

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 14, Issue 10, Page 400-412, 2024; Article no.IJECC.123656 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

# Evaluation of the Effect of Funneliformis mosseae Inoculation on Agronomic Parameters of Maize (Zea mays L.) under a Substrate Subjected to Gold Mining

Latifou Tchassanti<sup>a\*</sup>, Atti Tchabi<sup>a</sup>, Nazer Famah Sourassou<sup>a</sup>, Etienne Blaise M'Boumba<sup>b</sup>, Pana Kadanga<sup>a</sup>, Emmanuel Kombieni<sup>a</sup> and Komlan Batawila<sup>c</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Center of Excellence in Sustainable Crop Protection (CEProDuC), Laboratory of Agronomic Sciences and Applied Biology (LaSABA), University of Kara, Togo.
 <sup>b</sup> Agroresources and Environmental Health Research Laboratory (LARASE), Higher School of Agronomy, University of Lomé. PO Box: 1515, Lomé-Togo.
 <sup>c</sup> Department of Botany and Plant Ecology Laboratory, Faculty of Sciences, University of Lomé, PO Box 1515, Lomé, Togo.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i104495

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123656

> Received: 23/07/2024 Accepted: 25/09/2024 Published: 01/10/2024

Original Research Article

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: tchas@hotmail.fr;

*Cite as:* Tchassanti, Latifou, Atti Tchabi, Nazer Famah Sourassou, Etienne Blaise M'Boumba, Pana Kadanga, Emmanuel Kombieni, and Komlan Batawila. 2024. "Evaluation of the Effect of Funneliformis Mosseae Inoculation on Agronomic Parameters of Maize (Zea Mays L.) under a Substrate Subjected to Gold Mining". International Journal of Environment and Climate Change 14 (10):400-412. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i104495.

Tchassanti et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 400-412, 2024; Article no. IJECC. 123656

## ABSTRACT

**Aim:** Evaluate, in a greenhouse, the effect of the AMF, *Funneliformis mosseae*, on the agronomic performance of maize grown on soil degraded by gold mining.

Study Design: Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with six replications.

Place and Duration of Study: University of Lomé, between September 2022 and January 2023.

**Methodology:** The experiment utilized four treatment levels - pure mineral fertilizers (To), pure AMF (Funneliformis mosseae) (T1), the combination of AMF and mineral fertilizers (T2) and a control (Co) – employing a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with six replications. A pot of 5 liters capacity having received 5 kg of soil substrat (washed gold-bearing soil or soil taken under natural vegetation) composed the experimental unit. The experimental data were collected and analyzed.

**Results:** gold-bearing soils are sandy and poor in organic and mineral compounds compared to soils under natural vegetation. The mycorrhization parameters do not take soil type into account. On average, 73.62 % of plants in simple inoculation were mycorrhized where as 33.43 % of plants were mycorrhized in inoculation with a synthetic fertilizer (NPK+Urea) at 60 days after sowing. The respective mycorrhization intensities corresponding to these mycorrhization rates are 41.43 and 45.67 % for the same period. The inoculation with AMF affect positively the growth, development and the productivity of maize compared to the control.

**Conclusion:** Results suggest that *Funneliformis mosseae* can be used as a biofertilizer on gold mining soils in Togo for sustainable maize production.

Keywords: Gold mining; degraded soil; arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi; maize; Togo.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Gold mining is an activity that generates income for rural populations, but also causes many environmental problems. This activity leads to deforestation, soil degradation, soil and water pollution, loss of biodiversity and the shaping of the landscape [1–4]. Gold mining is generally accompanied by the destruction of vegetation cover, the opening of trenches and shafts, significant excavation of the sandy-clay layer and the overturning of soils, leading to the gradual destruction of arable land. The reduction of vegetation cover affects the reduction of organic matter and microbial flora more significantly [5,6].

In Togo, artisanal gold mining is not without environmental consequences. In the Central Region of Togo, gold mining leads to the degradation of natural resources and has environmental consequences on the landscape [4]. Gold-mining sites are not restored, and the proliferation of these sites leads to the reduction of land suitable for agriculture and impacts the nutritional security food and of populations [5]. In line with the challenges of sustainable development, there is an urgent need not only to preserve soils, but also to restore the fertility of land destroyed by gold mining [7].

Studies have shown the advantage that arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) provide to plants by making accessible mineral elements that are difficult to access naturally [8,9]. Plant-fungus symbioses are extremely widespread, affecting 80 to 90% of plant species [10]. Mycorrhizae are very important in the restoration of degraded natural resources [11]. These mycorrhizae are also known for their ability to give plants a better capacity to acquire water, maintain soil fertility and increase crop yields in tropical environments but also other settings where soil degradation occurs [8,12,13]. AMF are beneficial soil microorganisms that establish mutualistic associations with a host of food crops [14] including maize (Zea mays L.), by improving soil characteristics and promoting plant growth and resistance or tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses [15,16].

In terms of scientific knowledge, very little work has been carried out in Togo on the use of AMF associated with maize cultivation on degraded soil. The present study is a contribution to the management of soils degraded by gold mining. It aims to evaluate, in a greenhouse, the effect of the AMF, *Funneliformis mosseae*, on the agronomic performance of maize grown on soil degraded by gold mining.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **2.1 Experimental Frame**

The trial was conducted under glass at the Agronomic Experiment Station of the University of Lomé (latitude 6°10'25,52"N and longitude 1°12'37,09"E) (Fig. 1). The station enjoys an equatorial Guinean climate. Annual rainfall varies from 800 to 1100 mm [17]. Greenhouse trial conditions were a photoperiod averaging 12 hours, an average temperature ranging from 24°C in the morning to 35°C mid-day and 24°C in the evening.

#### 2.2 Plant Material

The study focused on a maize variety, TZEE W Pop STR QPM (TZE), whose seeds are white semihorned. It is an extra-early maize variety with a vegetative cycle of 80 to 85 days and a potential yield of 3.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> [18].

#### 2.3 Fungal Material

The AMF isolate, *Funneliformis mosseae* obtained from Mushroom Biotechnology Laboratory (LBC) of the Department of Plant Biology at Cheikh Anta DIOP University in Senegal was used in this experiment.

#### 2.4 Types of Growing Media

The soils used for this experiment were collected from the locality of Kéméni, Prefecture of Tchaoudjo, Togo. Two types of substrate were used for the trial: a soil collected under natural vegetation (SSVN) as a control (latitude 9°13'57.91"N and longitude 1°15'14.10"E) and a washed gold soil (SAL), the soil resulting from the washing of mineralized soils for alluvial gold recovery (latitude 9°12'24.86"N and longitude 1°14'34.82"E). These soils were analyzed at the Soil-Water-Vegetation-Fertilizers laboratory of the Togolese Institute of Agricultural Research (ITRA) in Lomé. The analyses focused on the 5fraction particle size, organic matter rate (OM), organic carbon rate (C), total nitrogen content (N), assimilable phosphorus (P) content, the adsorbent complex: calcium (Ca), potassium (K), sodium (N), the Electrical conductivity (EC) and pH.

#### 2.5 Experimental Design

The experimental unit consisted of a plastic pot containing 5 kg of either soil collected under natural vegetation (SSVN) or washed gold soil (SAL). The trial consisted of a totally randomized set-up of 24 pots, with four treatments in six (6) replicates for each soil type. The treatments



Fig. 1. Site location

consisted of: uninoculated seeds and unfertilized pots: seeds uninoculated with Funneliformis mosseae but fertilized pots; seeds inoculated with Funneliformis mosseae and unfertilized plants; and seeds inoculated with Funneliformis mosseae and fertilized pots. Seeds were sown in each pot at a depth of 3 cm, with two seeds per pot. The pots were arranged so that the planting density has respected the cultural pattern of 80 cm x 40 cm between plants. Manual weeding was carried out to maintain one vigorous plant per pot after emergence. The fertilizers NPK 15-15-15 and Urea 46% N were applied at 15<sup>th</sup> and 45th days after sowing (DAS) respectively, at the recommended rates of 200 kg/ha and 100 kg/ha respectively. Throughout the crop cycle, the plants were watered regularly at the required field capacity of 500 cm<sup>3</sup> per poquet.

#### 2.6 Data Collection

Weekly observations were made on each pot from 10<sup>th</sup> DAS until the appearance of flowers to determine the germination rate, plant collar diameter, plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf length and width per plant. Productivity parameters such as number of cobs per plant. height at panicle insertion, cob insertion height, cob length, cob diameter, dry above-ground biomass, dry cob weight, number of dry grains per cob, dry grain weight and cob weight were evaluated at harvest time. Above-ground and root biomass were cut and dried in an oven at 60°C until a constant weight was obtained. Dry weights were then determined using a precision balance (Techfit TF-1003, precision d = 0.1g). Germination rate was determined using the formula:

TG (%) =  $\frac{\text{number of seeds germinated}}{\text{number of seeds sown}} x 100$  where TG is the germination rate

#### 2.7 Evaluation of Mycorrhization Parameters

Fine maize roots, previously placed in a 10 % KOH solution, were stained in Trypan Blue (0.05 %). These stained roots were cut into 1 cm fragments and mounted between slides. Mycorrhization frequency and intensity were assessed under a light microscope (x40 magnification), using the rating scale of Trouvelot et al. [19].

The mycorrhization frequency (F), reflecting the extent of infection of the root system, was measured using the formula:

Colonization intensity (M), which expresses the portion of the cortex colonized in relation to the entire root system, was measured using the formula:

$$M(\%) = \frac{95n5 + 70n4 + 30n3 + 5n2 + n1}{\text{total number of fragments}}$$

where n5 = number of fragments rated 5; n4 = number of fragments rated 4; n3 = number of fragments rated 3; n2 = number of fragments rated 2 and n1 = number of fragments rated 1. Shrub intensity (a) was calculated using the formula:

$$a(\%) = \frac{100\text{mA3} + 50\text{mA2} + 10\text{mA1}}{100}$$

where mA3, mA2, mA1 are the percentages of m respectively assigned notes A3, A2, A1. With

$$mA3 = \frac{95n5A3 + 70n4A3 + 30n3A3 + 5n2A3 + n1A3}{number of mycorrhizal fragments \times m} \times 100$$
$$mA2 = \frac{95n5A2 + 70n4A2 + 30n3A2 + 5n2A2 + n1A2}{number of mycorrhizal fragments \times m} \times 100$$
$$mA1 = \frac{95n5A1 + 70n4A1 + 30n3A1 + 5n2A1 + n1A1}{number of mycorrhizal fragments \times m} \times 100$$

#### 2.8 Statistical Analysis

The data collected were analyzed using R software version 4.1.3. Data in percentages of shrub quality, mycorrhization frequency and mycorrhization intensity were transformed using a circular function  $\arcsin\sqrt{((X \ 100^{-1}))}$  prior to analysis, to reduce excessive discrepancies. The data were then subjected to an analysis of variance according to the experimental design adopted for this study. The different arithmetic means of the different treatments were compared using the PPDS test at the 5% threshold when a significant difference was found. The texture triangle of the United States Department of Agriculture [20] was used for soil textural classification, with the three sides of the triangle corresponding respectively to the percentages of sand, silt and clay.

#### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1 Soil Physico-Chemical Characteristics

Analytical results for the soils used as substrates during the test are reported in Table 1. The particle size fraction reveals a sand percentage of over 70% for the washed gold soil (SAL). According to the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) textural triangle, SAL has a predominantly sandy texture dominated by coarse sand, in contrast to soil under natural vegetation (SSVN). Although electrical conductivity is high in the soil under natural vegetation (113.7 µS cm<sup>-1</sup> vs. 22.6 for the washed gold soil), it remains below the threshold of 500 µS cm<sup>-1</sup> according to Durand's scale, so these soils studied are not saline. Values for organic matter, carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and calcium are higher on SSVN than on SAL. The pH of the soil under natural vegetation tends towards neutral (pH = 6.779), and the pH of the washed gold soil is slightly acidic (pH = 5.509).

## 3.2 Mycorrhization of Maize

The presence of mycorrhizal structures (arbuscular, vesicles, hyphae or appressorium) was observed at 30th days after sowing (DAS) with the pure AMF strain. The average mycorrhization frequency was statistically identical P = 0.09 (30th DAS) and P = 0.18 (60th DAS), irrespective of the soil type used, throughout the trial period. It varied from 30th DAS to 60th DAS from 55.25 % to 57.17 % for SSVN and from 47.13 % to 56.09 % for SAL over the same period (Table 2). The mycorrhization intensities associated with these frequencies are

respectively 12.98 % to 32.33 % for SSVN and 13.70 % to 28.72 % for SAL. These mycorrhization intensities are statistically identical P = 0.16 (30th DAS) and P = 0.08 (60th DAS) on all soils during the trial. Analysis of variance showed no significant difference (P = 0.15 (30th DAS) and P = 0.22 (60th DAS)) in the shrub quality of the maize cortex in relation to soil type at the same time (Table 2).

However, plants in pots inoculated with AMF showed identical and significantly higher frequencies than those not mycorrhized (Table 3). Control plants without inoculum and those whose substrates had been amended revealed the presence of mycorrhizal structures in their root cortex as early as  $30^{\text{th}}$  DAS. Mycorrhization intensities were significantly identical between inoculated plants and significantly different from plants without inoculation ( $P = 5.84.10^{-7}$  (30th DAS) and  $P = 4.88.10^{-6}$  (60th DAS)).

## 3.3 Influence of *Funneliformis mosseae* on Germination Rate

Maize seed germination was observed for 5 days after sowing. At 5th DAS, investigations showed that all the seeds sown had germinated. The germination rate was 100%. Inoculation with *Funneliformis mosseae* had no significant effect on maize seed germination.

Parameters		Type of	growing medium
		SSVN	SAL
Elements > 2mm	Concretion	0.258	5.598
Granulometry 5 fractions	Clay ≤ 2 µm	3.01	0.07
(%)	Fine silt 2 to 20µm	19.17	2.35
	Coarse silt 20 µ to 50µm	13.92	3.19
	Fine sand 50 to 200 µm	28.92	10.18
	Coarse sand 200 to 2000 µm	32.84	82.28
Organic matter (%)	Organic matter	3.12	0.17
	Carbon C	1.81	0.1
	Total nitrogen N	0.257	0.023
	C N <sup>-1</sup>	7.043	4.348
Phosphorus (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Assimilable (P) (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	3.18	1.377
Adsorbent complex (méq	Calcium (Ca)	2.374	1.063
100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Potassium (K)	0.07	0.03
	Sodium (Na)	0.182	0.227
Salinity	Elect. conductivity 1 5 <sup>-1</sup> (µS cm <sup>-1</sup> )	113.7	22.6
pH (1 2.5 <sup>-1</sup> )	Water	6.759	5.509

Table 1. Physico-chemical characteristics	of substrates	prior to test se	et-up
---	---------------	------------------	-------

SSVN: soil under natural vegetation; SAL: washed gold soil

Soil type	Mycorrhization frequency (%)		Mycorrhizat	Mycorrhization intensity (%)		Shrub quality (%)	
	30 DAS	60 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS	
SSVN	55.25±3.38 a	57.17±5.17a	12.98±1.13 a	32.33±2.26 a	15.68±1.22 a	28.91±2.01 a	
SAL	47.13±4.73 a	56.09±3.33 a	13.70±1.09 a	28.72±1.44 a	18.22±0.89 a	26.23±1.37 a	
P-value	0.09	0.18	0.16	0.08	0.15	0.22	
CV (%)	14.35	13.22	17.44	13. 45	11.41	16.45	

#### Table 2. Effect of substrate type on mycorrhization in maize

SSVN: soil under natural vegetation; SAL: washed gold soil; DAS: day after sowing. Means followed by the same letter in the same column do not differ significantly at the 5% threshold

#### Table 3. Effect of AMF inoculation on maize mycorrhization parameters

	Mycorrhization frequency (%)		Mycorrhiza	Mycorrhization intensity (%)		o quality (%)
	30 DAS	60 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS
Control	6.33±0.54 c	7.67±0.66 c	2.11±0.07 c	2.73±0.11 b	1.44±0.07 c	2.08±0.04 b
NPK+Urea	5.77±0.42 c	8.45±0.74 c	1.45±0.05 c	3.44±0.08 b	1.67±0.03 c	3.65±0.12 b
AMF	66.55±0.72 a	73.62±0.53 a	24.66±0.19 b	41.43±0.45 a	35.22±0.38 a	38.48±0.41 a
AMF+NPK+Urea	27.36±0.19 b	33.43±0.34 b	37.12±0.27 a	45.67±0.38 a	22.36 ±0.25b	27.50±0.21 a
<i>P</i> -value	1.23 10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.66 10 <sup>-4</sup>	5.84 10 <sup>-7</sup>	4.88 10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.29 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3.12 10 <sup>-3</sup>
CV (%)	16.88	18.66	18.55	21.22	17.26	16.45

Means followed by the same letter in the same column do not differ significantly at the 5% threshold

#### Table 4. Effect of soil type on maize growth parameters

Soil type	Diameter at colla	r (cm)	Height (cm)		
	30 DAS	60 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS	
SSVN	0.51±0.13 a	0.60±0.14 a	49.12±13.35 a	116.95±26.09 a	
SAL	0.34±0.10 b	0.36±0.10 b	32.70±11.38 b	54.72±24.32 b	
P-value	1.49 10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.58 10 <sup>-14</sup>	2.60 10 <sup>-7</sup>	4.27 10 <sup>-14</sup>	
CV (%)	21.22	15.09	22.53	22.1	

SSVN: soil under natural vegetation; SAL: washed gold soil. Means followed by the same letter in the same column do not differ significantly at the 5% threshold

Soil type	Numbe	er of leaves (cm)		Leaf length (cm)	S	Sheet width (cm)	
	30 DAS	60 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS	
SSVN	5.66±1.60 a	8.91±1.41 a	55.45±13.28 a	59.34±15.42 a	2.62±0.61 a	3.11±0.88 a	
SAL	3.41±01.21 b	6.12±1.16 b	37.09±6.35 b	33.38±9.67 b	1.62±0.35 b	1.90±0.44 b	
<i>P</i> -value	5.10 10 <sup>-10</sup>	1.39 10 <sup>-09</sup>	1.67 10 <sup>-10</sup>	9.52 10 <sup>-13</sup>	1.03 10 <sup>-11</sup>	9.51 10 <sup>-12</sup>	
CV (%)	21.11	16.45	16.82	19.05	17.09	18.07	

#### Table 5. Effect of soil type on maize growth parameters

SVN: soil under natural vegetation; SAL: washed gold soil. Means followed by the same letter in the same column do not differ significantly at the 5% threshold.

#### Table 6. Effect of mycorrhizal inoculation on maize growth parameters

	Diameter at collar (cm)		Height	(cm)	
	30 DAS	60 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS	
Control	0.44±0.10 b	0.47±0.14 b	41.50±10.21 b	92.00±45.75 b	
NPK+Urea	0.35±0.12 c	0.38±0.16 c	38.16±15.57 b	70.19±42.62 c	
AMF	0.55±0.17 a	0.63±0.19 a	54.08±10.30 a	107.66±39.81 a	
AMF+NPK+Urea	0.36±0.08 bc	0.44±0.08 bc	29.91±12.46 c	73.50±19.91 c	
<i>P</i> -value	1.44 10 <sup>-5</sup>	7.12 10 <sup>-9</sup>	1.77 10 <sup>-6</sup>	4.30 10 <sup>-5</sup>	
CV (%)	21.22	15.09	22.53	22.10	

Means followed by the same letter in the same column do not differ significantly at the 5% threshold.

#### Table 7. Effect of mycorrhizal inoculation on maize growth parameters

	Number	Number of leaves (cm)		Leaf length (cm)		Sheet width (cm)	
	30 DAS	60 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS	
Control	5.00±1.70 b	7.25± 2.09 b	49.19± 15.17 b	50.55± 18.17 b	2.16±0.62 b	2.46±0.96 b	
NPK+Urea	3.75±1.48 c	6.90± 1.65 b	41.30± 9.04 b	37.30± 18.68 c	1.77± 0.66 c	2.17±0.50 b	
AMF	6.08±1.31 a	8.41± 2.06 a	56.50± 13.12 a	57.75±19.97 a	2.64± 0.77 a	3.10±1.34 a	
AMF+NPK+Urea	3.33±1.43 c	7.50± 1.66 ab	38.11± 10.67 c	39.86±7.31 c	1.89± 0.48 c	2.30±0.30 b	
<i>P</i> -value	3.53 10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.0312	1.42 10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.03 10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.14 10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.61 10 <sup>-5</sup>	
CV (%)	21.11	16.45	16.82	19.05	17.09	18.07	

Means followed by the same letter in the same column do not differ significantly at the 5% threshold

Soil type	Number of ears	Height at panicle	Height of finial insertion	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter
	60 DAS	60 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	90 DAS
SSVN	1.20±0.41 a	100.7±24.5 a	40.37± 13.94 a	4.07±1.10 a	2.40±1.11 a
SAL	1.22±0.41 a	57.25±24.94 b	40.04±18.22 a	3.20±0.50 b	2.22±0.63 a
P-value	0.84492	7.04 10 <sup>-9</sup>	0.907	5.36 10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.222
CV (%)	30.08	26.07	24.53	13.83	21.64

## Table 8. Effect of soil type on maize productivity parameters

\_

\_

#### Table 9. Effect of soil type on maize productivity parameters

Soil type	Dry above- ground biomass (g)	Dry ear weight (g)	Number Dry seeds	Dry seed weight (g)	Burst weight (g)
	90 DAS	90 DAS	90 DAS	90 DAS	90 DAS
SSVN	10.08±6.74 a	6.12±2.86 a	13.42±7.37 a	4.78±3.43 a	2.05±0.64 a
SAL	3.62±2.67 b	3.79±1.56 b	9.75±3.89 b	3.77±2.13 b	1.48±0.58 b
<i>P</i> -value	1.65 10 <sup>-8</sup>	2.84 10 <sup>-9</sup>	0.000918	0.023016	0.000148
CV (%)	46.36	21.43	30.62	34.67	26.42

SSVN: soil under natural vegetation; SAL: washed gold soil. Means followed by the same letter in the same column do not differ significantly at the 5% threshold according to the PPDS test

## Table 10. Effect of mycorrhizal inoculation on maize productivity parameters

	Number of ears	Height at panicle insertion (cm)	Height of finial insertion (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)
	60 DAS	60 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	90 DAS
Control	1.08±0.28 b	80.92±40.77 a	33.25±15.66 b	3.79±0.49 b	2.06±0.26 b
NPK+Urea	1.20±0.39 b	61.33±32.48 b	31.08±5.31 b	2.85±0.73 c	1.73±0.42 b
AMF	1.58±0.51 a	94.50±32.87 a	46.83±13.51 a	4.37±1.35 a	3.31±1.03 a
AMF+NPK+Urea	1.00±0.00 b	79.16±15.73 a	49.66±18.86 a	3.54±0.39 b	2.12±0.74 b
<i>P</i> -value	0.00191	0.00382	2.29 10 <sup>-5</sup>	9.92 10 <sup>-8</sup>	7.92 10 <sup>-9</sup>
CV (%)	30.08	26.07	24.53	13.83	21.64

Table 11	Effect of m	vcorrhizal ino	culation on	maize nro	ductivity	naramotors
	Elicer of m	ycon mzai mo	culation on	maize pro	addivity	parameters

	Dry above- ground biomass (g)	Dry ear weight (g)	Number of dry seeds	Dry seed weight (g)	Stalk weight (g)
	90 DAS	90 DAS	90 DAS	90 DAS	90 DAS
Control	9.25±3.76 a	3.92±0.90 c	9.33±2.10 b	3.15±2.16 bc	1.54±0.39 b
NPK+Urea	4.41±2.35 b	2.75±0.96 d	5.58±1.83 c	2.00±0.56 c	1.20±0.25 b
AMF	10.75±9.04 a	5.50±2.61 b	16.83±7.62 a	4.04±1.64 b	2.26±0.80 a
AMF+NPK+Urea	3.00±2.95 b	7.66±2.18 a	14.58±3.08 a	7.91±2.46 a	2.06±0.55 a
<i>P</i> -value	3.89 10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.57 10 <sup>-13</sup>	3.34 10 <sup>-9</sup>	1.99 10 <sup>-11</sup>	5.2 10 <sup>-6</sup>
CV (%)	46.36	21.43	30.62	34.67	26.42

SSVN: soil under natural vegetation; SAL: washed gold soil. Means followed by the same letter in the same column do not differ significantly at the 5% threshold according to the PPDS test

## 3.4 Effect of soil and *Funneliformis* mosseae Inoculation on Maize Growth Parameters

The results show that the best performances were linked to collar diameter ( $0.51 \pm 0.13$  cm at 30th DAS and  $0.60 \pm 0.14$  cm at 60th DAS), plant height ( $49.12 \pm 13.35$  cm at 30th DAS and  $116.95 \pm 26.09$  cm at 60th DAS), number of leaves ( $5.66 \pm 1.60$  cm at 30th DAS and  $8.91 \pm 1.41$  cm at 60th DAS), leaf length ( $55.45 \pm 13.28$  cm at 30th DAS and  $59.34 \pm 15.42$  cm at 60th DAS) and leaf width ( $2.62 \pm 0.61$  at 30th DAS and  $3.11 \pm 0.88$  cm at 60th DAS) were obtained with soils under natural vegetation (Tables 4 and 5). The results also show that plants in pure *Funneliformis mosseae* treatment record the best diameter, height, number of leaves, leaf length and leaf width (Tables 6 and 7).

## 3.5 Effect of Soil Type and *Funneliformis mosseae* Inoculation on Maize Productivity Parameters

The results show that poor performance in terms of spike insertion height, spike length, dry aboveground biomass, dry spike weight, number of dry seeds, dry seed weight and stalk weight was recorded at the SAL level (Tables 8 and 9). The results in Tables 9 and 10 show that the best performances were obtained for ear number  $(1.58 \pm 0.51 \text{ cm at 60th DAS})$ , panicle insertion height (94.50 ± 32.87 cm at 60th DAS), ear length (4.37 ± 1.35 cm at 90th DAS), ear diameter (3.31 ± 1.03 cm at 90th DAS), dry above-ground biomass (10.75 ± 9.04 g at 90th DAS), number of dry seeds (16.83  $\pm$  7.62 at 90th DAS) and stalk weight  $(2.26 \pm 0.80 \text{ g at } 90\text{ th})$ DAS) were obtained with the AMF treatment. The AMF+NPK+Urea combination performed better in terms of ear insertion height (49.66 ± 18.86 cm at 60th DAS), dry ear weight (7.66  $\pm$  2.18 g at 90th DAS) and dry seed weight (7.91 ± 2.46 g at 90th DAS) than the control and NPK+Urea treatments, which performed significantly worse (Tables 10 and 11).

## 4. DISCUSSION

The study has shown that washed gold-bearing soils are sandy and poor in organic and mineral matter essential for plant growth and development. This result was also demonstrated by [21], who showed that the deterioration index in organic matter, phosphorus, potassium and calcium exceeds 60 % on gold-bearing soils in southwestern Nigeria. Bohbot [22] showed that artisanal gold mining leads to lasting environmental degradation through the loss of arable land in Burkina-Faso, and water and soil pollution.

In this study, gold-rich soils showed poor agronomic performance compared with soils under natural vegetation. The effectiveness of soils under natural vegetation in improving the agronomic performance of maize is of scientific interest. Natural vegetation can play an important role in preserving soil fertility by providing a permanent cover that reduces erosion and improves water storage. A study carried out in Brazil compared three types of system, including a conventional system with deep ploughing, a no-till system with intercropping (maize + legumes) and an agroforestry system with fruit trees associated with a vegetable crop [23]. Results showed that average yields were higher in the agroforestry system (11,000 kg/ha) than in the other two systems (9.500 kg/ha for the no-till system and 8,400 kg/ha for the ploughed system). This system also had a higher organic matter content and a better physical soil structure. Environmental responsibility with ecologically sustainable practices should be developed in this era of sustainable development with a view to restoring terrestrial ecosystems while ensuring sustainable agriculture.

The study showed that the use of the mycorrhizal fungus G. mosseae, in single inoculation or in combination with fertilizers on gold-bearing soils, resulted in satisfactory agronomic performance and good corn grain yields. Similar results were demonstrated by Malonda et al. [24], who found that AMF improved soil structure, increased soil phosphorus to 7.5 %, nitrogen to 4 % and carbon to 13 %, and increased yields. Li et al. [24] showed that root colonization by Funneliformis mosseae significantly increased shoot biomass and maize yield by 17.9% and 20.3%, while mitigating the effects of lower water conservation of half-film mulching on maize performance. Haro and Sanon [25] have also shown that inoculation with AMF considerably increases the aerial and root biomass of sesame. Indeed, the symbiotic association of AMF with plant roots improves the plant's water and mineral nutrition [26]. The association of AMF with maize roots in this study enabled a significant improvement in agronomic performance through increased water and nutrient uptake [27]. Various studies have demonstrated that soil inoculation with AMF spores can significantly increase crop yields under certain unfavorable environmental

conditions [28–30] as in the case of structurally degraded soils found at gold mining sites in the Central Region of Togo. The positive effects on plant growth and health can also translate into a significant mobilization of essential nutrients such as phosphorus, potassium and nitrogen in the soil [31–33]. AMF makes a lasting contribution to the restoration of degraded soils [34–36].

## 5. CONCLUSION

The study provided information on the status of gold-bearing soils in Togo. It demonstrated that the use of AMF Funneliformis mosseae as a biofertilizer can be beneficial for plant growth and development under washed gold soils. Extension and adoption of the use of AMF can be a major component of sustainable agriculture. It is however imperative to consider the contribution of a dose of organic manure to the washed gold sand substrate, in order to improve its colloidal quality and contribute sustainably to the improvement of its structure. This approach would allow a better retention capacity of mineral elements as well as water. The present study represents an opportunity for the implementation of forest restoration projects in areas degraded by intensive mining activities in Togo.

## DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative Al technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Higher School of Agronomy (E.S.A) of the University of Lomé for their administrative and technical support.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- Andriamasinoro F, Angel JM. Artisanal and small-scale gold mining in Burkina Faso: Suggestion of Multi-Agent Methodology as a Complementary Support in Elaborating a Policy. Resour. Policy. 2012;37(3):385– 396.
- 2. Jaques ECGMB, JFTBZ. Artisanal and Small-Scale Mines in Burkina Faso: Today

and Tomorrow; Working paper of BRMG; 2006.

 Taylor H, Appleton JD, Lister R, Smith B, Chitamweba D, Mkumbo O, Machiwa JF, Tesha AL, Beinhoff C. Environmental assessment of mercury Contami-Nation from the Rwamagasa artisanal gold Mining Centre, Geita District, Tanzania. Sci. Total Environ. 2005;343:111–133. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv

.2004.09.042

- Tchassanti L, Akpavi S, Dourma M, Dimobe K, Tebonou G, Nuto Y, Batawila K, Akpagana K. Impacts of artisanal gold mining on natural resources in Kemeni (Central Region of Togo). J. Rech. Sci. Lomé University. 2013;15 (2):23–38. Available:https://doi.org/10.4314/jrsul.v15i2
- French.
  Bamba O, Pelede S, Sako A, Kagambega N, Miningou MY. Impact of artisanal mining on soils in a managed agricultural environment in Burkina Faso. J. Sci. French. 2013;13(1):1–11.
- Maradan D, BO, NT, TT, KZ. Economic analysis of the mining sector: poverty and environment links. In sba-Ecosys-CEDRES. MECV Burkina Faso Report. French. 2011;69.
- 7. Asmelash F, Bekele T, Birhane, E. The potential role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the restoration of degraded lands. front. Microbiol. 2016;7.
- 8. Duponnois R, Plenchette C, Prin Y, Ducousso M, Kisa M, Bâ AM, Galiana, A. use of mycorrhizal inoculation to improve reafforestation process with Australian Acacia in Sahelian Ecozones. Ecol. Eng. 2007;29:105-112.
- Lambers H, Raven JA, Shaver GR, Smith SE. Plant Nutrient-Acquisition strategies change with soil age. Trends Ecol. Evol. 2008;23:95–103.
- Meier S, Borie F, Bolan N, Cornejo P. Phytoremediation of Metal-Polluted Soils by Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2012;42:741–775. Available:https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389 .2010.528518.
- Schützendübel A, Polle, A. Plant responses to abiotic stresses: Heavy metal-induced oxidative stress and protection by mycorrhization. J. Exp. Bot. 2002;53(372):1351–1365. Available:https://doi.org/10.1093/jexbot/53. 372.1351.

 Bárzama G, Aroca R, Paz JA, Chaumont F, Martinez-Ballesta MC, Carvajal M, Ruiz-Lozano JM. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis increases relative apoplastic water flow in roots of the host plant under both well-watered and drought stress conditions. Ann. Bot. 2012;109(5):1009– 1017.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcs0 07.

- 13. Tchabi A, Hountondji FC, Ogunsola,B, Lawouin L, Coyne DL, Wiemken A, Oehl F. The influence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inoculation on micro-propagated hybrid yam (*Dioscorea Spp.*) Growth and Root Knot Nematode (*Meloidogyne Spp.*) Suppression. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. 2016;5(10):267–281.
- Berruti A, Lumini E, Balestrini R, Bianciotto V. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi as natural biofertlizers: let's benefit from past successes. Front Microbiol; 2016. Available:https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.201 5.01559.
- 15. Alqarawi AA, Hashem A, Abd Allah EF, Alshahrani TS, Huqail AA. Effect of salinity on moisture content, pigment system, and lipid composition in Ephedra Alata Decne. Acta Biol. Hung. 2014; 65(1):61–71. Available:https://doi.org/10.1556/ABiol.65.2 014.1.6.
- Navarro JM, Perez–Tornero O, Morte A. Alleviation of salt stress in citrus seedling inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi depends on the rootstock tolerance. J Plant Physiol. 2014; 171:76–85. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.201 3.06.006.
- Detchinli KS, Sogbedji MJ, Bona KA, Atchoglo R. Modelisation Des Doses Economiques Optimales Du Fumier de Ferme En Culture Du Maïs (*Zea Mays* L.) Sur Les Sols Ferrallitiques Au Togo. J. Rech. Sci. L'Université Lomé 2017;19(2): 1–9.

https://doi.org/10.4314/jrsul.v19i2.Available :https://doi.org/10.4314/jrsul.v19i2.

- MAEP (Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries). Beninese catalogue of plant species and varieties (CaBEV). INRAB/DPVPPAAO/ProCAD/MAEP & CORAF/WAAPP, legal deposit No. 8982 of October 21, 2016, National Library (BN) of Benin, 4th quarter. ISBN: 978-99919-2-548–6. French. 2016;339.
- 19. Trouvelot A, Kough JL, Gianinazzi-Pearson V. Measuring the VA mycorrhization rate of

a root system. Research and estimation methods with functional significance. In physiological and genetic aspects of mycorrhizae; INRA ed: Dijon, 1985; 1986:217–221.

- 20. USDA. Soil Survey Manual. Soil Survey Division Staff; Soil Conservation Service Volume Handbook 18, U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2017;3.
- Eludoyin AO, Ojo AT, Ojo TO, Awotoye OO. Effects of artisanal gold mining activities on soil properties in a part of Southwestern Nigeria. Cogent Environ. Sci. 2017; 3(1):1305650. Available:https://doi.org/10.1080/23311843 .2017.1305650.
- 22. Bohbot J. Gold minig in Burkina Faso: An Economic Windfall for Populations, with Poorly Controlled Social and Environmental Consequences. *EchoGéo*, 2017. Available:https://journals.openedition.org/e

Available:https://journals.openedition.org/e chogeo/15150. French.

- Rousselet-Gadenne A. Adoption d'innovations Agroforestières à Barra Do Turvo (São Paulo, Brésil). Cah. Agric. 2004;13(5):391-402 (1).
- Malonda AN, Nzola-Meso TM, Manga A. M, Yandju MC. Effet des champignons mycorhiziens Arbusculaires sur le phosphore des sols tropicaux et implication dans la biosynthèse du caroténoïde du manioc. 2019.
- Li MY, Wang W, Mo F, Ren AT, Wang ZY, Zhu Y, Xiong YC. Seven-year long-term inoculation with funneliformis mosseae increases maize yield and soil carbon storage evidenced by in situ 13C-Labeling in a Dryland. Sci. Total Environ. 2024;944: 173975. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv .2024.173975.
- 26. Haro H, Sanon, K. Réponse Du Sésame (Sesamum Indicum L.) à l'inoculation Mycorhizienne Avec Des Souches Des Champignons Mycorhiziens Arbusculaires Indigènes Du Burkina Faso Sesame (Sesamum Indicum L.) Response to Mycorrhizal Inoculation with Native Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi to Burkina Faso. Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci. 2020;14:417– 423.

Available:https://doi.org/10.4314/ijbcs.v14i 2.9.

27. Duponnois R, Ramanankierana H, Hafidi M, Baohanta R, Baudoin E, Thioulouse J,

Sanguin H, Bâ A, Galiana A, Bally R, Lebrun M, Prin Y. Native plant resources to optimize the performances of forest rehabilitation in Mediterranean and tropical environment: some examples of nursing plant species that improve the soil mycorrhizal potential. C. R. Biol. 2013;336(5–6):265–272.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2013 .04.015.

- 28. Srinath J, Bagyaraj D, Satyanarayana BN. Enhanced growth and nutrition of micropropagated ficus beniamina to glomus mosseae co-inoculated with Trichoderma harzianum and Bacillus coagulans. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2003;19:69-72. Available:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:102256 9702845.
  - 315 / 5,000
- Nouaim R, Chaussod R. Rôle des mycorhizes dans l'alimentation hydrique et minérale des plantes, notamment des ligneux de zones arides. Mycorhization Plantes For. En Milieu Aride Semi-Aride Lutte Contre Désertif. Dans Bassin Méditerranéen Zaragoza CIHEAM. Cahiers Options Méditerranéennes. 1996 ;(20) :9– 26.
- Nouaim, R.; Chaussod, R. Rôle des mycorhizes dans l'alimentation hydrique et minérale des plantes, notamment des ligneux de zones arides. Mycorhization Plantes For. En Milieu Aride Semi-Aride Lutte Contre Désertif. Dans Bassin Méditerranéen Zaragoza CIHEAM 1996, Cahiers Options Méditerranéennes (20), 9–26.
- Aguégué MR, Ahoyo Adjovi, NR, Agbodjato NA, Noumavo PA, Assogba S, Salami H, Salako VK, Ramón R, Baba-Moussa F, Adjanohoun A, Glele Kakaï R, Baba-Moussa L. Efficacy of Native Strains of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Maize

Productivity on Ferralitic Soil in Benin. Agric. Res. 2022, 11 (4), 627–641. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s40003-021-00602-7.

 Ruiz-Lozano JM, Gómez M, Azcón R. Influence of Different glomus species on the time-course of physiological plant responses of lettuce to progressive drought stress periods. Plant Sci. 1995;110 (1):37–44.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9452(95)04184-V.

- 33. Sánchez-Blanco MJ. Ferrández T. Morales M, Morte A, Alarcón JJ. Variations in water status, gas exchange, and growth in rosmarinus officinalis plants infected with deserticola glomus under drought conditions. Plant Physiol. .1 2004:161:675-682. Available:https://doi.org/10.1078/0176-1617-01191.
- Subramanian KS, Charest C, Dwyer LM, Hamilton RI. Arbuscular mycorrhizas and water relations in maize under drought stress at tasselling. New Phytol. 1995;129(4):643–650. Available:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1995.tb03033.x.
- Bonfim JA, Vasconcellos RLF, Stürmer SL, Cardoso EJBN. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest: A Gradient of Environmental Restoration. Appl. Soil Ecol. 2013;71:7–14. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.20 13.04.005
- Wang F. Occurrence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in mining-impacted sites and their contribution to ecological restoration: Mechanisms and applications. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2017;47 (20):1901–1957. Available:https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389 .2017.1400853.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123656