

## Full Length Research Paper

## Efficacy of biocontrol agents in the management of head rot of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea var. capitata*) caused by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*

Kamesh Krishnamoorthy K.\*, Sankaralingam A. and Nakkeeran S.

Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641003, India.

Received 24 March, 2016; Accepted 26 April, 2016

Head rot of cabbage caused by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* leads to rotting of fully matured cabbage heads in the field. In the present study the antagonistic effects of twenty *Bacillus* isolates was tested against *S. sclerotiorum* *in vitro*. Eight effective *Bacillus* isolates obtained from studies *in vitro*, commercial formulations of *Trichoderma viride* isolate TV-1 and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolate Pf-1 along with a fungicide check (Nativo-Tebuconazole+Trifloxystrobin) were carried further for field studies. Results of field studies indicated that fungicide check of Nativo (1.5 g/L) was highly effective with least disease incidence of 10.36% indicating 74.50% reduction over control. Among the biocontrol agents commercial formulation of *Trichoderma viride* isolate TV-1 was the most effective showing disease incidence of 11.38% indicating 72.00% reduction over control followed by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* isolate B15 and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolate Pf-1 showing disease incidence of 13.24 and 13.31% indicating 67.41 and 67.24% reduction over control respectively and both treatments were on par. *B. licheniformis* isolate B16 was found to be least effective with 20.41 percent disease incidence indicating 49.76% reduction over control.

**Key words:** *Bacillus*, commercial formulation, fungicide check, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Trichoderma viride*, *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*.

### INTRODUCTION

Head rot of cabbage caused by the pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* leads to rotting of fully grown cabbage heads in the field and during post-harvest operations and storage (Hudyncia et al., 2000). The pathogen is geographically cosmopolitan and has a broad ecological distribution (Purdy, 1979). The broad host range of the pathogen includes high value crops like alfalfa, bean,

cabbage, canola, lettuce, peanut, soybean, sugarbeet, sunflower, tobacco and tomato (Grau, 1988; Farr et al., 1989).

The relatively unreliable control of *S. sclerotiorum* with traditional methods and concerns about pesticide residues has prompted interest in biological control as an alternative disease management strategy (Fernando et

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: kamukrishagri@gmail.com. Tel: - 9944705207.

al., 2007). Biological control of *S. sclerotiorum* has received considerable attention as an alternative disease management tactic to the use of fungicides due to its ability to provide safe and environmentally friendly disease control (Xiaoja et al., 2013).

Attributes of *Bacillus* spp. such as high thermal tolerance and ready formulation of endospores makes it an ideal agent for the development of commercial products. They adhere firmly to root surface especially when an inoculum of spore is used (Shoda, 2000). *Bacillus* spp. are known to survive well under field conditions due to the production of endospores (Boyetchko et al., 1999; Collins and Jacobsen, 2003). *Bacillus* spp. isolated from rhizosphere of common bean were tested for their antifungal activities against *S. sclerotiorum*. The maximum inhibition in radial growth caused by *Bacillus* spp. BPR7 was observed after seven days of incubation in dual culture and cell free culture filtrate (Pankaj et al., 2012). Fernando et al. (2013) reported that the metabolites produced by *B. subtilis* were antagonistic to the fungus with 17.7% reduction in mycelial growth which was constant even after two weeks suggesting high efficiency of the metabolites in control of *S. sclerotiorum*.

The aim of the present study was to determine efficacy of biocontrol agents under *in vitro* and field conditions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Screening of *Bacillus* isolates *in vitro*

Standard isolates of *Bacillus* spp. maintained as glycerol stocks were obtained from the Department of Plant Pathology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. The isolates were subcultured and maintained on Nutrient agar (NA) medium for further studies. The antagonistic effects of twenty *Bacillus* isolates were tested against *S. sclerotium* by dual culture technique. A 9-mm-dia mycelial disc of the pathogen was placed at one end of the Petri plate containing combination medium (Potato Dextrose Agar + Nutrient Agar) and the bacterial antagonist was streaked at the opposite end. Inoculation of the pathogen without antagonist served as control and each treatment was replicated three times. The plates were kept in an incubator at 20±2°C. When the fungus attained full growth in the control plate, growth of the pathogen and inhibition zone were measured and percent reduction in growth over control was calculated.

Percent inhibition over control was calculated using the formula:

$$\frac{C - T}{T} \times 100$$

Where C = growth of *S. sclerotiorum* in control; T = growth of *S. sclerotiorum* in treatment.

### Commercial formulations of *Trichoderma viride* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*

The commercial formulations of *Trichoderma viride* (TV-1) as talc formulation and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Pf-1) as liquid formulation were obtained from *Trichoderma* Lab and

*Pseudomonas* Lab respectively from Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies (CPPS), Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

### Field trial

Eight effective *Bacillus* isolates obtained from *in vitro* studies, commercial formulations of *Trichoderma viride* (TV-1) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Pf-1) along with a fungicide check (Nativo-Tebuconazole+Trifloxystrobin) were carried further for field studies.

The field trial was conducted between February and March 2014 in the Kothagiri area of Nilgiris district and was laid out in a randomised block design. First spraying was done 48 days after planting when the cabbage was at cupping stage and subsequent sprayings were done at 7 days interval till head fill stage of the cabbage.

There were nine treatments in all consisting of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* (B15), *B. licheniformis* (B 19), *B. cereus* (B31), *B. licheniformis* (BSD-1), *B. subtilis* (B14), *B. licheniformis* (B16), *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Pf-1) (commercial formulation), *Trichoderma viride* (TV1) (commercial formulation) each at a concentration of 10 mL/L and Fungicide check- Nativo (Tebuconazole+Trifloxystrobin) at a concentration of 1.5 g/L. The control had no bio control agent treatment at all.

The percent disease incidence was calculated using the following formula:

$$\frac{\text{Number of infected plants}}{\text{Total number of plants observed}} \times 100$$

Results were expressed in terms of per cent disease reduction over control which was calculated as follows:

$$R = \frac{100(C-T)}{C}$$

Where, R = Percent reduction over control; C = Percent disease incidence in control; T = Percent disease incidence in treatment.

### Data analysis method

Statistical analysis was performed using the IRRISTAT software version 92 developed by the International Rice Research Institute Biometrics unit, the Philippines (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). Before performing the statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA) the percentage values of the disease index were arcsine transformed. Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) at two significant levels ( $P < 0.05$  and  $P < 0.01$ ) and means were separated by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Twenty *Bacillus* isolates were tested *in vitro* against *S. sclerotiorum*. The treatment B15 (*B. amyloliquefaciens*) yielded the minimum mycelial growth of 53.0 mm and the highest growth reduction of 41.0% with an inhibition zone of 26.6 mm (Table 1). This was followed by B19 (*B. licheniformis*) which recorded 54.3 mm growth with 39.7% growth reduction with an inhibition zone of 24.3 mm. However both the treatments were at par with each other.

**Table 1.** Effect of *Bacillus* isolates on the growth of *S. sclerotiorum* under *in vitro*.

Isolates	Mycelial growth (mm)	Inhibition over control (%)	Inhibition zone (mm)
B31- <i>Bacillus cereus</i>	55.0 <sup>a</sup>	39.0	23.6 <sup>a</sup> (4.90)
B14- <i>B.subtilis</i>	62.3 <sup>bc</sup>	30.7	17.3 <sup>b</sup> (4.22)
B19- <i>B.licheniformis</i>	54.3 <sup>a</sup>	39.7	24.3 <sup>a</sup> (4.98)
B12- <i>B.licheniformis</i>	65.3 <sup>cd</sup>	27.7	14.6 <sup>bc</sup> (3.89)
BSC-2- <i>B.tequilensis</i>	71.0 <sup>ef</sup>	21.0	0.0 <sup>f</sup> (0.70)
BSC-3- <i>B.subtilis</i>	73.0 <sup>efg</sup>	19.0	0.0 <sup>f</sup> (0.70)
BSC-6- <i>B.amyloliquefaciens</i>	74.7 <sup>fg</sup>	17.0	0.0 <sup>f</sup> (0.70)
BSC-7- <i>B.amyloliquefaciens</i>	65.0 <sup>cd</sup>	27.7	7.13 <sup>d</sup> (2.76)
B18- <i>B.megaterium</i>	73.3 <sup>efg</sup>	18.8	0.0 <sup>f</sup> (0.70)
B15- <i>B.amyloliquefaciens</i>	53.0 <sup>a</sup>	41.1	26.6 <sup>a</sup> (5.21)
B16- <i>B.licheniformis</i>	62.7 <sup>bc</sup>	30.3	6.6 <sup>d</sup> (2.67)
B30- <i>B.subtilis</i>	69.0 <sup>de</sup>	23.3	8.57 <sup>d</sup> (3.01)
B2- <i>B.amyloliquefaciens</i>	74.0 <sup>fg</sup>	17.7	0.0 <sup>f</sup> (0.70)
Bag3- <i>B.megaterium</i>	76.7 <sup>g</sup>	14.7	0.0 <sup>f</sup> (0.70)
B11- <i>B.licheniformis</i>	87.7 <sup>h</sup>	2.3	0.0 <sup>f</sup> (0.70)
B17- <i>B.megaterium</i>	72.0 <sup>efg</sup>	20.0	3.50 <sup>e</sup> (2.00)
BSc-9- <i>B.amyloliquefaciens</i>	76.0 <sup>g</sup>	15.7	0.0 <sup>f</sup> (0.70)
BSD-1- <i>B.licheniformis</i>	60.0 <sup>b</sup>	33.3	11.7 <sup>c</sup> (3.50)
B3- <i>B.subtilis</i>	74.3 <sup>fg</sup>	17.7	0.0 <sup>f</sup> (0.70)
BSC-5- <i>B.cereus</i>	72.3 <sup>efg</sup>	19.7	0.0 <sup>f</sup> (0.70)
Control	90.0 <sup>h</sup>	-	0.0 <sup>f</sup> (0.70)

<sup>1</sup>Figures in parenthesis are square root transformed values; <sup>2</sup>In a column means followed by same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level of DMRT.

**Table 2.** Efficacy of biocontrol agents under field conditions for the management of *Sclerotinia* head rots of cabbage.

T. No.	Treatments	Disease incidence (%)	Reduction over control (%)	Yield (t/ha)
T <sub>1</sub>	B15- <i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> at 10ml/L	13.24 <sup>c</sup> (21.34)	67.41	44.68 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	B19- <i>B. licheniformis</i> at 10ml/L	15.50 <sup>d</sup> (23.18)	61.85	41.64 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	B31- <i>B. cereus</i> at 10ml/L	16.50 <sup>e</sup> (23.96)	59.38	40.71 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	BSD-1- <i>B. licheniformis</i> at 10ml/L	18.57 <sup>f</sup> (25.52)	54.29	40.69 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	B14- <i>B. subtilis</i> at 10ml/L	19.10 <sup>g</sup> (25.91)	53.00	40.44 <sup>f</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	B16- <i>B. licheniformis</i> at 10ml/L	20.41 <sup>h</sup> (26.85)	49.76	40.31 <sup>g</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	Pf1- <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (commercial formulation) at 10 ml/L	13.31 <sup>c</sup> (21.39)	67.24	44.56 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	TV-1- <i>Trichoderma viride</i> (commercial formulation) @ 10g/L	11.38 <sup>b</sup> (19.71)	72.00	46.34 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	Fungicide check -Nativo (Tebuconazole+Trifloxystrobin)@1.5g/L	10.36 <sup>a</sup> (18.77)	74.50	47.86 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	40.63 <sup>i</sup> (39.60)	-	37.45 <sup>h</sup>

Figures in paranthesis are arc sine transformed values; In a column means followed by same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level of DMRT.

*B. amyloliquefaciens* inhibited growth of *S. sclerotiorum* *in vitro* which was indicated by an inhibition zone between the two organisms (Abdullah et al., 2008). Strains of *B. amyloliquefaciens* ARP<sub>23</sub> and MEP<sub>218</sub> caused alterations in sclerotial morphology and sclerotial germination of *S. sclerotiorum* causing stem rot of soybean according to Alvarez et al. (2012). Under *in vitro*

*B. licheniformis* strain 9555 showed effective antifungal activity against *S. sclerotiorum* (Vipin et al., 2012).

Results of field studies indicated that fungicide check of nativo applied at a concentration of 1.5 g/L was highly effective with least disease incidence of 10.36% indicating 74.50% reduction over control (Table 2). Among the biocontrol agents commercial formulation of

*T. viride* isolate (TV-1) was the most effective treatment showing disease incidence of 11.38% indicating 72.00% reduction over control. This was followed by *B. amyloliquefaciens* isolate (B15) and *P. fluorescens* isolate (Pf-1) showing disease incidence of 13.24 and 13.31% indicating 67.41 and 67.24% reduction over control respectively and both treatments showed no significant difference. *B. licheniformis* isolate (B16) was found to be least effective with 20.41% disease incidence indicating 49.76% reduction over control. Maximum yield of 47.86 t/ha was observed for the fungicide check - Nativo treatment (Table 2). This was followed by the *T. viridae* (TV-1) treatment with 46.38 t/ha yield. Gaur et al. (2010) reported that under field conditions *Sclerotinia* stem rot of mustard caused by *S. sclerotiorum* was effectively controlled by seed treatment (10g/kg) and foliar spray (0.2 per cent) at 50 days after sowing with talc based mixed formulation of *T. hamatum* and *T. viridae* in the ratio of 1:1 followed by bioagent combination of *T. harzianum* (10 g/kg) and *Gliocladium virens* (0.2%) over two consecutive years. *P. fluorescens* isolate (P13) decreased severity of *Sclerotinia* stem rot of oilseed rape by 59% under field conditions and also promoted seedling growth (Li et al., 2011). Use of *B. amyloliquefaciens* as a soil treatment suppressed stems rot of cucumber caused by *S. sclerotiorum* (Sharie et al., 2013).

### Conflict of Interests

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

### REFERENCES

- Abdullah MT, Ali NY, Patrice S (2008). Biological control of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary with *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. *Crop Prot.* 27:1354-1359.
- Alvarez F, Castro M, Príncipe A, Borioli G, Fischer S, Mori G, Jofré E (2012). The plant-associated *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* strains MEP<sub>2</sub>18 and ARP<sub>2</sub>3 capable of producing the cyclic lipopeptides iturin or surfactin and fengycin are effective in biocontrol of *Sclerotinia* stem rot disease. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 112:159-174.
- Boyetchko S, Pedersen E, Punja Z, Reddy M (1999). Formulations of biopesticides. *Methods Biotechnol.* 5:487-508.
- Collins DP, Jacobsen BJ (2003). Optimizing a *Bacillus subtilis* isolate for biological control of sugarbeet cercospora leaf spot. *Biol. Control* 26:153-161.
- Farr DF, Bills GF, Chamuris GP, Rossman AY (1989). *Fungi on Plants and Plant Products in the United States*. In: The American Phytopathological Society. P 1252.
- Fernando PM, Larissa CF, Leandro PE, Paulo, ES (2013). Antagonism of *Bacillus subtilis* against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* on *Lactuca sativa*. *J. Agric. Sci.* 5(4):214-223.
- Fernando WGD, Nakkeeran S, Zhang Y, Savchuk S (2007). Biological control of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary by *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* species on canola petals. *Crop Prot.* 26(2):100-107.
- Gaur RB, Sharma RN, Gautam VS, Dangi RP (2010). Management of *Sclerotinia* rot of mustard through bioagents. *Indian Phytopathol.* 63:392-397.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA (1984). *Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Grau CR (1988). Soybean diseases of the north central region. In: *American Phytopathological Society*. pp. 56-66.
- Hudynia J, Shew HD, Cody BR, Cubeta MA (2000). Evaluation of wounds as a factor to infection of cabbage by ascospores of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Plant Dis.* 84(3):316-320.
- Li H, Li H, Bai Y, Wang J, Nie M, Li B, Xiao M (2011). The use of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* P13 to control *Sclerotinia* stem rot (*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*) of oilseed rape. *J. Microbiol.* 49(6):884-889.
- Pankaj K, Dubey RC, Maheshwari DK (2012). *Bacillus* strains isolated from rhizosphere showed plant growth promoting and antagonistic activity against phytopathogens. *Microbiol. Res.* 167:493-499.
- Purdy LH (1979). *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*: History, diseases and symptomatology, host range, geographic distribution and impact. *Phytopathology* 69:875-880.
- Sharie R, Mojdeh M, Dariush S (2013). The use of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* to control *Sclerotinia* stem rot (*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*) of cucumber. *Int. J. Farm. Allied Sci.* 2:965-970.
- Shoda M (2000). Bacterial control of plant diseases. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* 89:515-521.
- Vipin MD, Sandhya M, Vasvi C, Swati T, Poonam S, Sumit Y, Shashank M, Ijnu TP, Varghese G, Ajit V, Chandrashekhar N (2012). Biocontrol and plant growth promotion and conferring stress tolerance: Multifaceted role of *Bacillus licheniformis* 9555. *J. Fitopatolgi* 3(4):780-783.
- Xiaoja H, Daniel PR, Lihua X, Jude EM, Changbing Y, Yinshiu L, Shujie Z, Xing L (2013). *Bacillus megaterium* A6 suppresses *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* on oilseed rape in the field and promotes oilseed rape growth. *Crop Prot.* 52:151-158.