyroscopic effect. Gyroscopic forces can stabilize unstable systems. The stability of MDK system is not always guaranteed since the gyroscopic effect is absent. Conditions for determining the stability of the damped gyroscopic systems have been developed. Solution bounds are obtained only for stable systems. Solution bounds of amplitude and velocity have been obtained for both homogeneous and inhomogeneous cases. An example is given to show how the stability conditions are applied to systems to determine its stability status.

Competing Interests

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Akpan, Ubong D, Oyesanya, Moses O. Stability analysis and response bounds of gyroscopic systems. Asian Research Journal of Mathematics. 2017;5(4):1-11.
- [2] Greenlee WM. Lyapunov stability of linear gyroscopic systems. Utilitas Matheamtica. 1975;8:225-231.
- [3] Connell GM. Asymptotic stability of second-order linear systems with semidefinite damping; 1969.
- [4] Kirillov ON. On the stability of nonconservative system with small dissipation. Journal of Mathematical Sciences. 2007;145:5260–5270.
- [5] Seiranyan AP, Kirillov ON. Effect of small dissipative and gyroscopic forces on the stability of nonconservative system. Doklady Physics. 2003;48(12):679-684.
- [6] Schichlen W, Hu B. Amplitude bounds of linear vibration responses. ZAMM (Z. Angew. Math. Mech). 1996;76:453–454.
- [7] Kliem W, Pommer C. Stability and response bounds of non-conservative linear systems. Archives of Applied Mechanics. 2004;73:627-637.
- [8] Schichlen W, Hu B. Amplitude bounds of linear free vibrations. ASME J. Appl. Mech. 1995;6:231–233.
- [9] Kliem W, Pommer C, Stoustrup J. Stability of rotor systems: A complex modeling approach. ZAMP (Z. Angew. Math. Phys). 1998;49:644-655.
- [10] Lancaster P. Stability of linear gyroscopic systems: A review. Linear Algebra and Its Applications. 2013;439(3):689-706.
- [11] Bulatovic RM. Condition for instability of conservative gyroscopic systems. Theoretical and Applied Mechanics. 2001;26:127-133.
- [12] Muller PC. Stabilitat and matrizen. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heideelberg, New York; 1977.
- [13] Chetaev NG. The stability of motion" New York: Pergamon Press; 1961.
- [14] Horn R, Johnson CA. Matrix analysis. Cambridge University Press; 1985.

© 2021 Akpan; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar) https://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/69115